

^{45}Ti ε decay 1971Zu01,1966Po04

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	T. W. Burrows	NDS 109, 171 (2008)	30-Oct-2007

Parent: ^{45}Ti : E=0.0; $J^\pi=7/2^-$; $T_{1/2}=184.8$ min 5; $Q(\varepsilon)=2062.1$ 5; % $\varepsilon+\beta^+$ decay=100.0
 $^{45}\text{Ti}-\text{E}, J^\pi, T_{1/2}$: From the ^{45}Ti Adopted Levels.

$^{45}\text{Ti}-\text{Q}(\varepsilon)$: From 2003Au03.

1966Po04 measured ce's and β^+ 's (toroidal field spect) and γ 's.

1971Zu01 measured γ 's.

1986Bo06 measured γ 's and investigated nuclear excitation via annihilation of positrons with atomic electrons.

Others: see 1992Bu01.

 ^{45}Sc Levels

All information is from the Adopted Levels.

E(level)	J^π	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0	$7/2^-$	stable	
12.40 5	$3/2^+$	325.8 ms 42	
376.50 12	$3/2^-$		
543.06 14	$5/2^+$		
720.12 14	$5/2^-$		
974.38 15	$7/2^+$		
1236.70 25	$11/2^-$		
1408.27 20	$(7/2)^-$		
1662.0 4	$9/2^-$		
1800.0? 5	$5/2^+$		Primarily excited in the annihilation of positrons with atomic electrons (1986Bo06). See $^{45}\text{Sc}(e^+, X\gamma)$ for estimated σ 's.

 ε, β^+ radiations

TI,IE(A) From intensity imbalance for each level based upon the relative Iy data of 1971Zu01 and 1966Po04 and the absolute ce(K)(12.4 γ)=1.2% 3 (1966Po04).

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+ \frac{\ddagger}{\dagger}$	$I\varepsilon^\ddagger$	Log ft	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+) \frac{\ddagger}{\dagger}$	Comments
(262.1# 7)	1800.0?		$9.8 \times 10^{-5} \frac{\ddagger}{\dagger}$ 14	$8.0 \frac{\ddagger}{\dagger}$ 1	$9.8 \times 10^{-5} \frac{\ddagger}{\dagger}$ 14	$\varepsilon K=0.8919$; $\varepsilon L=0.09229$; $\varepsilon M+=0.01577$
(400.1 7)	1662.0		0.060 6	5.55 5	0.060 6	$\varepsilon K=0.8930$; $\varepsilon L=0.09141$; $\varepsilon M+=0.01560$
(653.8 5)	1408.27		0.096 9	5.78 4	0.096 9	$\varepsilon K=0.8937$; $\varepsilon L=0.09078$; $\varepsilon M+=0.01548$
(1087.7 5)	974.38		0.0102 12	7.20 6	0.0102 12	$\varepsilon K=0.8940$; $\varepsilon L=0.09036$; $\varepsilon M+=0.01540$
(1342.0 5)	720.12	0.0135 12	0.133 12	6.26 4	0.147 13	av $E\beta=133.26$ 22; $\varepsilon K=0.8122$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.08197$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=0.013967$ 8
(1519.0# 5)	543.06	<0.00052	<0.0011	>8.5	<0.0016	av $E\beta=206.10$ 22; $\varepsilon K=0.6023$ 8; $\varepsilon L=0.06074$ 8; $\varepsilon M+=0.010350$ 13
(1685.6# 5)	376.50	<0.002	<0.001	>8.5 ^l	<0.003	av $E\beta=276.07$ 22; $\varepsilon K=0.3886$ 6; $\varepsilon L=0.03917$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.006673$ 10
(2049.7# 5)	12.40	<0.003	<0.003	>9.6 ^{lu}	<0.006	Allowed spectrum assumed for calculations.
2066 5	0	84.80 13	14.89 13	4.591 2	99.685 17	av $E\beta=463.01$ 23; $\varepsilon K=0.3758$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.03803$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=0.006480$ 7
						Log ft: uniqueness from ΔJ^π .
						av $E\beta=438.93$ 22; $\varepsilon K=0.13359$ 18; $\varepsilon L=0.013456$ 18; $\varepsilon M+=0.002292$ 3

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

 ^{45}Ti ε decay 1971Zu01,1966Po04 (continued) **ε, β^+ radiations (continued)**

[†] From selection rules and systematics, a $1\text{f}7/2 \rightarrow 2\text{s}1/2$ should be two to three orders of magnitude less than a $1\text{f}2/2 \rightarrow 1\text{d}3/2$ β -transition (*i.e.*, $9.5 \leq \log ft(1801) \leq 10.5$ relative to $\log ft(975)=7.2$); therefore, 1801 state is primarily populated via nuclear excitation in annihilation of positrons with atomic electrons ([1986Bo06](#)). [1971Zu01](#) estimated $I\varepsilon < 2 \times 10^{-4}$.

[‡] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

[#] Existence of this branch is questionable.

$^{45}\text{Ti} \varepsilon$ decay 1971Zu01,1966Po04 (continued) $\gamma(^{45}\text{Sc})$ I $_{\gamma}$ normalization, I($\gamma+ce$) normalization: From ce(K)(12.4 γ)=1.2% 3.%ce(K): from comparison of the electron line areas with the β^+ spectrum area (1966Po04).

E $_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	I $_{\gamma}^{\dagger a}$	E $_i$ (level)	J $^{\pi}_i$	E $_f$	J $^{\pi}_f$	Mult. ‡	δ^{\ddagger}	α^{\ddagger}	I $_{(\gamma+ce)}^{\dagger a}$	Comments
12.40 5	0.033# 9	12.40	3/2 $^+$	0	7/2 $^-$	(M2)		423 9	14 4	ce(K)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.855 10; ce(L)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.126 4; ce(M)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.0156 5; ce(N)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.000703 22 $\alpha(K)=362$ 8; $\alpha(L)=53.5$ 12; $\alpha(M)=6.63$ 15; $\alpha(N)=0.298$ 7 E $_{\gamma}$: from level energy. Comment added B. Singh, May 01, 2021.
(166.4&)	0.008 5	543.06	5/2 $^+$	376.50	3/2 $^-$	(E1)		0.00518 8		I $_{\gamma}$: from I($\gamma+ce$) and α . I $_{\gamma}$ value modified and comment modified by B. Singh, May 01, 2021. α : from BrIcc for M2. Other: 501 43 from $\alpha(K)\exp=428$ 37, and $\alpha=1.17(\alpha(K)\exp)$, the ratio of total $\alpha/\alpha(K)$ (theory) from BrIcc. 2008Bu01 evaluation used K/L+=3. Comment added by B. Singh, May 01, 2021. %ce(K)= 1.2×10^{-2} 3. I $_{(\gamma+ce)}$: 1966Po04 gave I($\gamma+ce$)=12 3 based on ce(K) value only.
364 1	5.7 13	376.50	3/2 $^-$	12.40	3/2 $^+$	(E1(+M2))	-0.01 8	5.09×10^{-4} 18		$\alpha=0.00518$ 8; $\alpha(K)=0.00472$ 7; $\alpha(L)=0.000414$ 6; $\alpha(M)=5.11\times 10^{-5}$ 8; $\alpha(N+..)=2.82\times 10^{-6}$ 4 $\alpha(N)=2.82\times 10^{-6}$ 4 I $_{\gamma}$: from the adopted I $_{\gamma}(166\gamma)/I_{\gamma}(530\gamma)$ and I $_{\gamma}(530\gamma)$. %ce(K)< 2×10^{-5} $\alpha=5.09\times 10^{-4}$ 18; $\alpha(K)=0.000463$ 17; $\alpha(L)=4.07\times 10^{-5}$ 15; $\alpha(M)=5.04\times 10^{-6}$ 19; $\alpha(N+..)=2.81\times 10^{-7}$ 10 $\alpha(N)=2.81\times 10^{-7}$ 10
(377.1& 4)	0.52 12	376.50	3/2 $^-$	0	7/2 $^-$	E2(+M3)	-0.01 2	0.00198 3		$\alpha=0.00198$ 3; $\alpha(K)=0.00180$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.0001595$ 24; $\alpha(M)=1.97\times 10^{-5}$ 3; $\alpha(N+..)=1.086\times 10^{-6}$ 16 $\alpha(N)=1.086\times 10^{-6}$ 16 I $_{\gamma}$: from the adopted I $_{\gamma}(377\gamma)/I_{\gamma}(364\gamma)$ and I $_{\gamma}(364\gamma)$.
425 1	13.7 20	1662.0	9/2 $^-$	1236.70	11/2 $^-$	(M1(+E2))	-0.03 13	4.73×10^{-4} 22		$\alpha=4.73\times 10^{-4}$ 22; $\alpha(K)=0.000430$ 20; $\alpha(L)=3.80\times 10^{-5}$ 17; $\alpha(M)=4.71\times 10^{-6}$ 21;

$^{45}\text{Ti} \varepsilon$ decay 1971Zu01, 1966Po04 (continued)

$\gamma(^{45}\text{Sc})$ (continued)									
E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^\dagger a$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ‡	δ^\ddagger	α^\ddagger	Comments
432	1.4 8	974.38	$7/2^+$	543.06	$5/2^+$	M1+E2	-0.24 +12-16	0.00050 7	$\alpha(N..)=2.63\times 10^{-7}$ 12 $\alpha(N)=2.63\times 10^{-7}$ 12 $\alpha=0.00050$ 7; $\alpha(K)=0.00045$ 6; $\alpha(L)=4.0\times 10^{-5}$ 6; $\alpha(M)=5.0\times 10^{-6}$ 7; $\alpha(N..)=2.8\times 10^{-7}$ 4 $\alpha(N)=2.8\times 10^{-7}$ 4
530 1	1.1 4	543.06	$5/2^+$	12.40	$3/2^+$	M1+E2	-0.55 +11-18	0.00037 4	$\alpha=0.00037$ 4; $\alpha(K)=0.00034$ 4; $\alpha(L)=3.0\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(M)=3.7\times 10^{-6}$ 4; $\alpha(N..)=2.05\times 10^{-7}$ 22 $\alpha(N)=2.05\times 10^{-7}$ 22
543 1	0.9 4	543.06	$5/2^+$	0	$7/2^-$	E1(+M2)	<0.014	0.0001770 25	%ce(K)<8×10 ⁻⁶ $\alpha=0.0001770$ 25; $\alpha(K)=0.0001614$ 23; $\alpha(L)=1.416\times 10^{-5}$ 20; $\alpha(M)=1.754\times 10^{-6}$ 25 $\alpha(N..)=9.81\times 10^{-8}$ 14 $\alpha(N)=9.81\times 10^{-8}$ 14
(688.9 & 5)	6.3 12	1408.27	$(7/2)^-$	720.12	$5/2^-$	D,E2			I_γ : from adopted $I_\gamma(689\gamma)/I_\gamma(1408\gamma)$ and $I_\gamma(1408\gamma)$.
(708.2 &)	5.1 10	720.12	$5/2^-$	12.40	$3/2^+$	(E1(+M2))	<0.024	9.48×10^{-5} 14	$\alpha=9.48\times 10^{-5}$ 14; $\alpha(K)=8.63\times 10^{-5}$ 13; $\alpha(L)=7.56\times 10^{-6}$ 11; $\alpha(M)=9.37\times 10^{-7}$ 14; $\alpha(N..)=5.25\times 10^{-8}$ 8 $\alpha(N)=5.25\times 10^{-8}$ 8
719.6 3	154 12	720.12	$5/2^-$	0	$7/2^-$	M1+E2	+0.14 5	1.54×10^{-4} 3	I_γ : from the adopted $I_\gamma(708\gamma)/I(\gamma+ce)(720\gamma)$ and $I_\gamma(720\gamma)$. $\alpha(K)\exp=0.00020$ 6 (1966Po04); %ce(K)=3.0×10 ⁻⁵ 4
(942.0 & 6)	5.7 33	1662.0	$9/2^-$	720.12	$5/2^-$	(E2)		0.0001230 18	$\alpha=1.54\times 10^{-4}$ 3; $\alpha(K)=0.0001404$ 25; $\alpha(L)=1.234\times 10^{-5}$ 22; $\alpha(M)=1.53\times 10^{-6}$ 3; $\alpha(N..)=8.59\times 10^{-8}$ 15 $\alpha(N)=8.59\times 10^{-8}$ 15
961.6 6	3.0 4	974.38	$7/2^+$	12.40	$3/2^+$	E2		0.0001170 17	$\alpha=0.0001230$ 18; $\alpha(K)=0.0001117$ 16; $\alpha(L)=9.82\times 10^{-6}$ 14; $\alpha(M)=1.217\times 10^{-6}$ 18 $\alpha(N..)=6.81\times 10^{-8}$ 10 $\alpha(N)=6.81\times 10^{-8}$ 10
974.0 5	5.8 7	974.38	$7/2^+$	0	$7/2^-$	E1+M2	<0.042	4.86×10^{-5} 7	I_γ : from adopted $I_\gamma(942\gamma)/I_\gamma(1661\gamma)$ and $I_\gamma(1662\gamma)$. $\alpha=0.0001170$ 17; $\alpha(K)=0.0001060$ 15; $\alpha(L)=9.31\times 10^{-6}$ 13; $\alpha(M)=1.154\times 10^{-6}$ 17 $\alpha(N..)=6.46\times 10^{-8}$ 9 $\alpha(N)=6.46\times 10^{-8}$ 9
									$\alpha=4.86\times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(K)=4.43\times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(L)=3.87\times 10^{-6}$ 6; $\alpha(M)=4.80\times 10^{-7}$ 7; $\alpha(N..)=2.70\times 10^{-8}$ 4 $\alpha(N)=2.70\times 10^{-8}$ 4

^{45}Ti ε decay 1971Zu01, 1966Po04 (continued)

$\gamma(^{45}\text{Sc})$ (continued)									
E_γ^{\dagger}	$I_\gamma^{\dagger a}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [‡]	δ^{\ddagger}	α^{\ddagger}	Comments
1032.1 5	4.8 6	1408.27	(7/2) ⁻	376.50	3/2 ⁻	(E2)		9.80×10^{-5} 14	$\alpha = 9.80 \times 10^{-5}$ 14; $\alpha(K) = 8.92 \times 10^{-5}$ 13; $\alpha(L) = 7.83 \times 10^{-6}$ 11; $\alpha(M) = 9.70 \times 10^{-7}$ 14; $\alpha(N..) = 5.43 \times 10^{-8}$ 8 $\alpha(N) = 5.43 \times 10^{-8}$ 8
1236.5 5	11.8 13	1236.70	11/2 ⁻	0	7/2 ⁻	E2		8.00×10^{-5} 12	$\alpha = 8.00 \times 10^{-5}$ 12; $\alpha(K) = 5.86 \times 10^{-5}$ 9; $\alpha(L) = 5.14 \times 10^{-6}$ 8; $\alpha(M) = 6.37 \times 10^{-7}$ 9; $\alpha(N..) = 1.558 \times 10^{-5}$ 23 $\alpha(N) = 3.58 \times 10^{-8}$ 5; $\alpha(IPF) = 1.554 \times 10^{-5}$ 22
1408.1 3	85 9	1408.27	(7/2) ⁻	0	7/2 ⁻	M1+E2	-2.62 62	1.03×10^{-4} 2	$\alpha(K)_{\text{exp}} = 4.7 \times 10^{-5}$ 14 (1966Po04); %ce(K)= 5.3×10^{-6} 7 $\alpha = 1.03 \times 10^{-4}$ 2; $\alpha(K) = 4.35 \times 10^{-5}$ 8; $\alpha(L) = 3.81 \times 10^{-6}$ 7; $\alpha(M) = 4.72 \times 10^{-7}$ 9; $\alpha(N..) = 5.51 \times 10^{-5}$ 14 $\alpha(N) = 2.65 \times 10^{-8}$ 5; $\alpha(IPF) = 5.51 \times 10^{-5}$ 14
1660.9 3	40.7 43	1662.0	9/2 ⁻	0	7/2 ⁻	M1+E2	-0.47 5	1.57×10^{-4} 3	$\alpha = 1.57 \times 10^{-4}$ 3; $\alpha(K) = 2.88 \times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(L) = 2.52 \times 10^{-6}$ 4; $\alpha(M) = 3.13 \times 10^{-7}$ 5; $\alpha(N..) = 0.0001249$ 22 $\alpha(N) = 1.76 \times 10^{-8}$ 3; $\alpha(IPF) = 0.0001249$ 22
1789 ^{@b}	0.057 [@] 10	1800.0?	5/2 ⁺	12.40	3/2 ⁺	D+Q			
1801 ^{@b}	0.041 [@] 9	1800.0?	5/2 ⁺	0	7/2 ⁻	(E1)		0.000512 8	$\alpha = 0.000512$ 8; $\alpha(K) = 1.539 \times 10^{-5}$ 22; $\alpha(L) = 1.344 \times 10^{-6}$ 19; $\alpha(M) = 1.666 \times 10^{-7}$ 24 $\alpha(N..) = 0.000495$ 7 $\alpha(N) = 9.38 \times 10^{-9}$ 14; $\alpha(IPF) = 0.000495$ 7

[†] From 1971Zu01, except as noted.

[‡] From the ^{45}Sc Adopted Gammas.

[#] From 1966Po04.

[@] From 1986Bo06. $I_\gamma(1789\gamma) = 1.4 \times 10^{-3}$ 2 and $I_\gamma(1801\gamma) = 1.0 \times 10^{-3}$ 2 relative to $I_\gamma(1661\gamma) = 100$. Note that $I_\gamma(1801\gamma)/I_\gamma(1789\gamma) = 0.71$ 18 is not consistent with the adopted value of 0.221 23.

[&] From the ^{45}Sc Adopted Gammas.

^a For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 1.0×10^{-3} .

^b Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

$^{45}\text{Ti} \epsilon$ decay 1971Zu01,1966Po04