

Ti(n,n'),(n,n'γ) E=1.0-5.9 MeV 1978Sm04,1974Di08

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	T. W. Burrows ^a	NDS 109, 1879 (2008)	14-Jul-2008

 ^{49}Ti Levels

1978Sm04 measured $\sigma(\theta)$; tof. Identification made by comparison to Nuclear Data Sheets available to authors. Most "states" observed have possible contributions from several isotopes of titanium.

E(level) [†]	$J^{\pi\ddagger}$
0.	$7/2^-$
1382 [#] 4	$3/2^-$
1541 30	$11/2^-$
1586 [#] 4	$3/2^-$
1670 80	
1761 [#] 5	$5/2^-$
2304? 22	
2615? 10	
2845?	
3010?	

[†] From 1978Sm04, except As noted.

[‡] From the Adopted Levels.

[#] From E_γ of 1974Di08.

 $\gamma(^{49}\text{Ti})$

1974Di08 measured $\sigma_\gamma(\text{THETA})$; tof.

E_γ	$\sigma_\gamma, \text{mb/sr}^\dagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Comments
1382 4	0.5 2	1382	$3/2^-$	0.	$7/2^-$	$\sigma_\gamma, \text{mb/sr}$: peak likely due to more than one G.
1586 4	0.3 1	1586	$3/2^-$	0.	$7/2^-$	
1761 5	0.5 2	1761	$5/2^-$	0.	$7/2^-$	
^x 1863 [‡] 5	0.4 2					probably associated with ^{47}Ti , ^{49}Ti , or ^{51}Ti .

[†] $E(n)=4.9 \text{ MeV}$ 2 and $\theta=125^\circ$. Additional data taken At 5.40 MeV 15 and 5.90 MeV 15, $\theta=55^\circ$.

[‡] Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

Ti(n,n'),(n,n'γ) E=1.0-5.9 MeV 1978Sm04,1974Di08