

### Adopted Levels, Gammas

Type	History		Literature Cutoff Date
	Author	Citation	
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh	ENSDF	28-Feb-2011

$Q(\beta^-)=11688.4$  9;  $S(n)=5398.3$  12;  $S(p)=1.45\times 10^4$  syst;  $Q(\alpha)=-1.368\times 10^4$  10 [2012Wa38](#)

Note: Current evaluation has used the following Q record 1.097E+4 7 6.27×10<sup>3</sup> 7 13890 syst-1438E1 14 [2009AuZZ,2003Au03](#).  
Estimated  $\Delta SP=306$  keV ([2009AuZZ,2003Au03](#)).

$Q(\beta^-n)=5.820$  MeV 70,  $S(2n)=10770$  70,  $S(2p)=34380$  600 (syst) ([2009AuZZ,2003Au03](#)).

$Q(\beta^-)$ : [2003Au03](#) adopted the data of [1986Mi08](#). However, there appears to be a discrepancy in  $E\beta'$ s with the data of [1983RaZR](#); see  $^{49}\text{K}$   $\beta^-$  decay.

Z=19, N=30, neutron rich nucleus, near major shells Z=20 and N=28, shell model calculations. Test of multi-nucleon transfer reaction to study neutron rich nuclei.

Identification of  $^{49}\text{K}$  in  $^{238}\text{U}(p,F)$  ([1972KI04,1972RiZJ](#)).

[1983RaZR](#), [1982Ca04](#): U(p,X)E=600 MeV. Measured  $\beta'$ s (scin),  $\gamma$ 's,  $\beta(t)$  and  $\gamma(t)$ , n's (long counter), and  $\beta n$  and  $\gamma n$ . The thesis of [1983RaZR](#) is apparently a more complete report of the work presented by [1982Ca04](#).

[2009No01](#): calculated levels, J,  $\pi$ , shell model in large space.

### $^{49}\text{K}$ Levels

#### Cross Reference (XREF) Flags

**A**  $^{50}\text{Ar}$   $\beta^-n$  decay (85 ms)  
**B**  $^{238}\text{U}(^{48}\text{Ca},X\gamma)$

E(level)	J $\pi^\dagger$	T <sub>1/2</sub>	XREF	Comments
0.0	(1/2 <sup>+</sup> ,3/2 <sup>+</sup> )	1.26 s 5	<b>AB</b>	$\% \beta^- = 100$ ; $\% \beta^-n = 86$ 9 ( <a href="#">1982Ca04</a> ) \$ $\pi d_{3/2}^{-1}$ or $\pi s_{1/2}^{-1}$ state. J $^\pi$ : from shell-model predictions in <a href="#">2010Br14</a> , but another calculation ( <a href="#">2009No01</a> ) gives 3/2 <sup>+</sup> as g.s. and 1/2 <sup>+</sup> slightly above this energy. $\leq 5/2$ from log ft=6.1 to 3/2 <sup>+</sup> in $\beta^-$ decay to $^{49}\text{Cr}$ . 3/2 <sup>+</sup> from log ft=5.4 to 1/2 (5.3 MeV) and log ft=4.5 to 5/2 <sup>+</sup> in $\beta^-$ decay to $^{49}\text{Cr}$ . Note, however, that correspondence between unbound states observed in $^{49}\text{K}$ $\beta^-$ decay and $^{48}\text{Ca}(n,X),(n,\gamma)$ should not be considered as well established. T <sub>1/2</sub> : from $\beta$ and neutron counting ( <a href="#">1982Ca04</a> ). Other: 1.10 s 30 ( <a href="#">1978De17</a> , decay curve for $\gamma$ rays from $^{49}\text{K}$ decay). $\% \beta^-n$ : If $\% \beta^-n(^9\text{Li})=50\%$ 4 ( <a href="#">1982Ca04</a> ). Other: 90% 14 ( <a href="#">1983La23</a> . Ir(p,X) E=10 GeV. $\beta n$ -coin, 4 $\pi$ scin).
91.7 3	(1/2 <sup>+</sup> ,3/2 <sup>+</sup> )	8 ns 5	<b>B</b>	\$ $\pi d_{3/2}^{-1}$ or $\pi s_{1/2}^{-1}$ state. T <sub>1/2</sub> : >3 ns from recoil-distance method, <13 ns from $\gamma\gamma$ time distribution of 771-92 $\gamma\gamma$ events ( <a href="#">2010Br14</a> ).
862.8 3	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	2.2 ps 4	<b>B</b>	T <sub>1/2</sub> : from recoil-distance method ( <a href="#">2010Br14</a> ). Effective half-life, includes feeding from higher-lying states.
1102.9? 8	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )		<b>B</b>	Placement of 1011 $\gamma$ is either to 92-keV level as shown in figure 3 of <a href="#">2010Br14</a> or to the g.s.
1438.3 4	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	>0.35 ps	<b>B</b>	T <sub>1/2</sub> : from thick-target data where 575 $\gamma$ appears as a narrow line ( <a href="#">2010Br14</a> ). The fit to the ratio $I_{\text{after}}/[I_{\text{before}}+I_{\text{after}}]$ gave T <sub>1/2</sub> =3.4 ps 7 for 575.5 $\gamma$ which could imply T <sub>1/2</sub> is much shorter than 2.2 ps for the 863-keV state which is populated by 575 $\gamma$ .
2104.2 5	(7/2 <sup>-</sup> )	>0.35 ps	<b>B</b>	$\pi f_{7/2}$ state. T <sub>1/2</sub> : from thick-target data where 1241 $\gamma$ appears as a narrow line ( <a href="#">2010Br14</a> ).

$^\dagger$  From shell-model predictions ([2010Br14](#)).

**Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)**

$\gamma(^{49}\text{K})$						
$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Comments
91.7	$(1/2^+, 3/2^+)$	91.7	3	0.0	$(1/2^+, 3/2^+)$	
862.8	$(5/2^+)$	771.1	2	91.7	$(1/2^+, 3/2^+)$	
		862.6	8	0.0	$(1/2^+, 3/2^+)$	
1102.9?	$(5/2^+)$	1011.2	8	91.7	$(1/2^+, 3/2^+)$	Placement of 1011 $\gamma$ is either to 92-keV level as shown in figure 3 of <a href="#">2010Br14</a> or to the g.s.
1438.3	$(7/2^+)$	575.5	2	862.8	$(5/2^+)$	
2104.2	$(7/2^-)$	1241.4	4	862.8	$(5/2^+)$	

**Adopted Levels, Gammas****Level Scheme**

Intensities: Relative photon branching from each level

