

<sup>50</sup>K IT decay (131 ns)    2009Cr03,2010Da06,2012Ka36

Type	Author	Citation	History Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen and Balraj Singh	NDS 157, 1 (2019)	15-Apr-2019

Parent: <sup>50</sup>K: E=172.0 4; J<sup>π</sup>=(2<sup>-</sup>); T<sub>1/2</sub>=131 ns 40; %IT decay=100.0

<sup>50</sup>K-%IT decay: Assumed 100% IT decay.

**2010Da06, 1999DaZQ:** Ni(<sup>86</sup>Kr,X) E=60.3 MeV/nucleon. Measured delayed E<sub>γ</sub>, I<sub>γ</sub> following decay of isomeric states using LISE spectrometer at GANIL. Deduced J, π, T<sub>1/2</sub>, multipolarities.

**2009Cr03:** <sup>9</sup>Be(<sup>76</sup>Ge,X) E=130 MeV/nucleon. Fragments were separated using A1900 FRS. Measured prompt γ rays using SeGa array of Ge detectors. Three γ rays at 43.0, 128.4 and 172.1 keV were reported from the decay of the isomer.

**2012Ka36:** <sup>50</sup>K isomer produced in <sup>9</sup>Be(<sup>238</sup>U,F),E=345 MeV/nucleon provided by the RIBF accelerator complex at RIKEN facility. Fission fragments were separated and analyzed by BigRIPS separator, transported to focal plane of ZeroDegree spectrometer and finally implanted in an aluminum stopper. Particle identification was achieved by ΔE-TOF-B<sub>ρ</sub> method. Delayed gamma rays from microsecond isomers were detected by three clover-type HPGe detectors. Measured E<sub>γ</sub>, I<sub>γ</sub>, γγ-coincidence, isomer half-life.

<sup>50</sup>K Levels

E(level)	J <sup>π</sup> †	T <sub>1/2</sub>	Comments
0.0	0 <sup>(-)</sup>		J <sup>π</sup> : (1 <sup>-</sup> ) proposed by <a href="#">2009Cr03</a> and <a href="#">1999DaZQ</a> based on $\pi s_{1/2}^{-1} \otimes p_{3/2}^{-1}$ configuration. (0 <sup>-</sup> ) proposed by <a href="#">1998Ba80</a> . Spin=0 measured in hyperfine structure.
44.0? 6	(1,2 <sup>-</sup> )		E(level): ordering of the 127.4-44 γ cascade is not established. Reverse ordering is proposed by <a href="#">2009Cr03</a> giving a level at 128 keV, instead.
70.2? 7	(1,2 <sup>-</sup> )		J <sup>π</sup> : (1 <sup>-</sup> ) suggested by <a href="#">1999DaZQ</a> from multipolarity assignments.
172.0 4	(2 <sup>-</sup> )	131 ns 40	E(level): level considered as uncertain by the evaluators in view of weak and unknown ordering of the 101-70 γ cascade. Also <a href="#">2009Cr03</a> do not report 70γ and 101γ. J <sup>π</sup> : (1 <sup>-</sup> ) suggested by <a href="#">1999DaZQ</a> from multipolarity assignments. T <sub>1/2</sub> : weighted average of 125 ns 40 ( <a href="#">1999DaZQ</a> , from γ-ray decay curve); 138 ns +50–41 ( <a href="#">2012Ka36</a> , γ-decay curve). Other: <500 ns ( <a href="#">2009Cr03</a> ). J <sup>π</sup> : 3 <sup>-</sup> proposed by <a href="#">2009Cr03</a> and <a href="#">1999DaZQ</a> based on (E2) multipolarity of 171γ and J <sup>π</sup> (g.s.)=(1 <sup>-</sup> ). Number of implanted fragments=2.0×10 <sup>4</sup> ( <a href="#">2012Ka36</a> ).

† From Adopted Levels.

γ(<sup>50</sup>K)

E <sub>γ</sub> †	I <sub>γ</sub> †&	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	J <sup>π</sup> <sub>i</sub>	E <sub>f</sub>	J <sup>π</sup> <sub>f</sub>	Mult.	Comments
44 @ 1		44.0?	(1,2 <sup>-</sup> )	0.0	0 <sup>(-)</sup>		E <sub>γ</sub> : 43.5 ( <a href="#">2009Cr03</a> ). Mult.: M1 suggested by <a href="#">1999DaZQ</a> from comparison of the deduced transition probabilities with Weisskopf estimates.
70 #@ 1		70.2?	(1,2 <sup>-</sup> )	0.0	0 <sup>(-)</sup>		
101 @ 1		172.0	(2 <sup>-</sup> )	70.2? (1,2 <sup>-</sup> )		Mult.: E2 suggested by <a href="#">1999DaZQ</a> .	
128.1 @ 5	82 22	172.0	(2 <sup>-</sup> )	44.0? (1,2 <sup>-</sup> )	(E2)‡	B(E2)(W.u.)=3.4 15 If M1, B(M1(W.u.))=3.7×10 <sup>-5</sup> 16. If E1, B(E1)(W.u.))=8.2×10 <sup>-7</sup> 35.	E <sub>γ</sub> : unweighted average of 127.4 5 ( <a href="#">1999DaZQ</a> ), 128.4 ( <a href="#">2009Cr03</a> ), 128.5 5 ( <a href="#">2012Ka36</a> ).
172.0 5	100 25	172.0	(2 <sup>-</sup> )	0.0	0 <sup>(-)</sup>	(E2)‡ B(E2)(W.u.)=1.4 6 If M1, B(M1(W.u.))=1.8×10 <sup>-5</sup> 8. If E1, B(E1)(W.u.))=4.1×10 <sup>-7</sup> 14.	E <sub>γ</sub> : unweighted average of 171.4 5 ( <a href="#">1999DaZQ</a> ), 172.1 ( <a href="#">2009Cr03</a> ), 172.4 5 ( <a href="#">2012Ka36</a> ).

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

---

 **$^{50}\text{K}$  IT decay (131 ns)    2009Cr03,2010Da06,2012Ka36 (continued)**


---

 $\gamma(^{50}\text{K})$  (continued)

<sup>†</sup> From 1999DaZQ, unless otherwise noted.

<sup>‡</sup> E2 suggested by 1999DaZQ, in contrast to M1 or E1, based on Weisskopf estimates for E2, M1 and E1 transitions.

<sup>#</sup> Weak  $\gamma$ -ray.

<sup>@</sup> Ordering of the 127-44 and 101-70  $\gamma$  cascades can be reversed as in 2009Cr03 for the former.

<sup>&</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by  $\approx 0.55$ .

---

 **$^{50}\text{K}$  IT decay (131 ns)    2009Cr03,2010Da06,2012Ka36**


---

