

⁴⁸Ca(³He, α),(pol ³He, α) 1985Ha08,1978Fo34

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	T. W. Burrows	NDS 108, 923 (2007)	20-Feb-2007

1978Fo34: E=25 MeV. Measured $\sigma(\theta=5^\circ-40^\circ, 5^\circ \text{ steps})$; magnetic spectrometer, focal-plane position-sensitive Si detectors.
 1985Ha08: E=33.1 MeV. Measured $\sigma(\theta)$ and $A(\theta)$ ($\theta(\text{C.M.})\approx 10^\circ-110^\circ$); ΔE -E telescopes. Beam polarization=50-60%.
 All data are from 1978Fo34, except As noted. Both groups performed DWBA and CRC analyses. Others: see 1995Bu05.

⁴⁷Ca Levels

$\Sigma C^2S \gg 3.55$ for 2d3/2 hole states. Therefore, a large number of the L=2 states above 4.92 MeV may be identified as components of the 2d5/2 hole state which seems to be highly fragmented.

E(level)	J π^\dagger	L \ddagger	C ² S \ddagger	Comments
0.0	7/2 ⁻	3	5.42,6.94	J π : from characteristic shape of A(θ) (1985Ha08). Main component of 1f7/2. Using the isospin-dependent potential, C ² S=87% of shell-model sum rule.
2014 8	3/2 ⁻	(1)	0.03,0.04	
2569 8	3/2 ⁺	2	1.74,2.23	Main component of 2d3/2(T<). Using the isospin-dependent potential, C ² S=63% of shell-model sum rule.
2591 8	1/2 ⁺	0	1.08,1.38	Main component of 2s1/2(T<). Using the isospin-dependent potential, C ² S=78% of shell-model sum rule. For the ≈ 2.6 -MeV doublet, A(θ) indicates that the 1/2 ⁺ member is weakly excited (1985Ha08).
2846 8				L: non-pickup character of $\sigma(\theta)$ discrepant with results from other pickup and stripping reactions.
3267 8	7/2 ⁻	(3) [@]	0.01,0.02	
3296 8	7/2 ⁻	(3) [@]	0.03,0.04	
3423 8	7/2 ⁻	(3) [@]	0.10,0.13	
3566 8	9/2 ⁻ &	#		
3844 8	7/2 ⁺ ,11/2 ⁻	#		J π : 7/2 ⁺ from CRC analysis; assumed ((⁴⁸ Ca 3 ⁻) (ν 1f7/2) ⁻¹). 11/2 ⁻ from empirical arguments.
3877 8	5/2 ⁻ &	#		
3933 8	7/2 ⁻	(3) [@]	0.06,0.08	
3997 8	13/2	#		J π : From CRC analysis. 13/2 ⁻ if ((⁴⁸ Ca 3 ⁻) (ν 1f7/2) ⁻¹); 13/2 ⁺ if ((⁴⁸ Ca 4 ⁺) (ν 1f7/2) ⁻¹).
4050 8	1/2 ⁻	(1)	0.01,0.01	
4102 8	3/2 ⁺	(2)	0.03,0.05	
4205 8	9/2 ⁺	4	0.01,0.01	
4386 8	7/2 ⁺ ^a	#		
4412 8		#		
4455 8		#		
4531 8	3/2 ⁺ ^a	#		
4584 8	5/2 ⁺ ^a	#		
4611 8	5/2 ⁺ ^a	#		
4714 8	9/2 ⁻ &	#		
4785 8	5/2 ⁻	(3) [@]	0.01,0.01	
4810 8		#		
4880 8	13/2 ⁻	#		J π : From CRC analysis. Assumed ((⁴⁸ Ca 4 ⁺) (ν 1f7/2) ⁻¹).
4918 8	9/2 ⁻ &	#		
4960 8	5/2 ⁺	2	0.02,0.03	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{48}\text{Ca}(^3\text{He},\alpha),(\text{pol } ^3\text{He},\alpha)$ **1985Ha08,1978Fo34** (continued) ^{47}Ca Levels (continued)

<u>E(level)</u>	<u>J^π</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>C^2S</u>
4980 8	5/2 ⁺	2	0.14,0.20
5053 8	7/2 ⁺ ^a	#	
5189 8		#	
5245 8	5/2 ⁺	2	0.01,0.01
5293 8	5/2 ⁺	2	0.08,0.12
5448 8	5/2 ⁺	2	0.14,0.19
5550 8		#	
5588 8		#	
5641 8		#	
5785 8	1/2 ⁺	0	0.04,0.06 ^b
5875 8	5/2 ⁺	2	0.02,0.03
5916 8		#	
5963 8		(3) [@]	0.01,0.01
6065 15	5/2 ⁺	2	0.20,0.28
6127 15	5/2 ⁺	2	0.02,0.03
6158 15			
6254 15	5/2 ⁺	2	0.12,0.17
6291 15	5/2 ⁺	2	0.05,0.07
6467 15	5/2 ⁺	2	0.08,0.12
6524 15	5/2 ⁺	2	0.03,0.04
6610 15	5/2 ⁺	2	0.02,0.03
6635 15	5/2 ⁺	2	0.10,0.14
6670 15	5/2 ⁺	2	0.05,0.07
6719 15	7/2 ⁻	(3) [@]	0.01,0.01
6760 15	7/2 ⁻	(3) [@]	0.02,0.02
6883 15	7/2 ⁻	(3) [@]	0.03,0.04
6920 15	5/2 ⁺	(2)	0.01,0.01
7024 15			
7063 15	7/2 ⁻	(3) [@]	0.03,0.04
7117 15		#	
7151 15	5/2 ⁺	2	0.10,0.15
7305 15	5/2 ⁺	2	0.07,0.09
7415 15	5/2 ⁺	2	0.08,0.10
7499 15	5/2 ⁺	2	0.11,0.15
7545 15		#	
7642 15			
7679 15			
7736 15	5/2 ⁺	2	0.03,0.05
7785 15			
7842 15		#	
7893 ^c 15	1/2 ⁺	0	0.03,0.04 ^b
7893 ^c 15	5/2 ⁺	2	0.01,0.02
7954 15	5/2 ⁺	2	0.03,0.04
7995 15		#	
8021 15	1/2 ⁺	0	0.02,0.03 ^b
8121 15	1/2 ⁺	0	0.02,0.03 ^b
8264 15		(3) [@]	
8301 15	5/2 ⁺	2	0.03,0.04
8352 15	5/2 ⁺	2	0.02,0.04
8380 15	1/2 ⁺	0	0.04,0.05 ^b
8447 15			

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{48}\text{Ca}(^3\text{He},\alpha),(\text{pol } ^3\text{He},\alpha)$ **1985Ha08,1978Fo34** (continued)

^{47}Ca Levels (continued)

E(level)	J^π^\dagger	L^\ddagger	C^2S^\ddagger	Comments
8595 ^c 15	1/2 ⁺	0	0.01,0.01 ^b	
8595 ^c 15	5/2 ⁺	2	0.01,0.02	
8669 ^c 15	1/2 ⁺	0	0.01,0.01 ^b	
8669 ^c 15	5/2 ⁺	2	0.03,0.04	
8748 ^c 15	1/2 ⁺	0	0.01,0.01 ^b	
8748 ^c 15	5/2 ⁺	2	0.02,0.03	
8902 15				
8995 15				
9124 15	1/2 ⁺	0	0.02,0.03 ^b	
9230 15	5/2 ⁺	2	0.03,0.04	
9271 15	7/2 ⁻	(3) [@]	0.02,0.03	
9341 15	1/2 ⁺	0	0.02,0.02 ^b	
9451 15	1/2 ⁺	0	0.02,0.03 ^b	
9545 15	5/2 ⁺	2	0.05,0.07	
9612 15				
9678 15	5/2 ⁺	2	0.04,0.05	
9720 ^c 15	1/2 ⁺	0	0.01,0.01 ^b	
9720 ^c 15	5/2 ⁺	2	0.01,0.01	
9776 ^c 15	1/2 ⁺	0	0.01,0.01 ^b	
9776 ^c 15	5/2 ⁺	2	0.01,0.02	
9830 15				
9924 15				
9978 15	5/2 ⁺	2	0.06,0.08	
10056 15	5/2 ⁺	2	0.04,0.06	
10182 15	5/2 ⁺	2	0.06,0.08	
10238 15				
10302 15	5/2 ⁺	2	0.09,0.13	
10358 15	5/2 ⁺	2	0.09,0.12	
10431 15	5/2 ⁺	2	0.08,0.11	
10485 15	5/2 ⁺	2	0.07,0.11	
10581 15	5/2 ⁺	2	0.07,0.11	
10640 15	5/2 ⁺	2	0.06,0.09	
10680 15	5/2 ⁺	2	0.06,0.09	
10765 ^c 15	1/2 ⁺	0	0.07,0.10 ^b	
11003 15	5/2 ⁺	2	0.11,0.16	
11187 15				
11580 15				
11826 15				
12745 15	1/2 ⁺	0	0.26,0.18	T=9/2 IAR(1/2 ⁺ , g.s., ⁴⁷ K).
13103 15	3/2 ⁺	2	0.74,0.46	T=9/2 IAR(3/2 ⁺ , ³⁶⁰ , ⁴⁷ K)

[†] Assumed for DWBA analysis, except as noted.

[‡] From DWBA analysis. The first C^2S value is based on the separation-energy method; the second, on an isospin-dependent potential.

[#] $\sigma(\theta)$ exhibits a non-pickup character.

[@] Agreement between theory and experiment is generally bad for L=3 transitions.

[&] From CRC analysis. Assumed ($^{48}\text{Ca } 2^+$)($\nu 1f7/2^{-1}$).

${}^{48}\text{Ca}({}^3\text{He},\alpha),(\text{pol } {}^3\text{He},\alpha)$ [1985Ha08,1978Fo34](#) (continued)

${}^{47}\text{Ca}$ Levels (continued)

- ^a From CRC analysis. Assumed $(({}^{48}\text{Ca } 3^-)(\nu 1f7/2)^{-1})$.
^b These states account for $\approx 25\%$ of the $2s1/2(T<)$ strength.
^c Doublet.