⁹Be(⁴⁹Fe,Xγ) 2021Ya33

History								
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date					
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen	NDS 179, 1 (2022)	30-Nov-2021					

2021Ya33: E=80 MeV/nucleon ⁴⁹Fe secondary beam was produced by fragmentation of ≈ 160 MeV/nucleon ⁵⁸Ni primary beam from the K500/K1200 cyclotrons on a 802 mg/cm² ⁹Be production target at NSCL. Fragments were identified and separated with the A1900 fragment separator. Reaction target was 188 mg/cm² ⁹Be. γ rays were detected with the GRETINA tracking array of 9 detector modules with each consisting of 4 HPGe crystals; reaction products were identified with the S800 spectrograph according to time-of-flight and energy loss. Measured E γ , I γ , particle- γ -coin, $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, cross sections. Deduced levels, J, π . Comparisons with shell model calculations.

Level scheme is tentatively proposed by 2021Ya33 based on comparisons with that of the mirror nucleus ⁴⁸Ti.

⁴⁸Fe Levels

Total inclusive cross section=8 mb 2. The exclusive cross section for each level is listed under comments.

E(level) [†]	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	Comments
0.0	0^{+}	σ =3 mb 2.
971.0? 10	(2^{+})	σ =0.9 mb 9.
2255.0? 14	(4^{+})	σ =1.1 mb 8.
2378? 3	(2^{+})	σ =0.4 mb 2.
3199.0? 25	(4^{+})	σ =0.5 mb 4.
3243.0? 23	(6^{+})	σ =0.1 mb 6.
3476? 5	(3 ⁻)	σ =0.4 mb 2.
3499.0? 22	(6^{+})	σ =1.9 mb 4.
4206? 4	(5 ⁻)	σ =0.3 mb 2.

[†] From a least-squares fit to γ -ray energies.

[‡] Proposed in 2021Ya33 based on comparions with mirror nucleus ⁴⁸Ti and shell-model predictions.

E_{γ}^{\dagger}	Ι _γ ‡	E _i (level)	\mathbf{J}_i^{π}	E _f J	π f
256 1	19 3	3499.0?	(6^{+})	3243.0? (64	-)
944 [#] 2	86	3199.0?	(4^{+})	2255.0? (44)
971 <i>1</i>	100 14	971.0?	(2^{+})	$0.0 0^+$	
988 <i>3</i>	21 11	3243.0?	(6^{+})	2255.0? (4+)
1244 2	15 <i>3</i>	3499.0?	(6^{+})	2255.0? (4+)
1284 <i>I</i>	69 5	2255.0?	(4^{+})	971.0? (24)
1407 <i>3</i>	73	2378?	(2^{+})	971.0? (24)
1951 [#] 4	63	4206?	(5 ⁻)	2255.0? (44	-)
2505 5	73	3476?	(3-)	971.0? (24)

[†] From 2021Ya33.

[‡] Not available in 2021Ya33; quoted values are from an email reply of the first author R. Yajzey to the evaluator (J. Chen) on December, 9, 2021.

[#] Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

 $\gamma(^{48}\text{Fe})$

 ${}^{48}_{26}\text{Fe}_{22}$ -2



 $^{48}_{26}{
m Fe}_{22}$