

$^{48}\text{Mn} \beta^+ \text{ decay (157.7 ms)}$ 1991Sz03,1987Se07

Type	Author	History
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen	Citation
		Literature Cutoff Date
	NDS 179, 1 (2022)	30-Nov-2021

Parent: ^{48}Mn : E=0.0; $J^\pi=4^+$; $T_{1/2}=157.7$ ms 22; $Q(\beta^+)=13525$ 10; % β^+ decay=100.0

$^{48}\text{Mn-T}_{1/2}$: From Adopted Levels of ^{48}Mn , taken as weighted average of 158.1 ms 22 ([1991Sz03](#)) and 150 ms 10 ([1987Se07](#)).

$^{48}\text{Mn-Q}(\beta^+)$: From [2021Wa16](#).

$^{48}\text{Mn-}\% \beta^+$ decay: Adopted % β^+ p=0.28 4, taken from [1991Sz03](#). Other: 0.27 12 from [1987Se07](#).

[1991Sz03](#): ^{48}Mn ions were produced via $^{12}\text{C}(^{40}\text{Ca},\text{p}3\text{n})$ reaction with ^{40}Ca beam from the UNILAC at GSI. γ rays were detected with two HPGe detectors and β -delayed protons were detected with two surface-barrier Si detector telescopes. Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma(t)$, β -delayed protons. Deduced levels, J , π , parent $T_{1/2}$, β -decay branching ratios, log f_t , B(GT).

[1987Se07](#): ^{48}Mn ions were produced by fusion-evaporation of 11.7 MeV/nucleon ^{40}Ca beam from the UNILAC at GSI with a 12.4 mg/cm² tungsten window and a 27 mg/cm² graphite catcher as reaction target (E=9.8 MeV/nucleon on target) and directed onto an aluminized mylar tape. γ rays were detected with a Ge(Li) detector and β particles were detected with a thin 4 π plastic scintillator for β and γ singles, with two HPGe detectors and a 2 π scintillator for $\beta\gamma\gamma$ measurements. For charged-particle measurements, ions were implanted into a 43 $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ carbon foil in front of a telescope of two surface-barrier Si detectors. Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\beta\gamma$ -coin, $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, $\beta\gamma\gamma$ -coin, $\beta\gamma(t)$, β -delayed proton decay. Deduced levels, J , π , parent $T_{1/2}$, β -decay branching ratios, log f_t , B(GT). Comparisons with shell-model calculations.

The decay scheme is based on $\gamma\gamma$ -coincidences and summing relation, and halflife measurements in [1987Se07](#). The decay scheme could be incomplete due to a large gap between Q-value=13525 10 ([2021Wa16](#)) and the highest observed level at E=5793. The proton separation of ^{48}Cr is S(p)=8103 7 ([2021Wa16](#)).

 $^{48}\text{Cr Levels}$

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$ [‡]	Comments
0.0	0 ⁺	21.56 h 3	% ε +% β^+ =100
752.11 20	2 ⁺		
1858.2 3	4 ⁺		
3444.8 4	6 ⁺		E(level): from the Adopted Levels. If placement of 87 γ from 3533 level is correct, this state will be fed.
3533.2 4	4 ⁽⁻⁾		
4063.9 5	5 ⁽⁻⁾		
4428.5 4	4 ⁺		
4652.8 4	(3,4) ⁺		
5032.4 4	(3,4) ⁺		
5293.8 7	3 ⁺ ,4 ⁺ ,5 ⁺		
5608.2? 5	(3 ⁺ ,4 ⁺)		
5792.5 3	4 ⁺		

[†] From a least-squares fit to γ -ray energies.

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

 ε, β^+ radiations

4.6≤log f_t ≤4.9 above 9.4 MeV excitation energy from delayed proton intensities per 250 keV interval ([1991Sz03](#)).

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+$ [‡]	$I\varepsilon$ [‡]	Log f_t	$I(\varepsilon+\beta^+)$ ^{†‡}	Comments
(7733 10)	5792.5	58.3 21	0.0575 22	3.49 2	58.4 21	av E β =3152.6 50; εK =0.000878 4; εL =9.12×10 ⁻⁵ 4; εM +=1.581×10 ⁻⁵ 7
(7917# 10)	5608.2?	1.63 23	0.00148 21	5.1 1	1.63 23	av E β =3243.4 50; εK =0.000810 4; εL =8.42×10 ⁻⁵ 4; εM +=1.459×10 ⁻⁵ 7

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{48}\text{Mn } \beta^+$ decay (157.7 ms) 1991Sz03,1987Se07 (continued) ϵ, β^+ radiations (continued)

E(decay)	E(level)	I $\beta^+ \ddagger$	Ie \ddagger	Log ft	I($\epsilon + \beta^+$) $\ddagger \ddagger$	Comments
(8231 10)	5293.8	3.1 4	0.0025 3	4.9 1	3.1 4	av E β =3398.4 50; ϵK =0.000710 3; ϵL = 7.38×10^{-5} 3; ϵM = 1.278×10^{-5} 6
(8493 10)	5032.4	8.3 7	0.0059 5	4.56 4	8.3 7	av E β =3527.3 50; ϵK =0.000639 3; ϵL = 6.64×10^{-5} 3; ϵM = 1.150×10^{-5} 5
(8872 10)	4652.8	3.9 9	0.0024 6	5.0 1	3.9 9	av E β =3714.8 50; ϵK =0.0005517 2; ϵL = 5.733×10^{-5} 22; ϵM = 9.93×10^{-6} 4
(9097 10)	4428.5	10.0 22	0.0057 13	4.6 1	10.0 22	av E β =3825.6 50; ϵK =0.0005075 1; ϵL = 5.274×10^{-5} 20; ϵM = 9.14×10^{-6} 4
(9992 [#] 10)	3533.2	<0.2		>6.6	<0.2	av E β =4268.7 50
(11667 10)	1858.2	5.9 24	0.0015 6	5.4 2	5.9 24	av E β =5099.8 50; ϵK =0.0002238 7; ϵL = 2.325×10^{-5} 7; ϵM = 4.027×10^{-6} 12
(12773 [#] 10)	752.11					I($\epsilon + \beta^+$): from intensity balancing the evaluator obtains I $_{\epsilon + \beta^+}$ =9 5 (1991Sz03 obtained 9.9 114), which would result in a log ft=5.5 inconsistent with $\Delta J=2$ and $\Delta \pi=\text{no}$ (2nd forbidden) of this decay branch if existing. As explained in 1991Sz03, this decay branch is negligible and this mismatch in intensity balance is most probably due to unobserved high-energy transitions to the 752 level from levels above E=5793 that are populated but not seen in the decay measurements due to Pandemonium effect.

[†] From $\gamma + ce$ intensity balance at each level. See comments for the significant mismatch at the 752 level, most probably due to unobserved high-energy transitions, which could have negligible impacts on the feedings to other levels high above the 752 level.

[‡] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

[#] Existence of this branch is questionable.

$^{48}\text{Mn} \beta^+$ decay (157.7 ms) 1991Sz03, 1987Se07 (continued)

$\gamma(^{48}\text{Cr})$

I $_{\gamma}$ normalization: Absolute intensities are obtained by normalizing relative intensities to I $_{\gamma}(752)=99.7\%$, obtained from % $\beta^+ p=0.28$ 4 from simultaneous measurement of protons and ^{48}Cr 752 γ in 1991Sz03, with the 752 γ being the only transition to 0 $^+$ ground state. Although there could be unobserved transitions from high-lying states due to Pandemonium effect, it is unlikely that there could be transitions among them to directly feed the 0 $^+$ ground state due to possible large spin differences. Therefore, %I $_{\gamma}=(752)=99.7$ is considered firmly determined.

E $_{\gamma}^{\ddagger}$ 87 @c	I $_{\gamma}^{\&b}$ 0.21	E $_i$ (level) 3533.2	J $^{\pi}_i$ 4 $^{(-)}$	E $_f$ 3444.8	J $^{\pi}_f$ 6 $^+$	Mult. ^a [M2]	δ^a	α^{\dagger}	Comments
531.0 5	0.54 11	4063.9	5 $^{(-)}$	3533.2	4 $^{(-)}$	M1+E2	0.24 3	0.000476 9	$\alpha(K)=0.399$ 6; $\alpha(L)=0.0429$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.00564$ 8 $\alpha(N)=0.0001953$ 27 I $_{\gamma}$: from adopted I $_{\gamma}(87)/I_{\gamma}(1675)=10/100$ and I $_{\gamma}(1675)=2.11$. $\alpha=0.000476$ 9; $\alpha(K)=0.000431$ 8; $\alpha(L)=4.00\times 10^{-5}$ 8; $\alpha(M)=5.27\times 10^{-6}$ 10 $\alpha(N)=1.97\times 10^{-7}$ 4 I $_{\gamma}$: weighted average of 0.8 4 (1987Se07) and 0.52 11 (1991Sz03).
752.1 2	99.7 60	752.11	2 $^+$	0.0	0 $^+$	E2		0.000325 5	$\alpha=0.000325$ 5; $\alpha(K)=0.000294$ 4; $\alpha(L)=2.73\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(M)=3.59\times 10^{-6}$ 5 $\alpha(N)=1.337\times 10^{-7}$ 19 I $_{\gamma}$: from 1991Sz03. All values in 1987Se07 have been re-normalized to this value.
760.2 2	3.18 24	5792.5	4 $^+$	5032.4	(3,4) $^+$				I $_{\gamma}$: weighted average of 3.0 3 (1987Se07) and 3.30 24 (1991Sz03).
1106.1 2	39.3 16	1858.2	4 $^+$	752.11	2 $^+$	E2		0.0001234 17	$\alpha=0.0001234$ 17; $\alpha(K)=0.0001107$ 16; $\alpha(L)=1.024\times 10^{-5}$ 14; $\alpha(M)=1.347\times 10^{-6}$ 19 $\alpha(N)=5.05\times 10^{-8}$ 7; $\alpha(IPF)=1.097\times 10^{-6}$ 17 I $_{\gamma}$: weighted average of 39.4 16 (1987Se07) and 39.2 24 (1991Sz03).
1139.7 2	6.67 44	5792.5	4 $^+$	4652.8	(3,4) $^+$				I $_{\gamma}$: weighted average of 6.9 5 (1987Se07) and 6.49 44 (1991Sz03).
1364.0 2	22.3 11	5792.5	4 $^+$	4428.5	4 $^+$				I $_{\gamma}$: weighted average of 22.5 11 (1987Se07) and 21.9 14 (1991Sz03).
1586.4 @	0.31	3444.8	6 $^+$	1858.2	4 $^+$	E2		0.0001789 25	$\alpha=0.0001789$ 25; $\alpha(K)=5.10\times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(L)=4.70\times 10^{-6}$ 7; $\alpha(M)=6.19\times 10^{-7}$ 9 $\alpha(N)=2.329\times 10^{-8}$ 33; $\alpha(IPF)=0.0001226$ 17 I $_{\gamma}$: deduced from $\gamma+ce$ intensity balance and I $_{\gamma}(87)=0.211$.
1675.0 4	2.11 14	3533.2	4 $^{(-)}$	1858.2	4 $^+$	(E1(+M2))	-0.01 5	0.000427 6	$\alpha=0.000427$ 6; $\alpha(K)=2.50\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(L)=2.30\times 10^{-6}$ 4; $\alpha(M)=3.02\times 10^{-7}$ 5 $\alpha(N)=1.140\times 10^{-8}$ 18; $\alpha(IPF)=0.000399$ 6 I $_{\gamma}$: weighted average of 2.1 2 (1987Se07) and 2.11 14 (1991Sz03).
1728.8 5	1.30 18	5792.5	4 $^+$	4063.9	5 $^{(-)}$				I $_{\gamma}$: from 1991Sz03. Other: ≈ 0.9 3 (1987Se07).

From ENSDF

⁴⁸Mn β^+ decay (157.7 ms) 1991Sz03,1987Se07 (continued) $\gamma(^{48}\text{Cr})$ (continued)

E_γ^{\ddagger}	$I_\gamma^{&b}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Comments
2259.2 5	1.64 19	5792.5	4 ⁺	3533.2	4 ⁽⁻⁾	I_γ : weighted average of 1.9 5 (1987Se07) and 1.60 19 (1991Sz03).
2570.2 5	1.59 18	4428.5	4 ⁺	1858.2	4 ⁺	I_γ : weighted average of 1.7 5 (1987Se07) and 1.58 18 (1991Sz03).
3174.1 5	2.28 31	5032.4	(3,4) ⁺	1858.2	4 ⁺	I_γ : weighted average of 2.4 6 (1987Se07) and 2.25 31 (1991Sz03).
3435.5 6	3.08 38	5293.8	3 ^{+,4⁺,5⁺}	1858.2	4 ⁺	I_γ : weighted average of 2.6 8 (1987Se07) and 3.19 38 (1991Sz03).
3676.2 4	30.7 19	4428.5	4 ⁺	752.11	2 ⁺	I_γ : weighted average of 31.5 31 (1987Se07) and 30.4 19 (1991Sz03).
3750.0 ^{#c}	1.09 20	5608.2?	(3 ^{+,4⁺)}	1858.2	4 ⁺	
3900.5 5	10.6 7	4652.8	(3,4) ⁺	752.11	2 ⁺	I_γ : weighted average of 11.2 13 (1987Se07) and 10.44 69 (1991Sz03).
3934.1 5	23.3 16	5792.5	4 ⁺	1858.2	4 ⁺	I_γ : weighted average of 24.4 27 (1987Se07) and 22.9 16 (1991Sz03).
4280.1 5	9.15 56	5032.4	(3,4) ⁺	752.11	2 ⁺	I_γ : weighted average of 9.8 18 (1987Se07) and 9.09 56 (1991Sz03).
4856.1 ^{#c}	0.54 10	5608.2?	(3 ^{+,4⁺)}	752.11	2 ⁺	
5040.5 ^c 10	<1.3	5792.5	4 ⁺	752.11	2 ⁺	E_γ, I_γ : from 1987Se07, observed dominantly due to $\gamma\gamma$ summing effects (1987Se07).

[†] Additional information 1.[‡] From 1987Se07, unless otherwise noted.[#] From 1991Sz03 only. Placement of these two transitions is based on their energy difference which corresponds to the 1106 γ deexciting the 1858, 4⁺ state.[@] Rounded values from Adopted Gammas; not observed in decay measurements in this dataset.[&] From weighted average of values from 1991Sz03 and 1987Se07 where available. Values quoted as from 1987Se07 are from re-normalization of the original intensities relative to $I_\gamma(752)=100$, renormalized to $I_\gamma(752)=99.7$ by the evaluator.^a From the Adopted Gammas.^b Absolute intensity per 100 decays.^c Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^{48}Mn β^+ decay (157.7 ms) 1991Sz03,1987Se07

