

<sup>46</sup>Ti(n,γ),(pol n,γ) E=thermal

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	T. W. Burrows	NDS 108, 923 (2007)	20-Feb-2007

1969Te01: measured γ's and γγ-coincidences and γγ(θ) (NaI; θ=90°,135°,180°). Serious problem from <sup>48</sup>Ti(n,γ) background.

1969TrZX: measured γ's; crystal diffraction spectrometer, Ge(Li). Natural target.

1972Kn07: measured γ's.

1978Ve06: measured circular polarization; Permendur polarimeter.

2003ChZS: measured γ's; HPGe; natural target. Obtained Prompt Gamma-Ray Activation datasets for Ti using their data, ENSDF (1995Bu05), and 1981Lo16.

<sup>47</sup>Ti Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π</sup> <sup>‡</sup>	E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π</sup> <sup>‡</sup>	E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π</sup> <sup>‡</sup>
0.0	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	2501.6? 21	1/2 <sup>(-)</sup> ,3/2,5/2 <sup>+</sup> <sup>#</sup>	3549.7 10	1/2 <sup>-</sup>
159.27 4	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	2554 4	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	3676.1 6	3/2 <sup>-</sup>
1252.6 20		2603? 7		3923 4	3/2 <sup>-</sup>
1549.47 10	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	2617.1 2	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	5356? 9	1/2 <sup>-</sup>
1793.37 14	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	2793 5	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	5746? 5	
1817 4	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>	2865? 3	1/2 <sup>(-)</sup> ,3/2,5/2 <sup>+</sup> <sup>#</sup>	(8880.29& 29)	1/2 <sup>+</sup> <sup>a</sup>
2413? 7		3176 4	≤5/2 <sup>+</sup> <sup>@</sup>		

<sup>†</sup> From least-squares fit to Eγ's holding capture-state energy fixed (evaluator).

<sup>‡</sup> From the Adopted Levels, except as noted in the footnotes. Circular polarization results for 1554, 1796, 2554, 2794, and 3522 to 3922 states are consistent with these values.

<sup>#</sup> Fed by primary γ; γ to 5/2<sup>-</sup> (evaluator).

<sup>@</sup> Fed by primary γ (evaluator).

<sup>&</sup> From 2003Au03. Held fixed in least-squares fit. Other: 8880.58 keV 30 (2006FaZZ. See <sup>46</sup>Ti(d,p) for details).

<sup>a</sup> Thermal capture on an even-even target (evaluator).

$^{46}\text{Ti}(n,\gamma),(\text{pol } n,\gamma) \text{ E=thermal (continued)}$

$\gamma(^{47}\text{Ti})$

Unplaced 887, 1314, and 1437  $\gamma$ 's from [1972Kn07](#) were not reported by [1969Te01](#). [1969TrZX](#) assign 889.1 5, 1312.1 3, and 1437.3 5  $\gamma$ 's to  $^{49}\text{Ti}$ ,  $^{48}\text{Ti}$ , and  $^{48}\text{Ti}$ , respectively. [2003ChZS](#) assign 1312.05 and 1437.48  $\gamma$ 's to  $^{48}\text{Ti}$  and  $^{49}\text{Ti}$ , respectively.

$E_\gamma$ <sup>†</sup>	$I_\gamma$ <sup>‡</sup>	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult.#	$\sigma_\gamma^Z(\text{b})$ <sup>@</sup>	Comments
159.27& 4	30	159.27	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	5/2 <sup>-</sup>		0.0090 8	
242 <sup>nao</sup> 3	1.5 <sup>n</sup>	2793	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	2554	3/2 <sup>-</sup>			
244.27 <sup>n&amp;</sup> 16	1.5 <sup>n</sup>	1793.37	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	1549.47	3/2 <sup>-</sup>		0.0017 4	$I_\gamma$ : from $I_\gamma(\text{doublet})=3.0$ and adopted $I_\gamma(244\gamma)/I_\gamma(1794\gamma)=0.491$ 23.
<sup>x</sup> 838 <sup>b</sup>	1.3							
<sup>x</sup> 1355 <sup>mb</sup>	0.4 <sup>m</sup>							
1355 <sup>mbo</sup>	0.4 <sup>m</sup>	3176	$\leq 5/2^+$	1817	3/2 <sup>+</sup> , 5/2 <sup>+</sup>			
1390.33& 10	15	1549.47	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	159.27	7/2 <sup>-</sup>		0.0076 7	$I_\gamma$ : from $I_\gamma(1556\gamma)=8.7$ ( <a href="#">1972Kn07</a> ) and $I_\gamma(1556\gamma)/I_\gamma(1396\gamma)=1/1.75$ ( <a href="#">1969Te01</a> ) (evaluator).
<sup>x</sup> 1525 <sup>b</sup>	2.8							
1556 <sup>c</sup> 3	8.7	1549.47	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1+E2		1556.57 $\gamma$ assigned to $^{48}\text{Ti}$ by <a href="#">2003ChZS</a> . $\delta$ : +0.5 3 or +3.6 30.
<sup>x</sup> 1608 <sup>b</sup>	1.3							
1633.0& 3	<i>d</i>	1793.37	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	159.27	7/2 <sup>-</sup>		0.0021 5	
1793.4 4	3.2	1793.37	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	5/2 <sup>-</sup>			$E_\gamma$ : from <a href="#">1969TrZX</a> . Other: 1798 3 ( <a href="#">1969Te01</a> ).
1818.4 <sup>eo</sup> 10	2.9	1817	3/2 <sup>+</sup> , 5/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	5/2 <sup>-</sup>			
2000 <sup>fgo</sup>	3.0	3549.7	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	1549.47	3/2 <sup>-</sup>			
2500 <sup>ho</sup> 5	<i>d</i>	2501.6?	1/2 <sup>(-)</sup> , 3/2, 5/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	5/2 <sup>-</sup>			
2556 <sup>f</sup> 4	12	2554	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	5/2 <sup>-</sup>			
2617 <sup>h</sup> 2		2617.1	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	5/2 <sup>-</sup>			
2855 <sup>ho</sup> 5		2865?	1/2 <sup>(-)</sup> , 3/2, 5/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	5/2 <sup>-</sup>			
3127 <sup>io</sup> 5	<i>d</i>	(8880.29)	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	5746?				
3145 <sup>io</sup> 5	<i>d</i>	5746?		2603?				
3189 <sup>io</sup> 5	<i>d</i>	5746?		2554	3/2 <sup>-</sup>			
3335 <sup>io</sup> 5	<i>d</i>	5746?		2413?				
3530 <sup>ho</sup> 10		(8880.29)	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	5356?	1/2 <sup>-</sup>			
3685 <sup>j</sup> 5	0.9	3676.1	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	E2,M1+E2		
<sup>x</sup> 3903 <sup>b</sup>	0.6							
3925 <sup>c</sup> 5	3.0	3923	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	E2,M1+E2		
<sup>x</sup> 3961 <sup>b</sup>	0.6							
<sup>x</sup> 4352 <sup>e</sup> 5	0.7							
<sup>x</sup> 4625 <sup>b</sup>	0.8							
<sup>x</sup> 4753 <sup>b</sup>	0.3							

<sup>46</sup>Ti(n,γ),(pol n,γ) E=thermal (continued)γ(<sup>47</sup>Ti) (continued)

$E_\gamma$ †	$I_\gamma$ ‡	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	$\sigma_\gamma^Z(\text{b})$ @
4959 <sup>j</sup> 5	4.6	(8880.29)	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	3923	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	
5204.0 <sup>&amp;c</sup> 6	3.6 <sup>l</sup>	(8880.29)	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	3676.1	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0059 <sup>l</sup> 12
5328 5	2.8	(8880.29)	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	3549.7	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	
5365 <sup>ho</sup> 10	<sup>k</sup>	5356?	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	
5700 <sup>b</sup>	0.9	(8880.29)	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	3176	≤5/2 <sup>+</sup>	
≈6011 <sup>ho</sup>	<sup>hk</sup>	(8880.29)	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	2865?	1/2 <sup>(-)</sup> , 3/2, 5/2 <sup>+</sup>	
6086 <sup>j</sup> 5	4.3	(8880.29)	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	2793	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	
6328 <sup>j</sup> 5	4.9	(8880.29)	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	2554	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	
6378 <sup>ho</sup> 2	<sup>d</sup>	(8880.29)	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	2501.6?	1/2 <sup>(-)</sup> , 3/2, 5/2 <sup>+</sup>	
7063 <sup>h</sup> 4		(8880.29)	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	1817	3/2 <sup>+</sup> , 5/2 <sup>+</sup>	
7086.8 <sup>&amp;</sup> 4	12 <sup>l</sup>	(8880.29)	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	1793.37	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0060 <sup>l</sup> 8
7330.28 <sup>&amp;</sup> 23	9.5 <sup>l</sup>	(8880.29)	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	1549.47	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0078 <sup>l</sup> 8
7627 <sup>h</sup> 2		(8880.29)	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	1252.6		

† From 1969Te01, except as noted.

‡ Relative photon intensity from 1972Kn07, except As noted.

# From  $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$  assuming primary  $\gamma$  is E1.

@ From 2003ChZS. Elemental  $\sigma_\gamma^Z$  assuming abundance=8.25% 2 (2005TuZX).

& From 2003ChZS. Others: 158.6 4, 242 3, 1391.5 5, 1632 3, 7083 and 7334 2 (1969TrZX); 5205 5 (1969Te01).

<sup>a</sup> No evidence for this placement In other  $\gamma$  studies; placement of 244.27 $\gamma$  deexciting this state instead of 1793 by 2003ChZS seems incorrect (evaluator).

<sup>b</sup> From 1972Kn07. Not reported by 1969Te01, 1969TrZX, or 2003ChZS.

<sup>c</sup> 1553.4 2, 3921.7 20, and 5214 10  $\gamma$ 's assigned to <sup>49</sup>Ti(n,γ), <sup>48</sup>Ti(n,γ), and <sup>50</sup>Ti(n,γ) by 1969TrZX, respectively. Not reported by 2003ChZS.

<sup>d</sup> Weak transition.

<sup>e</sup> From 1969TrZX. Others: 1821 and 4359 (1972Kn07), respectively. Not reported by 1969Te01. 2003ChZS assign 4354.47  $\gamma$  to <sup>49</sup>Ti.

<sup>f</sup> Seen only In  $\gamma\gamma$ -coincidence (1969Te01); not reported by 1972Kn07, 1969TrZX, or 2003ChZS.

<sup>g</sup> No evidence for this placement In other  $\gamma$  studies; not the same As 2003 $\gamma$  from 2163 since 2163 $\gamma$  not observed (evaluator).

<sup>h</sup> From 1969TrZX. Not reported by 1969Te01, 1972Kn07, or 2003ChZS. 2500, 2617, 2855, and 6378 also assigned to <sup>48</sup>Ti(n,γ)?, <sup>50</sup>Ti(n,γ), <sup>49</sup>Ti(n,γ), and <sup>50</sup>Ti(n,γ), respectively, by 1969TrZX. Existence and placement of 2617 $\gamma$  supported In (p,p' $\gamma$ ) study.

<sup>i</sup> Very weak In singles spectrum of 1969Te01; not reported by 1972Kn07, 1969TrZX, or 2003ChZS.

<sup>j</sup> Not reported by 1969TrZX or 2003ChZS.

<sup>k</sup> Very weak transition.

<sup>l</sup> Branching ratios deduced from  $I_\gamma$  and  $\sigma_\gamma^Z$  differ.

<sup>m</sup> Multiply placed with undivided intensity.

<sup>n</sup> Multiply placed with intensity suitably divided.

<sup>o</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{46}\text{Ti}(n,\gamma),(\text{pol } n,\gamma) \text{ E=thermal}$

Legend

Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative  $I_\gamma$   
& Multiply placed: undivided intensity given  
@ Multiply placed: intensity suitably divided

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- - - - - →  $\gamma$  Decay (Uncertain)
- Coincidence
- Coincidence (Uncertain)

