

$^{44}\text{Ca}(n,\gamma)$ E=10-60 keV res [1971Ch56](#)

| Type | Author | History Citation | Literature Cutoff Date |
|-----------------|---------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| Full Evaluation | T. W. Burrows | NDS 109, 171 (2008) | 30-Oct-2007 |

Measured γ 's; NaI. Others: see [1973Bi11](#).

 ^{45}Ca Levels

| E(level) [†] | J ^π [†] | E(level) [†] | J ^π [†] | E(level) [†] | J ^π [†] | E(level) [†] | J ^π [†] |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| 0 | 7/2 ⁻ | 1900 | 3/2 ⁻ | 3241 | 3/2 ⁻ | 3838 | (1/2) ⁻ |
| 174 | 5/2 ⁻ | 2249 | 1/2 ⁻ | 3418 | 1/2 ⁻ | S(n)+x | (3/2 ⁻) [‡] |
| 1435 | 3/2 ⁻ | 2842 | 3/2 ⁻ | 3783 | 1/2 ⁻ , 3/2 ⁻ | | |

[†] From the Adopted Levels, except for the resonance. Energies are nominal.

[‡] Average I γ showed No correlation with thermal capture or (d,p γ) results. The existence of a transition to the 7/2⁻, g.s. would rule out 1/2⁺ for this resonance. [2006MuZX](#) list several L=1 resonances In the region of E(n)=10 to 60 keV. This would imply J^π=3/2⁻ since J^π(g.s.)=7/2⁻.

 $\gamma(^{45}\text{Ca})$

| E γ [†] | I γ [‡] | E $_i$ (level) | J $_i^{\pi}$ | Comments |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|---------------------|---|
| 3570 | 4 | S(n)+x | (3/2 ⁻) | |
| 3629 | 1 | S(n)+x | (3/2 ⁻) | |
| 3993 | 9 | S(n)+x | (3/2 ⁻) | |
| 4168 | 11 | S(n)+x | (3/2 ⁻) | |
| 4568 | 9 | S(n)+x | (3/2 ⁻) | |
| 5159 | 8 | S(n)+x | (3/2 ⁻) | |
| 5512 | 25 | S(n)+x | (3/2 ⁻) | |
| 5980 | 8 | S(n)+x | (3/2 ⁻) | |
| 7240 ^{#&} | 4 | S(n)+x | (3/2 ⁻) | Additional information 1. |
| 7415 [@] | 1 | S(n)+x | (3/2 ⁻) | |

[†] From [1968Gr11](#), except As noted.

[‡] I γ are averaged over resonances and normalized so that the $\Sigma I\gamma = \Sigma I\gamma$ ([1968Gr11](#)). Since the choice of γ -rays for use In fitting the spectra was not unique due to the level spacing, [1971Ch56](#) did not assign any uncertainties, although the statistical uncertainties are small.

[#] From [1969Bo31](#). See footnote In (n, γ) E=thermal.

[@] Not observed In (n, γ) E=thermal.

[&] Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.