

⁴⁵Sc(α ,np γ),(α ,d) 1976Me15,1976Ha14

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	T. W. Burrows	NDS 108, 923 (2007)	20-Feb-2007

1976Ha14: E=25 MeV. Measured $\sigma(\theta=7^\circ-50^\circ)$.

1976Me15: E=23 MeV. Measured γ 's, γ -excitation functions (E α =13-23 MeV), $\gamma\gamma$ -coincidences, and $\gamma(\theta)$. DSAM.

⁴⁷Ti Levels

E(level) [†]	J π [‡]	T _{1/2} [#]	Comments
0.0	5/2 ⁻		
159	7/2 ⁻		
1253	9/2 ⁻	0.35 [@] ps 14	
1444	11/2 ⁻	1.2 ps 6	
1553 10			
1798 10			
2175 15			
2310 15			
2420 15			
2540 15			
2630 15			
2684	11/2 ⁽⁻⁾	≥ 2.4 ps	Doublet (1976Ha14). J π : calculated γ -decay are inconsistent with observed decay if J π =11/2 ⁻ ; 1976Me15 suggest J π =7/2 ⁺ or 11/2 ⁺ for the 2684 state. 1976Ha14 suggest 13/2 ⁻ and (11/2 ⁻) for the doublet.
2748	15/2 ⁻	2.2 ps +20-9	
2850 15			
3230 15			
3289	13/2 ⁻		J π : calculated γ -decay are inconsistent with observed decay if J π =13/2 ⁻ .
3500 15			
3567	17/2 ⁻	0.27 [@] ps 9	J π : 17/2 ⁻ from characteristic shape of a broad maximum near 20° and γ -ray data (1976Ha14).
3580 15			
3640 15			
3690 15			
3720 15			
3840 15			
3950 15			
4494	19/2 ⁻	0.25 [@] ps 9	J π : 19/2 ⁻ from characteristic shape of a broad maximum near 20°, (d σ /d Ω (α ,d))/(d σ /d Ω (³ He,p))(θ =7°)=15 6, and γ -ray data (1976Ha14).
4710 15			
4760 15			
5197	21/2 ⁻		J π : γ -deexcitation pattern would agree with measured E(level)'s and f7/2-shell-model calculations only if J π =21/2 ⁻ (1976Me15).

[†] From 1976Ha14 where $\Delta E(\text{level})$ is given; others are from 1976Me15. Uncertainties are large enough to encompass the discrepancy between spectrograph and Ge(Li) work noted earlier by these authors (see (³He,p),(³He, γ)).

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

[#] From DSAM (1976Me15). $\Delta T_{1/2}$ includes uncertainty due to feeding time, except as noted.

[@] Might represent an upper limit due to feeding times.

$^{45}\text{Sc}(\alpha, n\text{p}\gamma), (\alpha, \text{d})$ 1976Me15, 1976Ha14 (continued) $\gamma(^{47}\text{Ti})$

All data are from 1976Me15.

E_γ	I_γ^\dagger	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	δ	Comments
(159)		159	$7/2^-$	0.0	$5/2^-$			
191 [#]	11	1444	$11/2^-$	1253	$9/2^-$			From figure 11. Not discussed by 1976Me15.
≈ 703	$<12^\ddagger$	5197	$21/2^-$	4494	$19/2^-$			
819.0	34^\ddagger	3567	$17/2^-$	2748	$15/2^-$	D+(Q)	≤ 0.1	Mult., δ : from $\gamma(\theta)$.
926.8	17^\ddagger	4494	$19/2^-$	3567	$17/2^-$	D+(Q)	≤ 0.1	Mult., δ : from $\gamma(\theta)$.
1093.7	27	1253	$9/2^-$	159	$7/2^-$	M1+E2		$\delta \leq 1.4 \geq 0.23$ Mult.: D+Q from $\gamma(\theta)$. RUL(M2) and δ exclude E1+M2.
1284.7	100^\ddagger	1444	$11/2^-$	159	$7/2^-$	E2		Mult.: Q or Q + O from $\gamma(\theta)$. Comparison to RUL excludes M2, limits M3 admixture to $\leq 3.2 \times 10^{-5}\%$.
1304.5	59^\ddagger	2748	$15/2^-$	1444	$11/2^-$	(E2(+M3))		Mult.: Q or Q + O from $\gamma(\theta)$. Comparison to RUL suggests M2 is excluded and M3 admixture is small.
1431.2	14	2684	$11/2^{(-)}$	1253	$9/2^-$			

[†] Relative photon intensity.[‡] Coincidence data show that any crossovers or other competing transitions must be weak (<10%).[#] Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

