

$^{49}\text{Ti}(\text{d,t})$ 1973Ja18

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen	NDS 179, 1 (2022)	30-Nov-2021

$J^\pi(^{49}\text{Ti g.s.})=7/2^-$.

1973Ja18: E=18 MeV deuteron beam was produced from the University of Rochester Tandem Van de Graaff accelerator. Target was $\approx 90 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ titanium oxide (76.14% in ^{49}Ti) on a $20 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ carbon foil. Reaction products were momentum-analyzed with a split-pole magnetic spectrograph (FWHM=9-10 keV) and detected with nuclear emulsions. Measured $\sigma(\theta=7.5^\circ$ to $45^\circ)$. Deduced levels, L-transfers, spectroscopic factors from DWBA analysis. **1973Ja18** also report data from $^{48}\text{Ti}(\alpha,\alpha')$.

 ^{48}Ti Levels

Spectroscopic factor S in this dataset is defined by $C^2S=\sigma(\text{exp})/\sigma(\text{DWBA})$.

E(level) [†]	L [‡]	S [‡]	Comments
0.0	3	0.28 11	
987	3	1.11 5	
2295	1+3	0.02+0.63	S: $\Delta S=0.005$ (L=1), 0.03 (L=3).
2421	1+3	0.01+0.18	S: $\Delta S=0.0025$ (L=1), 0.01 (L=3).
2998	3	0.020 5	
3224	1+3	0.02+0.07	S: $\Delta S=0.005$ (L=1), 0.02 (L=3).
3240	3(+1)	1.10(+0.01)	S: $\Delta S=0.04$ (L=3).
3333	3	2.18 5	
3359	0	0.13 1	
3371	3(+1)	0.37(+0.01)	S: $\Delta S=0.02$ (L=3).
3509	3	1.05 3	
3617	3(+1)	0.01(+0.002)	S: $\Delta S=0.025$ (L=3).
3739	3	0.020 5	
3783	0	0.010 3	
3855	0	0.010 3	
4041 [#]	(3) [#]	(0.08) [#]	
4072 [#]	(1,3) [#]	(0.1,0.5) [#]	
4383	1+3	0.06+0.06	
4402	(1+3)	(0.01+0.08)	S: $\Delta S=0.01$ (L=3).
4459	(1+3)	(0.002+0.01)	
4567	(2)	(0.05) 1	
4582	0	0.22 2	
4721	(1+3)	(0.004+0.04)	S: $\Delta S=0.01$ (L=3).
4795	0	0.15 2	
4886	(1+3)	(0.004+0.04)	S: $\Delta S=0.01$ (L=3).
4917	2	0.74 4	
4930	1+3	0.02+0.08	S: $\Delta S=0.005$ (L=1), 0.01 (L=3).
4940	1+3	0.03+0.14	S: $\Delta S=0.008$ (L=1), 0.01 (L=3).
4993	2	0.34 2	
5150	1	0.030 8	
5158	1	0.030 8	
5170	3(+1)	0.23(+0.01)	S: $\Delta S=0.01$ (L=3).
5199	3(+1)	0.03(+0.003)	S: $\Delta S=0.008$ (L=3).
5314	2	0.62 4	
5384	2	0.07 1	
5461	1+3	0.01+0.03	S: $\Delta S=0.005$ (L=1), 0.008 (L=3).
5523	0	0.08 1	
5544	2	0.12 1	
5617	0	0.11 1	
5640	0+2	0.02+0.13	S: $\Delta S=0.005$ (L=0), 0.02 (L=2).
5801	0+2	0.01+0.07	S: $\Delta S=0.0025$ (L=0), 0.01 (L=2).

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{49}\text{Ti}(\text{d,t})$ **1973Ja18 (continued)** ^{48}Ti Levels (continued)

E(level) [†]	L [‡]	S [‡]	Comments
5822	0+2	0.03+0.16	S: $\Delta S=0.01$ (L=0), 0.02 (L=2).
5886	2	0.13 2	
5988	1+3	0.02+0.11	S: $\Delta S=0.005$ (L=1), 0.02 (L=3).
6039	1+3	0.02+0.04	S: $\Delta S=0.005$ (L=1), 0.01 (L=3).
6067	2	0.34 3	
6168	0	0.27 2	
6248	3(+1)	0.02(+0.004)	S: $\Delta S=0.005$ (L=3).
6327	(0+2)	(0.01+0.04)	
6407	0	0.05 1	
6623	(0+2)	(0.01+0.05)	S: $\Delta S=0.01$ (L=2).
6713	(3)	(0.11) 2	
6797	(0+2)	(0.01+0.06)	S: $\Delta S=0.01$ (L=2).
7042	(0+2)	(0.01+0.10)	S: $\Delta S=0.02$ (L=2).

[†] From [1973Ja18](#). ΔE is not specified in [1973Ja18](#) but from proton spectra, resolution, statements in paper and a comparison with Adopted Levels, ± 10 keV appears a safe upper limit (5 keV for strongly populated levels).

[‡] From DWBA analysis of measured $\sigma(\theta)$ ([1973Ja18](#)). Uncertainty in S is statistical only and S value given in parentheses is upper limit for the corresponding L component.

[#] State was obscured by elastic deuteron scattering at forward angles and strength was based on assumed unmixed L value given ([1973Ja18](#)).