

$^{48}\text{Ca}(^{16}\text{O}, ^{14}\text{C}), (^{18}\text{O}, ^{16}\text{C})$ [1978Ko01,1976Ei02,1989Og01](#)

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen and Balraj Singh		NDS 157, 1 (2019)	15-Apr-2019

[1978Ko01,1976Ei02](#): $E(^{16}\text{O})=56$ MeV beam from Argonne FN tandem. Measured $\sigma(\theta=4^\circ-70^\circ)$ and σ ; ΔE -E TOF telescope. FWHM ≈ 200 keV; DWBA.

[1989Og01](#): $E(^{16}\text{O})=120$ MeV beam from the NSF tandem, Daresbury. Measured $\sigma(\theta=6^\circ-10^\circ)$; magnetic spectrograph with position-sensitive detectors and ionization chambers, FWHM=100 keV. Distorted-wave exact-finite-range calculations reproduced $\sigma(\theta)$ for the 0^+ , g.s., but underpredicted $\sigma(\theta)$ for the 2^+ , 1554; 4^+ , 2675; and 6^+ , 3199 states. The shape was also poorly reproduced.

Others:

[1979De16](#): $E(^{18}\text{O})=102$ MeV from Heidelberg MP tandem. Measured $\sigma(\theta\approx 5^\circ-28^\circ)$; magnetic spectrograph, proportional counter telescope, FWHM ≈ 200 keV. Diproton cluster DWBA reproduces the data poorly. Microscopic DWBA reproduces $\sigma(\theta, \text{g.s.})$.

[1983Os07](#) and [1994Os01](#) analyzed the data of [1976Ei02](#); DWBA.

[1971Le07](#).

 ^{50}Ti Levels

<u>E(level)[†]</u>	<u>J^π[#]</u>	<u>L[‡]</u>	<u>E(level)[†]</u>	<u>L[‡]</u>	<u>E(level)[†]</u>	<u>L[‡]</u>	<u>E(level)[†]</u>	<u>J^π[#]</u>	<u>L[‡]</u>
0	0 ⁺	0	4180	(2) ^{&}	5282 [@]		5919 [@]		
1550	2 ⁺	2	4226 [@]		5420	(4) ^{&}	7190	0 ⁺	0
2680	4 ⁺	4	4320	(2)	5510 [@]				
3200	6 ⁺	6	4800	(2,4)	5850	(2,4) ^{&}			

[†] From [1978Ko01](#) and [1976Ei02](#), except as noted. [1979De16](#) and [1989Og01](#) are consistent, except as noted.

[‡] From DWBA in $(^{16}\text{O}, ^{14}\text{C})$ ([1978Ko01,1976Ei02](#)), except as noted.

[#] From DWBA in $(^{16}\text{O}, ^{14}\text{C})$ ([1978Ko01,1976Ei02](#)).

[@] From [1989Og01](#) only.

[&] From the shape of $\sigma(\theta)$ in $(^{16}\text{O}, ^{14}\text{C})$ ([1978Ko01,1976Ei02](#)).