⁴⁸ Ti(e,e')	1990Gu09,1971He08
------------------------	-------------------

		History	
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen	NDS 179, 1 (2022)	30-Nov-2021

1990Gu09,1989Gu17: Two measurements: 1) E=25-70 MeV electron beams were produced from the Darmstadt electron linear accelerator and scattered electrons were detected with a double-focusing magnetic spectrometer; 2) E=70-209 MeV electrons from Amsterdam accelerator and scattered electrons were detected with a QDD magnetic spectrometer. Targets were self-supporting foils of 12 mg/cm² 99.1% enriched ⁴⁸Ti. Measured $\sigma(\theta=117^{\circ}, 141^{\circ}, 165^{\circ})$, FWHM=29 keV at E=25 MeV to 64 keV at 209 MeV. Deduced levels, J, π , transition strengths from model-independent and model-dependent PWBA analysis.

1971He08: E=198 and 299 MeV electron beams were produced from the Stanford Mark III electron accelerator. Measured $\sigma(\theta)$ with a double-focusing spectrograph and detected with a 100-channel plastic scintillator backed by 10 Cerenkov counters. Form factors compared with predictions of hydrodynamic liquid drop and phenomenological models. Deduced transition strengths. Others: 1982WoZS, 1977HoYY, 1972Li12, 1968Fr11.

⁴⁸Ti Levels

Transition strengths given under comments are from model-independent analysis in 1990Gu09, unless otherwise noted. 1990Gu09 note that the model-independent analysis tends to overestimate the strengths for weak states and, therefore, for those cases values from the model-dependent analysis is preferred and given, as noted.

E(level) [†]	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	Comments		
0.0	0+#			
984	2+ #	B(E2)↑=0.0537 36 (1971He08)		
		E(level): from 1971He08.		
		$B(E2)\uparrow$: other: 0.111 7, another value from fit in 1971He08.		
		Static quadrupole moment $Q_2 = -0.177$ eb 8 from electron scattering (1972Li12). 1972Li12 also report a value of -0.200 eb 37 determined from B(E2) ratios.		
2416	2+	B(E2)↑=0.0043 6		
		B(E2) \uparrow : weighted average of 0.0049 9 (1971He08) and 0.0040 6 (1990Gu09, model-dependent analysis).		
2996	0+			
3017	2*	B(E2)↑=0.00112 20		
3239	(3+)	B(M3)↑=0.50 <i>10</i>		
2274	a+ 0 a-	J^{A} : spin and parity and B(XL) [†] are preliminary (1990Gu09).		
3374	21&3	$B(E2)^{+}=0.0073 \ T0; \ B(E3)^{+}=0.0080 \ T6$		
		E(level), J [*] : 2 ⁺ from model-independent analysis; but model-dependent analysis shows a transition of another multipolarity (E3) is also excited giving rise to a line in the spectrum in the vicinity of the 2 ⁺ level.		
		B(E2)]. weighted average of 0.0070 10 (model-independent analysis) and 0.0008 12 (model-dependent analysis)		
		alialysis). P(F2) ² , from model dependent analysis (1000Cr00)		
3607	2+	$B(M_3)(r=0.200, 25)$		
3616	2+	$B(E_2)_{1=0,200} = 2.5$		
3704	1-	$B(E_1) = -0.0150 \text{ fb}$ $B(E_1) + 2.2 \times 10^{-5} (1980 \text{ cm} 17)$		
3704	1	B(E1) = 2A10 (1705/011/)		
		excited by an octupole vibration. Such incorrect model-independent J^{π} assignments occur in the case of the very special transition mechanism discussed in 1989Gu17 and the other model-independent 3 ⁻ states		
2742	1+	observed here require further study.		
3/42	1.	$B(M1) = 0.52 \delta$		
2707	2-	BM1=0.01 0 from model-dependent analysis (1990Gu09).		
20101	(2^{+})	$\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{M}_{2}) = 0.40.4$		
3649?	(5)	B(MS) = 0.404		
		J^{*} : spin and parity and $B(AL)$ are premininary (1990Gu09).		
3871	(3 ⁻)			
4102	1	B(M1)]=0.1/ /		
4209	2			

⁴⁸Ti(e,e') 1990Gu09,1971He08 (continued)

⁴⁸Ti Levels (continued)

E(leve	el) [†] J ^{π‡}	Comments
4263	1+	B(M1)↑=0.14 10
4596	$(3^{-})^{@}$	
4918	2+	$B(E2)\uparrow=0.00138.21$
4997	$\bar{0}^{+}$	
5241	1+	$B(M1)\uparrow=0.11 3$
5317	2+	B(E2)↑=0.00164 28
5562	$(3^{-})^{@}$	
5571	2+	B(E2)↑=0.00093 20
5633	2+	$B(E2)^{+}=0.0019.5$
5640	$1^+, 2^+$	$B(M1)\uparrow=0.47 8; B(E2)\uparrow=0.00119 18$
	,	E(level), J^{π} : could be 5633+5657 in model-independent analysis.
5657	1+	$B(M1)\uparrow=0.25~4$
		BM1=0.33 4 from model-dependent analysis.
5764	2+	B(E2)↑=0.00031 10
5835	(3 ⁻) [@]	
5884	$(3^{-})^{@}$	
5940	2+	B(E2)↑=0.00038 12
5988	$1^+, 3^+$	B(M1)↑=0.08 3; B(M3)↑=0.236 59
6011	2+,3+	B(E2)↑=0.00051 12; B(M3)↑=0.196 33
6029	$(3^{-})^{@}$	
6061	$1^+, 3^+$	B(M1)↑=0.10 3; B(M3)↑=0.152 40
6077	$(3^{-})^{@}$	
6122	0+	
6203	2-	
6248	3-	B(E3)↑=0.00349 35
	Ø	$E(\text{level}), J^{\alpha}$: other: 6241, 2 from model-independent analysis (1990Gu09).
6267	(3 ⁻) ^w	
6424	3-	B(E3)↑=0.0056 29
6648	3+	$B(M3) \uparrow = 0.157 41$
6710	1+,3+	$B(M1)\uparrow=0.21$ 7; $B(M3)\uparrow=0.206$ 41
6/33	3'	B(M3) = 0.327 69
7070	1,3	B(M1) = 0.18 /; $B(M3)$ = 0.186 99
7220	2+	D(M1) = 1.010 P(M2) = 0.410.157
73/6	3 2+	$B(F2)^{-0.410}$ 15/ B(F2)^{-0.0085} 10
7826	$\frac{2}{1+3+}$	B(B2) = 0.00003 T9 $B(M1) = 0.00 4 \cdot B(M3) = 0.038 T1$
7872	3+	$B(M3)^{+}=0.00.84$
7911	1+	$B(M1)^{+}=0.08$ 3
8059	1+.3+	$B(M1)\uparrow=0.09$ 3: $B(M3)\uparrow=0.084$ 19
0107	1+ 2+	$R(M_1)$ (-0.24) $R(M_3)$ (-0.103) 23

[†] From 1990Gu09, unless otherwise noted. For values quoted from 1971He08, an additional 6% uncertainty in the normalization as noted in 1971He08 is not included and has been added by the evaluator.

[‡] Spin and parities and B(XL)[↑] are extracted from a model-independent analysis of measured $\sigma(\theta)$ (1990Gu09), unless otherwise noted. # From Adopted Levels.

[@] Parentheses added by evaluator. These 3⁻ assignments are extracted from model-independent analysis but considered questionable. See the comment on $J^{\pi}(3704)$.