

$^{48}\text{Ca}(\alpha, 2n\gamma), (^6\text{Li}, 3np\gamma)$ **1979St13, 1978Ha17**

Type	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen and Balraj Singh	NDS 157, 1 (2019)	15-Apr-2019

1979St13, 1978Ha17: $E\alpha=24, 27$ MeV beams from the MP tandem at Strasbourg. Measured $\gamma\gamma$ -coincidences and DCO, $\theta=35^\circ$ and 90° in the same reaction plane ($\phi=180^\circ$); $\gamma(\theta=35^\circ, 45^\circ, 55^\circ, 70^\circ, 90^\circ$, back angles to 142.8°); and γ -excitation functions, $\theta=55^\circ$. Measured γ linear polarization, three Ge(Li) Compton polarimeter at 90° ; negative values for M1 transitions and positive values for E2 and E1 are expected from this analysis.

Others:

1974Po10: $E(^6\text{Li})=26$ MeV. Measured $\gamma(t)$. RDM.

1976Bo25: $E\alpha=26$ MeV. Measured $\gamma(\theta, H, t)$.

All data from **1979St13** and **1978Ha17**, except as noted.

 ^{50}Ti Levels

E(level)	J^π [†]	T _{1/2}	Comments
0.0	0 ⁺		
1553.73 20	2 ⁺		
2674.7 3		5.3 ps 11	T _{1/2} : from RDM (1974Po10).
3198.2 4	6 ⁺		g=+1.57 17 (1976Bo25) g: $\omega\tau=0.061$ 6 (1976Bo25), Sign added by 1989Ra17 .
3974.7?‡ 11			
4147.9?‡ 11			
6134.7 17	7 ⁺		
6539.2 18	8 ⁺		
6768.8 20	9 ⁺		
7539.0?‡ 23			
7570.1 20	10 ⁺		
8257.2?‡ 24			
8790.0 23	(11 ⁺) [#]		J^π : 9 ⁺ or 11 ⁺ from analysis in 1979St13 , the latter favored by two-point excitation function in 1979St13 .

[†] From least-squares analysis of $\gamma(\theta)$, γ linear polarization, and DCO, except as noted.

[‡] Level suggested by $\gamma\gamma$ coincidence data.

[#] 9⁺, 11⁺ from least-squares analysis of $\gamma(\theta)$, γ linear polarization, and DCO; J=11 from two-point excitation function.

 $\gamma(^{50}\text{Ti})$

E _{γ}	I _{γ}	E _i (level)	J_i^π	E _f	J_f^π	Mult. [†]	δ [†]	Comments
229.6 7	23 2	6768.8	9 ⁺	6539.2	8 ⁺	M1+E2	-0.035 15	$A_2=-0.33$ 2; $A_4=-0.04$ 4; DCO=1.01 4 (1978Ha17) POL=-0.31 3 (1978Ha17).
404.5 7	26 2	6539.2	8 ⁺	6134.7	7 ⁺	M1+E2	-0.017 9	$A_2=-0.31$ 2; $A_4=-0.02$ 2; DCO=1.20 8 (1978Ha17) POL=-0.34 3 (1978Ha17).
523.5?‡ 2	64 5	3198.2	6 ⁺	2674.7		Q		$A_2=+0.306$ 4; $A_4=-0.046$ 7 (1976Bo25)
770.2?‡ 10	1 1	7539.0?		6768.8	9 ⁺			
801.3 6	12 1	7570.1	10 ⁺	6768.8	9 ⁺	M1+E2	-0.044 18	$A_2=-0.37$ 2; $A_4=0.00$ 2; DCO=0.95 6 (1978Ha17) POL=-0.35 4 (1978Ha17).
1121.0?‡ 2	91 8	2674.7		1553.73	2 ⁺			
1219.8 10	3 1	8790.0	(11 ⁺)	7570.1	10 ⁺	(M1+E2)	-0.17 10	$A_2=-0.61$ 2I; $A_4=-0.37$ 30; DCO=0.84 2I

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{48}\text{Ca}(\alpha,2n\gamma),(^6\text{Li},3np\gamma)$ 1979St13,1978Ha17 (continued)

$\gamma(^{50}\text{Ti})$ (continued)

E_γ	I_γ	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [†]	δ^{\dagger}	Comments
								(1979St13)
								Interference from 1228γ in ^{42}Ca . POL=-0.27 29 (1979St13).
								δ : from 1978Ha17, but no $\gamma(\theta)$ coefficients are listed.
1300.0 [#] 10	3 1	3974.7?		2674.7				
1473.2 [#] 10	5 1	4147.9?		2674.7				
1553.7 [‡] 2	100	1553.73	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺			
1718.0 [#] 15	2 1	8257.2?		6539.2	8 ⁺			
2936.4 16	32 3	6134.7	7 ⁺	3198.2	6 ⁺	M1+E2	-0.141 25	$A_2=-0.55$ 2; $A_4=+0.01$ 2; DCO=0.33 2 (1978Ha17) POL=-0.28 6 (1978Ha17).

[†] From $\gamma(\theta)$, DCO, and γ linear polarization data of 1978Ha17. J_i^π from J_f-2 to J_f+2 were considered in the least-squares analysis, except as noted.

[‡] From 1976Au07; used for calibration purposes.

Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

