

$^{47}\text{Ti}(\gamma,\gamma),(\gamma,\gamma')$  **1976Ra03**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	T. W. Burrows	NDS 108, 923 (2007)	20-Feb-2007

Bremsstrahlung. Measured  $\sigma(96^\circ, 126^\circ)$  and linear polarization (Compton polarimeter).

 $^{47}\text{Ti}$  Levels

E(level)	$J^\pi$ <sup>†</sup>	$T_{1/2}$ <sup>‡</sup>	$g\Gamma_{\gamma 0}^2/\Gamma$ (MeV)	Comments
0.0	5/2 <sup>-</sup>			
160	7/2 <sup>-</sup>			
2162	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	26 fs 7	11.4 32	
2297	5/2 <sup>-</sup> , 7/2 <sup>-</sup>	≈4 fs	78.0 75	$J^\pi: \sigma(96^\circ)/\sigma(126^\circ) (2297\gamma)$ excludes 9/2. RUL(2137) excludes 3/2. $\pi=+$ excluded since $\delta^2 < 0.001$ from RUL(M2) not consistent with $\sigma(96^\circ)/\sigma(126^\circ) (2297\gamma)$ . $T_{1/2}: T_{1/2}=3.3$ fs 4 if $J^\pi=5/2^-$ ; $T_{1/2}=4.4$ fs 5 if $J^\pi=7/2^-$ . $\Gamma_{\gamma 0}/\Gamma=0.75$ used in calculations instead of measured 0.77 3 (1976Ra03).
2548	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	6.2 fs 7	48.0 54	
3917?	3/2 <sup>-</sup>			

<sup>†</sup> From the Adopted Levels. Contributing arguments from this dataset given In comments.

<sup>‡</sup> From  $g\Gamma_{\gamma 0}^2/\Gamma$  with  $J^\pi$  and  $\Gamma_{\gamma 0}/\Gamma$  as given.

 $\gamma(^{47}\text{Ti})$ 

$E_\gamma$	$\Gamma_\gamma/\Gamma$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult.	$\delta$ <sup>†</sup>	Comments
(2002)	0.05 <sup>#</sup> 2	2162	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	160	7/2 <sup>-</sup>			
2137	0.23 <sup>@</sup> 3	2297	5/2 <sup>-</sup> , 7/2 <sup>-</sup>	160	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	(M1+E2) <sup>&amp;</sup>		$\delta$ : see table in 1976Ra03.
2162	0.95 <sup>#</sup> 2	2162	3/2 <sup>-</sup>		0.0 5/2 <sup>-</sup>			$\delta$ : $\sigma(96^\circ)/\sigma(126^\circ)$ consistent with isotropy.
2297	0.77 <sup>@</sup> 3	2297	5/2 <sup>-</sup> , 7/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0 5/2 <sup>-</sup>	(M1,E2)			Mult.: D,Q from $\sigma(96^\circ)/\sigma(126^\circ)$ . $\delta^2 < 0.001$ from RUL(M2) not consistent with $\sigma(96^\circ)/\sigma(126^\circ)$ . $\delta$ : see table in 1976Ra03.
2548		2548	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0 5/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1(+E2) <sup>&amp;</sup>	<0.5		$\delta$ : $\delta > 4$ improbable from comparison to RUL.
<sup>x</sup> 2810 <sup>‡a</sup>								
3917 <sup>‡a</sup>		3917?	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0 5/2 <sup>-</sup>				

<sup>†</sup> From analysis of  $\sigma(96^\circ)/\sigma(126^\circ)$ .

<sup>‡</sup> Possible assignment to either  $^{47}\text{Ti}$  or  $^{49}\text{Ti}$ .

<sup>#</sup> From  $\beta^+$  decay (1973Fi02).

<sup>@</sup> Assuming no other decays (1976Ra03).

<sup>&</sup> D+Q from  $\sigma(96^\circ)/\sigma(126^\circ)$ .  $\Delta\pi=\text{no}$  from  $J^\pi$ .

<sup>a</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

