

<sup>50</sup>Cr(<sup>3</sup>He,t) 1972Mc02,1972Fa01,2005Fu16

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen and Balraj Singh		NDS 157, 1 (2019)	15-Apr-2019

**1972Fa01:** experiment performed at Univ. Colorado cyclotron. E=21.4, 29.7, 37.5 MeV <sup>3</sup>He beam hit enriched <sup>50</sup>Cr foil. Tritons analyzed by ΔE-E Si telescope. Energy resolution (FWHM) was 70-130 keV. Excitation energies (ΔE=8-20 keV), angular distributions determined. Spin/parity assignment and deformation parameters deduced. DWBA analysis.

**1972Mc02:** experiment performed at Oak Ridge cyclotron. E=39.45 MeV <sup>3</sup>He beam hit enriched <sup>50</sup>Cr foil. Tritons analyzed by magnetic spectrograph. Energy resolution FWHM=30-40 keV. Excitation energies, angular distributions determined. Spin/parity assignment deduced. DWBA analysis.

**2005Fu16, 2014Fu02** (also conference articles from the same group: **2016Fu02, 2012Fu02, 2009Fu15, 2008Fu04, 2007Ad27**): E=140 MeV/nucleon. The tritons were analyzed from 0°–2° using Grand Raiden spectrometer at RCNP cyclotron facility. FWHM=29 KeV. Deduced Gamow-Teller strengths.

**Additional information 1.**

Others (Coulomb energy shifts): **1971Be29, 1967ShZZ** and **1966Sh02**.

<sup>50</sup>Mn Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π</sup>	L <sup>@</sup>	B(GT) strength <sup>&amp;</sup>	Comments
0	0 <sup>+</sup>	0		T=1 E(level),J <sup>π</sup> : L=0; IAS of <sup>50</sup> Cr g.s.
229 8	(5 <sup>+</sup> ,6 <sup>+</sup> ) <sup>‡</sup>			T=0 J <sup>π</sup> : 5 <sup>+</sup> in Adopted Levels.
652 4	(1) <sup>+</sup> #	0	0.50 13	T=(0) E(level): 651 (2014Fu02, from γ-ray data).
800 4	(2 <sup>+</sup> ,1 <sup>+</sup> ) <sup>‡</sup>	≥1		T=(1,0) J <sup>π</sup> : 2 <sup>+</sup> in Adopted Levels. E(level): possible IAS of T=1 583 level in <sup>50</sup> Cr. β <sub>2</sub> =0.31 5 assuming J=2, (DWBA, 1972Fa01).
1030 15	(7 <sup>+</sup> ) <sup>‡</sup>			T=(0)
1147 4	(3 <sup>+</sup> ,4 <sup>+</sup> ) <sup>‡</sup>	≥1		T=(0) J <sup>π</sup> : 3 <sup>+</sup> in Adopted Levels. β <sub>4</sub> =0.36 6 assuming J=4 (DWBA, 1972Fa01).
1805 4	(3 <sup>+</sup> ,4 <sup>+</sup> ) <sup>‡</sup>	≥1		T=(1) J <sup>π</sup> : (3 <sup>+</sup> ) in Adopted Levels. E(level): IAS of T=1 1881 level in <sup>50</sup> Cr. β <sub>4</sub> =0.44 8 assuming J=4 (DWBA, 1972Fa01).
1860 <sup>c</sup> 15	(1 <sup>+</sup> ,2 <sup>+</sup> ) <sup>‡</sup>			T=(0) J <sup>π</sup> : 2 <sup>(+)</sup> in Adopted Levels.
1920 15	(5 <sup>+</sup> ,6 <sup>+</sup> ) <sup>‡</sup>			T=(0) J <sup>π</sup> : 5 <sup>+</sup> in Adopted Levels.
2290 20				
2340? 20				
2411 4	(1) <sup>+</sup> #	0	0.15 4	E(level): 2404 (2014Fu02, from γ-ray data).
2455 20				
2555 20				
2685? 20				
2694 4	(1) <sup>+</sup> #	0	0.11 3	
2790 4	(1) <sup>+</sup> #	0	0.03 1	
2975 20				
3080? <sup>bc</sup> 20				
3177 4		≥1		
3200? <sup>b</sup> 20				

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{50}\text{Cr}(\text{}^3\text{He,t})$  **1972Mc02,1972Fa01,2005Fu16 (continued)** $^{50}\text{Mn}$  Levels (continued)

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π</sup>	L <sup>@</sup>	B(GT) strength <sup>&amp;</sup>	Comments
3240 <sup>c</sup> 20				
3392 4	(1) <sup>+</sup> #	0	0.35 9	E(level): 3380 (2014Fu02, from $\gamma$ -ray data).
3440 20				
3520 <sup>b</sup> 20				
3654 4	(1) <sup>+</sup> #	0	0.14 4	
3690? <sup>bc</sup> 15				
3770? <sup>bc</sup> 15				
3850 <sup>c</sup>				
4028 4	(1) <sup>+</sup> #	0	0.07 2	
4333 <sup>a</sup> 4	(1) <sup>+</sup> #	0	0.11 3	E(level): 4316 (2014Fu02, from $\gamma$ -ray data).
4584 <sup>a</sup> 4	(1) <sup>+</sup> #	0	0.03 1	
5728 <sup>a</sup> 4				E(level): from 2007Ad27, peak is also in spectral figure 2 of 2005Fu16.

<sup>†</sup> From 2005Fu16 when uncertainty is 4 keV. Corresponding values from 1972Mc02 and 1972Fa01 are in agreement but less precise. For levels not reported in 2005Fu16, weighted averages values from 1972Mc02 and 1972Fa01 are taken, unless otherwise stated. Above 5 MeV excitation, there are four peaks in the spectral figure 2 of 2005Fu16, the most intense is near 5730 keV which is listed here. In 2014Fu02, a few of the level energies are given which are consistently lower than those in 2005Fu16. In e-mail reply of May 5, 2014, Y. Fujita mentioned that energies listed in Figure 1c of 2014Fu02 paper are from unpublished gamma-ray data. These values are expected to be more accurate, and are given in comments here. This implies that energies of other levels from 2005Fu16 are also shifted upward systematically. Uncertain levels reported only in ( $^3\text{He,t}$ ) are not given in the Adopted Levels.

<sup>‡</sup> From DWBA analysis of angular distributions assuming pure  $(f_{7/2})^{-6}$  shell-model configurations for the  $^{50}\text{Cr}$  and the residual  $^{50}\text{Mn}$  states (1972Mc02,1972Fa01).

#  $L(^3\text{He,t})=0$  from  $0^+$  target, interpreted as Gamow-Teller transition (2005Fu16).

@ From comparison of intensities of states measured in spectra at  $0^\circ-0.5^\circ$  and  $1.5^\circ-2.0^\circ$ . All prominent states showed  $0^\circ$  peaked  $\sigma(\theta)$  suggesting  $L=0$  (probable Gamow-Teller states). Weakly populated states are suggested as  $L\geq 1$  transitions (2005Fu16).

& Gamow-Teller strengths from 2005Fu16.

<sup>a</sup> Reported by 2005Fu16 only.

<sup>b</sup> Not seen at all the angles in 1972Mc02.

<sup>c</sup> Seen only in 1972Mc02.