

$^{48}\text{Ti}(n,\gamma),(\text{pol } n,\gamma) E=\text{th}$ [1992Ku17](#),[1983Ru08](#),[2003ChXS](#)

Type	Author	History
Full Evaluation	T. W. Burrows ^a	Citation
		Literature Cutoff Date
		NDS 109,1879 (2008)
		14-Jul-2008

[1969TrZX](#): measured γ 's; crystal diffraction spectrometer, Ge(Li). Natural target.

[1983Ru08](#): measured γ 's, Ge(Li) (60 keV < $E\gamma$ < 2.5 MeV) and pair spectrometer (Ge(Li), NaI. $E\gamma$ =2.0-6.8 MeV) and circular polarization (18 primary γ 's), permendur cylinders.

[1988Bo34](#), [1992Ku17](#): measured Doppler broadening of γ 's; flat crystal spectrometer. Deduced $T_{1/2}$'s; molecular dynamics simulation.

[2003ChZS](#): measured γ 's; HPGe; natural target. Obtained Prompt Gamma-Ray Activation datasets for Ca using their data, ENSDF ([1995Bu23](#)), and [1981Lo16](#).

Others: [2001Ac04](#) and [2002Re13](#). See also [1995Bu23](#).

 ^{49}Ti Levels

See [1995Bu23](#) for levels proposed by [1969TrZX](#) that have not been adopted.

E(level) [†]	J [‡]	T _{1/2} [#]	E(level) [†]	J [‡]	T _{1/2} [#]
0.0	7/2 ⁻ [@]		3618.49 13	5/2 ⁻ [@]	
1381.770 5	3/2 ⁻	0.97 ^{&} ps +83-35	3787.67 6	3/2 ⁻	
1585.967 6	3/2 ⁻	1.3 ^{&} ps +13-3	3854.97 7	3/2 ^d	
1622.54? 16	(5/2) ⁻ [@]		4074.17 22	5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻ [@]	
1723.478 6	1/2 ⁻		4221.800 23	1/2 ⁻	
1762.010 7	5/2 ⁻ [@]	20.1 ^a fs 14	4433.26 4	3/2 ⁻	
2260.96 14	(5/2) ⁻ [@]		4588.24 4	3/2 ⁻	
2504.36 4	1/2 ⁺		4666.665 21	1/2 ⁻	
2513.44 15	^b		4811.02 10		
2664.36 4	3/2 ⁺ ^c		4910.92 5	1/2 ⁻	
2721.30 6			5115.561 21	1/2 ⁻	
3038.68 9	(≤5/2) ⁻ [@]		5151.12 10	3/2 ^d	
3175.283 9	1/2 ⁻	54.8 ^a fs 28	5412.03 9	1/2 ⁺ [@]	
3260.702 7	3/2 ⁻	11.2 ^a fs 5	5795.48 15	(3/2 ⁻ ,1/2 ⁻) [@]	
3428.25 3	3/2 ⁻		(8142.39 ^e 3)	1/2 ⁺ ^f	4.4 ^g eV 10
3469.03 3	1/2 ⁻				

[†] From least-squares fit to $E\gamma$'s.

[‡] From L(d,p) and the γ -CP and γ strengths of [1983Ru08](#), except as noted.

[#] From Doppler broadening of deexciting transitions ([1988Bo34](#), [1992Ku17](#)) except for Γ_γ (capture state). Data from [1988Bo34](#) are considered preliminary and have not been included in the Adopted Levels.

[@] From the Adopted Levels.

[&] From [1988Bo34](#).

^a From [1992Ku17](#).

^b See discussion in the Adopted Levels.

^c L(d,p)=2; primary γ too strong to be E2.

^d ≠1/2 since circular polarization coefficient R=1.00 excluded. ≠5/2 since the primary γ 's too strong to be E2 or M2 in nature.

^e From [2003Au03](#). Held fixed in least-squares fit.

^f Thermal capture on an even-even nucleus.

^g Γ_γ for 17.6 keV, 1/2⁺ resonance from [2006MuZX](#). The ^{48}Ti scattering cross section at keV energies and its large thermal cross section (7.84 b 25) may be explained satisfactorily by this 1/2⁺ resonance ([2006MuZX](#)) and, therefore, [1983Ru08](#) employed this

 $^{48}\text{Ti}(\text{n},\gamma),(\text{pol n},\gamma)$ E=th 1992Ku17,1983Ru08,2003ChXS (continued)

 ^{49}Ti Levels (continued)

Γ_γ along with the primary γ -ray branchings to estimate of the primary γ -ray strengths. $\Gamma_\gamma(17.6 \text{ keV})=4.4 \text{ eV}$ *I*0 and $\sigma_0=8.32$ b *I*6 ([2006MuZX](#)).

⁴⁸Ti(n, γ),(pol n, γ) E=th 1992Ku17,1983Ru08,2003ChXS (continued) $\gamma(^{49}\text{Ti})$

Systematic uncertainty=5%.

The precision of the energy and intensity measurements by 1983Ru08 are one order of magnitude better than those of 1969Fe08 while the energies agree within uncertainties, the absolute intensities given by 1969Fe08 are \approx 30% smaller than those of 1983Ru08.

The precision of the energy measurements of 1983Ru08 are usually better than those of 2003ChZS. The energies generally agree within uncertainties. The absolute intensities could not be compared.

1983Ru08 noted that the 361γ , 740γ , and 2005γ observed by 1969Fe08 were double-escape peaks while the 874γ and 3633γ were identified as contaminants. The 1846.7γ observed by 1969Fe08 appears to be an unresolved doublet consisting of the 1842.41γ and 1853.7γ .

The following criteria were used by 1983Ru08 to place the gammas: 1. Placement is within 3σ unambiguous. Both levels concerned are excited or deexcited by at least three transitions for which this condition holds. 2. Placement is within 3σ unambiguous. Only one of the levels concerned is excited or deexcited by at least three transitions for which this condition holds. 3. Placement based on $\gamma\gamma$ -coincidences (1968Ca01,NaI). 1983Ru08 note how each γ was placed.

See 1995Bu23 for γ 's assigned by 1969TrZX that have not been adopted.

E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger o}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [#]	δ	Comments
137.42 @ 7	0.54 3	1723.478	$1/2^-$	1585.967	$3/2^-$			$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0542$ 9.
149.56 15	0.041 6	3618.49	$5/2^-$	3469.03	$1/2^-$			
341.706 & 4	24.80 21	1723.478	$1/2^-$	1381.770	$3/2^-$	M1+E2 ^a	+0.1 ^a	$\alpha(\text{exp})=0.00095$ 14 $\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=1.843$ 21. $\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.00102$ 19.
434.09 16	0.033 5	4221.800	$1/2^-$	3787.67	$3/2^-$			
^x 460.2 ^p 3	0.018 5							
605.2 3	0.030 6	4074.17	$5/2^-, 7/2^-$	3469.03	$1/2^-$			
638.41 ^b 7	^c	2260.96	$(5/2)^-$	1622.54?	$(5/2)^-$			$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0035$ 3.
^x 703.5 2	0.027 6							
879.16 ^b 17	0.05 ^d	2260.96	$(5/2)^-$	1381.770	$3/2^-$			$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0023$ 5.
902.38 ^e 5	0.127 6	2664.36	$3/2^+$	1762.010	$5/2^-$			$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0073$ 6.
^x 986.74 11	0.027 6							
1077.0 3	0.042 8	5151.12	$3/2$	4074.17	$5/2^-, 7/2^-$			
1122.69 @ 8	0.72 3	2504.36	$1/2^+$	1381.770	$3/2^-$			$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0475$ 12.
1135.35 6	0.212 10	2721.30		1585.967	$3/2^-$			$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0119$ 8.
^x 1243.31 17	0.053 6							
1315.6 3	0.034 6	3038.68	$(\leq 5/2^-)$	1723.478	$1/2^-$			
1327.74 ^f 8	0.254 10	4588.24	$3/2^-$	3260.702	$3/2^-$			$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0168$ 11.
1350.46 14	0.063 6	3854.97	$3/2$	2504.36	$1/2^+$			
1381.745 & 5	85.5 21	1381.770	$3/2^-$	0.0	$7/2^-$			$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=5.18$ 12.
1406.36 16	0.055 5	4666.665	$1/2^-$	3260.702	$3/2^-$			
1451.79 4	0.242 8	3175.283	$1/2^-$	1723.478	$1/2^-$			$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0143$ 7.
1498.662 & 7	4.89 13	3260.702	$3/2^-$	1762.010	$5/2^-$			$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.297$ 5.

From ENSDF

⁴⁸Ti(n, γ),(pol n, γ) E=th 1992Ku17,1983Ru08,2003ChXS (continued) $\gamma(^{49}\text{Ti})$ (continued)

E_γ^{\dagger}	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger o}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [#]	Comments
1532.79 21	0.053 6	5151.12	3/2-	3618.49	5/2-		
1549.56 ^e 8	0.115 6	4588.24	3/2-	3038.68	(≤5/2-)		$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0081$ 7.
1585.942 ^{&} 6	10.2 3	1585.967	3/2-	0.0	7/2-		$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.624$ 8.
1589.27 ^g 8	1.01 ^h 3	3175.283	1/2-	1585.967	3/2-		$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0524$ 16.
1622.84 ^{bp} 16	^c	1622.54?	(5/2)-	0.0	7/2-		$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0042$ 9.
1646.46 21	0.046 5	5115.561	1/2-	3469.03	1/2-		$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0041$ 10.
1665.96 17	0.048 ^h 5	3428.25	3/2-	1762.010	5/2-		$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0041$ 6.
1674.734 ^{&} 22	0.397 12	3260.702	3/2-	1585.967	3/2-		$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0262$ 10.
1761.971 ^{&} 8	5.35 16	1762.010	5/2-	0.0	7/2-		$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.331$ 4.
1793.478 ^{&} 8	2.57 8	3175.283	1/2-	1381.770	3/2-		$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.1533$ 24.
1842.24 ^b 4	0.31 4	3428.25	3/2-	1585.967	3/2-		$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0436$ 13.
1853.7 ^g 5	0.066 20	5115.561	1/2-	3260.702	3/2-		$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0022$ 5.
1878.891 ^b 10	≈0.5 ^d	3260.702	3/2-	1381.770	3/2-		$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0039$ 6.
1883.06 ^b 4	0.32 4	3469.03	1/2-	1585.967	3/2-		$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0403$ 12.
1940.33 ^{bf} ^p 6	0.18 3	5115.561	1/2-	3175.283	1/2-		$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0114$ 5.
x1973.0 4	0.032 6						
x2003.51 20	0.34 8						
2046.44 ^b 5	0.260 ^h 25	3428.25	3/2-	1381.770	3/2-		$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0266$ 11.
2132.0 ^b 3	0.031 15	3854.97	3/2	1723.478	1/2-		$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0027$ 5.
2307.3 4	0.043 7	4811.02		2504.36	1/2+		
2346.79 20	0.097 12	(8142.39)	1/2+	5795.48	(3/2-,1/2-)	D,E2 ⁱ	$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0078$ 9.
2405.76 ^b 8	0.211 16	3787.67	3/2-	1381.770	3/2-		$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0177$ 10.
2430.9 4	0.035 9	5151.12	3/2	2721.30			
2473.03 ^b 14	0.073 10	3854.97	3/2	1381.770	3/2-		$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0043$ 5.
2498.24 7	0.299 17	4221.800	1/2-	1723.478	1/2-		
2513.30 15	0.219 18	2513.44		0.0	7/2-		
x2529.1 5	0.032 8						
x2548.8 4	0.040 8						
x2591.1 5	0.028 8						
2600.9 6	0.027 8	5115.561	1/2-	2513.44			
2611.04 8	0.250 14	5115.561	1/2-	2504.36	1/2+		$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0188$ 12.
2635.5 3	0.123 21	4221.800	1/2-	1585.967	3/2-		
x2686.66 5	0.336 15						
2709.63 ^e 12	0.127 11	4433.26	3/2-	1723.478	1/2-		$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0096$ 10.
2730.27 ^e 10	0.147 11	(8142.39)	1/2+	5412.03	1/2+	D,E2 ⁱ	$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0109$ 10.
2839.88 4	0.630 23	4221.800	1/2-	1381.770	3/2-		$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0437$ 16.
2847.39 15	0.105 ^h 8	4433.26	3/2-	1585.967	3/2-		$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0066$ 9.
2943.00 3	0.94 3	4666.665	1/2-	1723.478	1/2-		$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0614$ 18.

+

⁴⁸Ti(n, γ),(pol n, γ) E=th 1992Ku17,1983Ru08,2003ChXS (continued) $\gamma(^{49}\text{Ti})$ (continued)

E_γ^{\dagger}	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger o}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [#]	Comments
2991.17 [@] 15	0.185 12	(8142.39)	1/2 ⁺	5151.12	3/2	D ^j	$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0134$ 13.
3002.11 9	0.239 12	4588.24	3/2 ⁻	1585.967	3/2 ⁻		$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0150$ 14.
^x 3013.2 3	0.052 8						
3026.68 ^k 3	2.07 6	(8142.39)	1/2 ⁺	5115.561	1/2 ⁻	D,E2 ⁱ	$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.145$ 3.
^x 3051.25 ^l 11							$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.167$ 15.
3187.19 ^g 28	0.141 8	4910.92	1/2 ⁻	1723.478	1/2 ⁻		$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0099$ 11.
3205.96 17	0.086 7	4588.24	3/2 ⁻	1381.770	3/2 ⁻		$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0053$ 9.
3224.89 11	0.152 9	4811.02		1585.967	3/2 ⁻		$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0117$ 11.
3231.36 5	0.403 14	(8142.39)	1/2 ⁺	4910.92	1/2 ⁻	D,E2 ⁱ	$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0259$ 14.
3284.85 13	0.111 7	4666.665	1/2 ⁻	1381.770	3/2 ⁻		
3325.10 25	0.065 7	4910.92	1/2 ⁻	1585.967	3/2 ⁻		$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0037$ 9.
3331.3 3	0.054 7	(8142.39)	1/2 ⁺	4811.02		D,E2 ⁱ	
3388.0 7	0.020 6	5151.12	3/2	1762.010	5/2 ⁻		
3427.7 ^{f p} 5	0.035 7	5151.12	3/2	1723.478	1/2 ⁻		
3475.52 ^k 3	1.57 4	(8142.39)	1/2 ⁺	4666.665	1/2 ⁻	D,E2	$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.1016$ 25.
3529.31 ^{f p} 10	0.168 8	5115.561	1/2 ⁻	1585.967	3/2 ⁻		$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0105$ 10.
3534.37 ^b 17	0.062 7	5795.48	(3/2 ⁻ ,1/2 ⁻)	2260.96	(5/2) ⁻		
3553.99 ^k 4	0.684 17	(8142.39)	1/2 ⁺	4588.24	3/2 ⁻	D,E2 ⁱ	$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0460$ 17.
3564.71 17	0.079 7	5151.12	3/2	1585.967	3/2 ⁻		$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0038$ 8.
3708.98 ^k 4	0.585 15	(8142.39)	1/2 ⁺	4433.26	3/2 ⁻	D,E2 ⁱ	$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0369$ 17.
3733.61 3	1.31 3	5115.561	1/2 ⁻	1381.770	3/2 ⁻		$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0873$ 25.
3920.38 ^k 3	1.289 22	(8142.39)	1/2 ⁺	4221.800	1/2 ⁻	D,E2 ⁱ	$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0839$ 23.
4030.06 ^k 16	0.062 5	5412.03	1/2 ⁺	1381.770	3/2 ⁻		
4071.7 4	0.032 5	5795.48	(3/2 ⁻ ,1/2 ⁻)	1723.478	1/2 ⁻		$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0028$ 8.
^x 4150.4 3	0.045 6						
^x 4200.5 6	0.020 5						
^x 4240.4 6	0.020 5						
4287.18 ^k 8	0.136 5	(8142.39)	1/2 ⁺	3854.97	3/2	D ^j	$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0088$ 9.
4354.44 [@] 8	0.355 8	(8142.39)	1/2 ⁺	3787.67	3/2 ⁻	D,E2 ⁱ	$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0242$ 18.
4673.16 ^k 4	0.560 10	(8142.39)	1/2 ⁺	3469.03	1/2 ⁻	D,E2 ⁱ	$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0376$ 18.
4713.75 ^g 12	0.956 14	(8142.39)	1/2 ⁺	3428.25	3/2 ⁻	D,E2 ⁱ	$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0661$ 21.
4881.384 ^k 26	4.51 5	(8142.39)	1/2 ⁺	3260.702	3/2 ⁻	D,E2 ⁱ	$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.308$ 7.
4966.85 ^g 7	2.89 3	(8142.39)	1/2 ⁺	3175.283	1/2 ⁻	D,E2 ⁱ	$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.196$ 5.
^x 5204.37 14	0.074 4						
^x 5291.8 5	0.020 4						
5477.77 ^k 6	0.206 5	(8142.39)	1/2 ⁺	2664.36	3/2 ⁺	M1 ^m	$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0135$ 13.
^x 5543.4 4	0.023 4						

⁴⁸Ti(n, γ),(pol n, γ) E=th 1992Ku17,1983Ru08,2003ChXS (continued) γ (⁴⁹Ti) (continued)

E_γ^{\dagger}	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger o}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [#]	Comments
5637.74 [@] 4	0.428 7	(8142.39)	1/2 ⁺	2504.36	1/2 ⁺	D,E2 ⁱ	$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.0256$ 16.
x6195.1 9	0.022 7						
6418.53 [@] 6	30.5 3	(8142.39)	1/2 ⁺	1723.478	1/2 ⁻	D,E2 ⁱⁿ	$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=1.96$ 6.
6555.99 [@] 4	5.09 5	(8142.39)	1/2 ⁺	1585.967	3/2 ⁻	D,E2 ⁱ	$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=0.334$ 8.
6760.12 [@] 4	46.3 4	(8142.39)	1/2 ⁺	1381.770	3/2 ⁻	D,E2 ⁱ	$\sigma_\gamma^Z(b)=2.97$ 9.

[†] From 1983Ru08. Converted to γ energies from $E\gamma+E(\text{recoil})$ by the evaluator. Statistical uncertainty given. Calibration for high-energy γ 's were based on the ²H and ¹⁵N neutron binding energies; if $S(n)/(^{15}\text{N})=10833.230$ (2003Au03), these energies may need to be reduced by up to 7 ppm.

[‡] Absolute intensity per 100 n-captures. See 1983Ru08 for branching ratios. Statistical uncertainty given.

[#] The upper limit for E2 radiation proposed by J. Kopecky (E.C.N. Report, $\epsilon N(\text{exp})-99$ (1981)) and RUL(M2) (1979En04) were assumed by 1983Ru08 to estimate the maximum $\delta(E2, M2)$ in the circular polarization analysis.

[@] Weighted averages (external) of 137.48 3 (1983Ru08) and 137.35 3 (2003ChZS), 1122.63 3 (2003ChZS) and 1122.79 4 (1983Ru08), 2991.01 13 (2003ChZS) and 2991.31 12 (1983Ru08), 4354.25 10 (2003ChZS) and 4354.47 4 [syst. $\Delta E(\gamma)=2.6 \times 10^{-4}\%$] (1983Ru08), 5637.55 14 (2003ChZS) and 5637.74 4 [syst. $\Delta E(\gamma)=2.6 \times 10^{-4}\%$] (1983Ru08), 6418.35 8 (2003ChZS) and 6418.551 19 [syst. $\Delta E(\gamma)=2.6 \times 10^{-4}\%$] (1983Ru08), 6555.87 9 (2003ChZS) and 6556.003 21 [syst. $\Delta E(\gamma)=2.6 \times 10^{-4}\%$] (1983Ru08), and 6760.01 9 (2003ChZS) and 6760.133 20 [syst. $\Delta E(\gamma)=2.6 \times 10^{-4}\%$] (1983Ru08).

[&] Systematic $\Delta E(\gamma)=2.6 \times 10^{-4}\%$.

^a From 1959Kn53 (NaI). Alternative δ excluded by $\alpha(\text{exp})$ and $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ (1968Ho08). Phase difference between E2 and M1 components is 180.5° 4, consistent with time-reversal invariance (1978Sh07). (pol n, γ), cold 4 angstrom neutron source. 342-1381 $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$; NaI with filter and shielding).

^b From 2003ChZS. 637.8 5, 878.5 5, 1618 3, and 1881 1 γ 's reported by 1969TrZX; not observed by 1983Ru08. 3534.4 γ unplaced by 1983Ru08.

^c Weak (1969TrZX).

^d From 1969TrZX. $I\gamma$ renormalized by evaluator to $I\gamma(6419\gamma)=30.5$ from $I\gamma(6419\gamma)=29$.

^e Weighted averages (internal) of 902.35 6 (1983Ru08) and 902.42 8 (2003ChZS), 1549.56 8 (1983Ru08) and 1549.67 11 (2003ChZS), 2709.58 17 (1983Ru08) and 2709.68 16 (2003ChZS), and 2730.18 13 (1983Ru08) and 2730.39 15 (2003ChZS).

^f Placed because intensity imbalance is improved appreciably.

^g Unweighted averages of 1589.19 5 (2003ChZS) and 1589.348 19 (1983Ru08), 1853.7 5 (1983Ru08) and 1855.22 23 (2003ChZS), 3186.91 10 (1983Ru08) and 3187.46 14 (2003ChZS), 4713.63 7 (2003ChZS) and 4713.86 3 (1983Ru08), and 4966.68 6 (2003ChZS) and 4966.877 23 (1983Ru08).

^h Branching ratios from 1983Ru08 and 2003ChZS are discrepant.

ⁱ From comparison to RUL (evaluator).

^j $J(5151,3855)\neq 1/2$ since $R=1.00$ excluded; primary γ 's too strong to be M2 or E2 in nature.

^k Systematic $\Delta E(\gamma)=3.2 \times 10^{-4}\%$.

^l 2003ChZS assign this γ as deexciting a 5312 level and adopt $J^\pi=1/2^+$. The evaluator has found no other evidence for this state.

^m L(d,p)=2 for 2664; primary γ too strong to be E2.

ⁿ Circular polarization coefficient $R=+1.00$ assumed as an internal calibration standard.

^o For intensity per 100 neutron captures, multiply by 1.00 5.

^p Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.



