

$^{50}\text{Cr}(\gamma,\gamma'),(\text{pol } \gamma,\gamma')$ **2016Pa04**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen and Balraj Singh	NDS 157, 1 (2019)		15-Apr-2019

This dataset adapted from a compiled dataset in the XUNDL database by C. Smith and C.D. Nesaraja (ORNL).

2016Pa04: two experiments were performed. 1. $E(\gamma)=7.5, 9.7$ MeV unpolarized bremsstrahlung beam from Darmstadt accelerator facility S-DALINAC. Measured $E\gamma, I\gamma, \gamma(\theta)$ at 90° and 130° with respect to the beam direction. 2. $E(\gamma)=6-9.7$ MeV quasi-monoenergetic and linearly polarized photon beam from the High Intensity γ -ray source (HIyS) facility at TUNL. Measured $E\gamma$, polarization asymmetries. In both experiments, target was 2.0 g, 96.416% enriched ^{50}Cr . Deduced levels, J^π , energy-integrated cross sections, widths, $B(M1)$. Comparison with Skyrme quasiparticle random-phase approximation (QRPA) and large scale shell model (LSSM) calculations. See also [2018Di14](#) for analysis of the fluctuation properties in the subspectra of the energy levels and also of the distributions of their respective dipole transition strength.

Others:

[1998En05](#): $E(\gamma)=7.0$ MeV beam from the S-DALINAC facility. Measured $E\gamma, I\gamma$ with HPGe detectors. Data for 783 and 3628 levels.

[1973MoYZ](#), [1970Mo26](#): $E(\gamma)=8888$ keV. Measured $E\gamma, \gamma(\theta)$, widths, $\sigma(\theta=135^\circ)$, temperature variation and self-absorption. Data for 7646 and 8888 levels.

[1983BeYU](#).

All data are from [2016Pa04](#) unless otherwise noted.

Polarization data corresponding to Fig. 2 in [2016Pa04](#) are from e-mail reply of Oct 1, 2018 from H. Pai, first author of [2016Pa04](#).

 ^{50}Cr Levels

<u>$E(\text{level})^\dagger$</u>	<u>$J^\pi{}^\ddagger$</u>	<u>$\Gamma_{\gamma 0}$</u>	<u>$I_{i,0}$ (eVb)[#]</u>	Comments
0.0	0^+			
783.3 5	$2^+{}^\ddagger$			
3628.2 5	$1^+{}^\ddagger$	0.205 eV 9	120 5	$B(M1)\uparrow=1.113$ 49 $\Gamma_0^{\text{red}}=4.29$ meV/MeV ³ 19. Level also reported by 1998En05 .
4997.0 5	$1^{(+)}{}^\ddagger$	0.070 eV 7	16.2 12	E(level): theoretical analysis indicates the 1^+ state has an isovector orbital character with a minor spin admixture which supports trends for the scissors-like mode in the fp -shell region (2016Pa04).
5931.2 5	1^+	0.073 eV 6	23.9 20	$B(M1)\uparrow=0.091$ 7
7600.8 5	1^+	0.334 eV 37	66.4 73	$\Gamma_0^{\text{red}}=0.35$ meV/MeV ³ 3.
7645.7 5	1^+	0.118 eV 14	23.2 28	$B(M1)\uparrow=0.197$ 22 $\Gamma_0^{\text{red}}=0.76$ meV/MeV ³ 8.
7948.1 5	1^+	1.382 eV 79	197.7 96	$B(M1)\uparrow=0.068$ 8 $\Gamma_0^{\text{red}}=0.26$ meV/MeV ³ 3. Level also reported by 1970Mo26 , 1973MoYZ .
8045.8 5	1^+	0.238 eV 26	42.2 47	$B(M1)\uparrow=0.714$ 41 $\Gamma_0^{\text{red}}=2.75$ meV/MeV ³ 16.
8121.5 5	1^+	0.094 eV 11	16.4 20	$B(M1)\uparrow=0.118$ 13 $\Gamma_0^{\text{red}}=0.46$ meV/MeV ³ 5.
8528.1 5	1^+	0.85 eV 11	96 11	$B(M1)\uparrow=0.045$ 5 $\Gamma_0^{\text{red}}=0.18$ meV/MeV ³ 2.
8885.6 5	1^+	0.534 eV 47	77.7 68	$B(M1)\uparrow=0.353$ 48 $\Gamma_0^{\text{red}}=1.36$ meV/MeV ³ 18. $B(M1)\uparrow=0.197$ 17 Level also reported by 1970Mo26 , 1973MoYZ with spin=1 from $\gamma(\theta)$. $\Gamma_0^{\text{red}}=0.76$ meV/MeV ³ 7. Other $\Gamma_\gamma=0.75$ eV 20 (1970Mo26 , 1973MoYZ) from temperature variation

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{50}\text{Cr}(\gamma, \gamma'), (\text{pol } \gamma, \gamma')$ **2016Pa04 (continued)** ^{50}Cr Levels (continued)

E(level) [†]	J ^π [‡]	$\Gamma_{\gamma 0}$	I _{i,0} (eVb) [#]	Comments
9007.9 5	1 ⁺	0.286 eV 34	40.5 48	and self-absorption is consistent with that from 2016Pa04 . $B(M1)\uparrow=0.101$ 12 $\Gamma_0^{\text{red}}=0.39$ meV/MeV ³ 5.
9208.3 5	1 ⁺	0.369 eV 89	50 12	$B(M1)\uparrow=0.123$ 30 $\Gamma_0^{\text{red}}=0.47$ meV/MeV ³ 11.
9409.5 5	1 ⁺	0.81 eV 13	105 17	$B(M1)\uparrow=0.252$ 41 $\Gamma_0^{\text{red}}=0.97$ meV/MeV ³ 16.
9579.1 5	1 ⁺	0.301 eV 62	37.7 78	$B(M1)\uparrow=0.089$ 18 $\Gamma_0^{\text{red}}=0.34$ meV/MeV ³ 7.
9719.1 5	1 ⁺	1.42 eV 17	173 21	$B(M1)\uparrow=0.402$ 49 $\Gamma_0^{\text{red}}=1.55$ meV/MeV ³ 19.

[†] From [2016Pa04](#), unless noted otherwise. The J^π assignments are from M1 multipolarity based on $\gamma(\theta)$ measured in Darmstadt experiment, and from polarization asymmetries measured in HIyS experiment at TUNL. Uncertainty in level energy is stated as <0.5 keV in [2016Pa04](#). Evaluators assign 0.5 keV for each level.

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

[#] Energy-integrated scattering cross section.

 $\gamma(^{50}\text{Cr})$

E _i (level)	J ^π _i	E _γ [†]	I _γ [†]	E _f	J ^π _f	Mult. [‡]	Comments
783.3	2 ⁺	783.3 5		0.0	0 ⁺		E_γ : deduced by evaluators from averaged energy differences of E_γ values for the decay of 3628, 4997, 7948 and 8528 levels.
3628.2	1 ⁺	2845.0 5	49 1	783.3	2 ⁺		I_γ : other: $\Gamma(2845\gamma)/\Gamma=0.38$ 13 (1998En05) consistent with value from 2016Pa04 , but much less precise.
		3628.0 5	100	0.0	0 ⁺	M1	$I_\gamma(90^\circ)/I_\gamma(130^\circ)=0.74$ 2.
4997.0	1 ⁽⁺⁾	4213.8 5	100 10	783.3	2 ⁺		$I_\gamma(90^\circ)/I_\gamma(130^\circ)=0.78$ 6.
		4996.7 5	100	0.0	0 ⁺	(M1)	$I_\gamma(90^\circ)/I_\gamma(130^\circ)=0.92$ 9. POL=+0.74 19.
5931.2	1 ⁺	5930.8 5		0.0	0 ⁺	M1	$I_\gamma(90^\circ)/I_\gamma(130^\circ)=0.80$ 10. POL=+0.75 13.
7600.8	1 ⁺	7600.2 5		0.0	0 ⁺	M1	$I_\gamma(90^\circ)/I_\gamma(130^\circ)=0.69$ 17. POL=+0.74 26.
7645.7	1 ⁺	7645.1 5		0.0	0 ⁺	M1	$I_\gamma(90^\circ)/I_\gamma(130^\circ)=0.78$ 3. POL=+0.91 4.
7948.1	1 ⁺	7164.5 5	27 2	783.3	2 ⁺		$I_\gamma(90^\circ)/I_\gamma(130^\circ)=0.77$ 10. POL=+0.76 15.
		7947.4 5	100	0.0	0 ⁺	M1	$I_\gamma(90^\circ)/I_\gamma(130^\circ)=0.76$ 20. POL=+0.66 25.
8045.8	1 ⁺	8045.1 5		0.0	0 ⁺	M1	$I_\gamma(90^\circ)/I_\gamma(130^\circ)=0.82$ 8. POL=+0.68 13. Also mult=dipole (1970Mo26,1973MoYZ ,from $\gamma(\theta)$).
8121.5	1 ⁺	8120.8 5		0.0	0 ⁺	M1	$I_\gamma(90^\circ)/I_\gamma(130^\circ)=0.70$ 8. POL=+0.76 7.
8528.1	1 ⁺	7743.1 5	39 6	783.3	2 ⁺		I_γ : other: $\Gamma_0/\Gamma=0.90$ 8 (1970Mo26,1973MoYZ) consistent with 100% branch for 8884.8 γ as in 2016Pa04 .
8885.6	1 ⁺	8884.8 5		0.0	0 ⁺	M1	$I_\gamma(90^\circ)/I_\gamma(130^\circ)=0.88$ 13. POL=+0.64 11.
9007.9	1 ⁺	9007.0 5		0.0	0 ⁺	M1	$I_\gamma(90^\circ)/I_\gamma(130^\circ)=0.77$ 20. POL=+0.70 12.
9208.3	1 ⁺	9207.4 5		0.0	0 ⁺	M1	$I_\gamma(90^\circ)/I_\gamma(130^\circ)=0.78$ 14. POL=+0.92 8.
9409.5	1 ⁺	9408.5 5		0.0	0 ⁺	M1	$I_\gamma(90^\circ)/I_\gamma(130^\circ)=0.97$ 25. POL=+0.64 16.
9579.1	1 ⁺	9578.1 5		0.0	0 ⁺	M1	$I_\gamma(90^\circ)/I_\gamma(130^\circ)=0.85$ 11. POL=+0.69 10.
9719.1	1 ⁺	9718.1 5		0.0	0 ⁺	M1	

[†] From [2016Pa04](#). Uncertainty of 0.5 keV for E_γ is assigned by evaluators from a general comment in [2016Pa04](#) that uncertainties of excitation energies are <0.5 keV.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

 $^{50}\text{Cr}(\gamma, \gamma'), (\text{pol } \gamma, \gamma')$ 2016Pa04 (continued) $\gamma(^{50}\text{Cr})$ (continued)

[‡] From $\gamma(\theta)$ and polarization asymmetry values in 2016Pa04, the former are given in comments here, and the latter are shown in Fig. 2 of 2016Pa04.

$^{50}\text{Cr}(\gamma, \gamma), (\text{pol } \gamma, \gamma)$ 2016Pa04Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative photon branching from each level

