## $^{2}$ H(<sup>6</sup>He,<sup>3</sup>He)

History						
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date			
Full Evaluation	J. E. Purcell, C. G. Sheu	ENSDF	28-Feb-2019			

This reaction is interpreted as one in which a proton from the incident <sup>6</sup>He is transferred to the <sup>2</sup>H target allowing the low lying states of <sup>5</sup>H to be populated.

- 2003Si15: The experiment was at JINR at Dubda. The 150 MeV <sup>6</sup>He beam was directed onto a liquid <sup>2</sup>H target. The <sup>3</sup>He reaction product and the <sup>3</sup>H from the decay of <sup>5</sup>H were detected and the <sup>5</sup>H spectrum determined by the missing mass method. A single narrow resonance structure was observed at  $E_{res}$ =1.8 MeV above the <sup>3</sup>H+2n threshold which the authors assume to be the 1/2<sup>+</sup> ground state of <sup>5</sup>H. See related discussion in (2003Te06,2003Te16).
- 2004St18: This experiment was also performed at JINR at Dubna. A primary <sup>11</sup>B beam with energy 32 MeV/nucleon colliding with a Be target produced a secondary <sup>6</sup>He beam with an energy of 132 MeV which struck a <sup>2</sup>H gas target. The <sup>3</sup>He reaction product and the <sup>3</sup>H from the decay of <sup>5</sup>H were detected and the <sup>5</sup>H spectrum determined by the missing mass method. The authors interpret the results as two resonances, one corresponding to the  $1/2^+$  ground state at  $E_{res}=1.8$  MeV 2 with an observable width of  $\Gamma=1.3$  MeV 5. A second broad resonance is shown at around 7 MeV in the figure, though discussion on the second resonance is limited to the statement, "one can only say that, in the present case, it seems to be a rather broad resonance-like structure".
- 2005Te05: This experiment was also performed at JINR at Dubna. The secondary <sup>6</sup>He beam with an energy of 132 MeV collided with a <sup>2</sup>H target, the <sup>3</sup>He reaction product and the <sup>3</sup>H from the decay of <sup>5</sup>H were detected and the <sup>5</sup>H spectrum determined by the missing mass method. A resonance at  $E_{res}$ =2.2 MeV 3 and width  $\Gamma \approx 2.5$  MeV was observed. The authors also comment that the observed spectrum shows the effects of interference between the 1/2<sup>+</sup> state and a higher energy 3/2<sup>+</sup> and 5/2<sup>+</sup> doublet. The reaction <sup>2</sup>H(<sup>6</sup>He,<sup>3</sup>H)<sup>5</sup>He was also studied and the T=3/2 analog of the ground <sup>5</sup>H was observed in <sup>5</sup>He.
- 2017Wu03: Experiment was conducted at NSCL at Michigan State University. The <sup>6</sup>He secondary beam with energy 55 MeV/nucleon was obtained from <sup>18</sup>O primary beam with energy 120 MeV/nucleon on a <sup>9</sup>Be target. The <sup>6</sup>He beam bombarded a thin Cd<sub>2</sub> target. The <sup>3</sup>He reaction product and the <sup>3</sup>H from the decay of <sup>5</sup>H were detected. The resonance energy and width were determined to be  $E_{res}=2.4$  MeV *3* above the <sup>3</sup>H+2n threshold and  $\Gamma=5.3$  MeV *4*. Analysis of the data suggested that the <sup>5</sup>H decay into <sup>3</sup>H+2n showed a slight preference for dineutron emission process over the democratic two neutron emission process.
- In the discussion of (2017Wu03), the impact of energy conservation and momentum matching on the observed lineshape are examined for this extremely negative  $Q(\beta^-)$  value reaction. Distortions of the lineshape are investigated for various experimental conditions of their results and past results. The total collection of reported parameters for  ${}^{5}H_{g.s.}$  is rather discrepant; however, the quality of the data in (2017Wu03) that is attributed to suppression of the high-energy yield due to momentum matching effects, along with their detailed analysis and discussion weigh heavily in the adoption of their results for  ${}^{5}H_{g.s.}$ .
- It is also noted in (2017Wu03) "...that <sup>5</sup>H is important in the context of the hypernucleus  ${}^{6}_{\Lambda}$ H..." The point is that adding a  $\Lambda$  to  ${}^{5}$ H could produce a bound  ${}^{6}_{\Lambda}$ H even if  ${}^{5}$ H is unbound since the  $\Lambda$  provides an additional attractive interaction. Experimental evidence for bound  ${}^{6}_{\Lambda}$ H is given in (2012Ag06) and against a bound  ${}^{6}_{\Lambda}$ H in (2017Ho15). Theoretical discussions of  ${}^{6}_{\Lambda}$ H are given in (2013Ga51,2013Hi03) and references therein. (We are greatful to John Millener (BNL) for his input regarding  ${}^{6}_{\Lambda}$ H and its relation to  ${}^{5}$ H.).

## <sup>5</sup>H Levels

E(level)	$J^{\pi \dagger}$	Г	$E_{res}(^{3}H+2n)(MeV)$	Comments
0	(1/2 <sup>+</sup> )	5.3 MeV 4	2.4 3	E(level), $\Gamma$ : From (2017Wu03); these results are adopted as the ground state properties. Other reported values are $E_{res}(^{3}H+2n)=1.8$ MeV 2, $\Gamma=1.3$ MeV 5 (2004St18); $E_{res}(^{3}H+2n)=2.2$ MeV 3, $\Gamma\approx2.5$ MeV (2005Te05).
≈5?			≈7	E(level): Also see (2003Si15) who report a narrow resonance ( $\Gamma$ <0.5 MeV) with E <sub>res</sub> ( <sup>3</sup> H+2n) $\approx$ 1.8 MeV (2003Si15). E(level): From (2004St18). $\Gamma$ : Broad.

<sup>†</sup> From systematics.

1