

Adopted Levels, Gammas

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen and Balraj Singh		NDS 190,1 (2023)	20-Jun-2023

$Q(\beta^-)=11270$ 90; $S(n)=5080$ 7; $S(p)=21530$ syst; $Q(\alpha)=-1730 \times 10^1$ 12 [2021Wa16](#)

Estimated uncertainty=300 for $S(p)$ ([2021Wa16](#)).

$S(2n)=7709$ 6, $S(2p)=40620$ 300 (syst), $Q(\beta^- n)=6880$ 60 ([2021Wa16](#)).

Other measurements:

[1989Le16](#): ^{44}S identified in $^{181}\text{Ta}(^{48}\text{Ca},\text{X})$ reaction. Measured isotopic half-life.

[1993So06](#) (also [1995So03](#)): ^{44}S identified in $^{64}\text{Ni}(^{48}\text{Ca},\text{X})$ $E=60$ MeV/nucleon using LISE fragment spectrometer at GANIL facility, measured isotopic half-life and decay modes.

[2006Kh08](#): measured energy-integrated reaction cross section.

Mass measurements: [2012Ga45](#), [2009Ri12](#), [2007Ju03](#), [2000Sa21](#) (also [2001Sa72](#)).

[2010Mo12](#): analyzed effects of the tensor force on the neutron and proton gaps.

Mean-square radius from energy-integrated cross sections: [2006Kh08](#).

Theoretical structure calculations:

[2022Su12](#): calculated levels, J^π , potential energy surfaces, $B(E2)$ using antisymmetrized molecular dynamics.

[2016Eg01](#), [2011Ro48](#): calculated levels, J^π , $B(E2)$, quadrupole moments using symmetry conserving configuration mixing (SCCM) method.

[2015Ut01](#), [2012Ut02](#): calculated levels, J^π , $B(E2)$, $S(2n)$, potential-energy surfaces deformation parameters using shell model.

[2014Ch21](#): calculated levels, J^π , $B(E2)$, $B(M1)$, quadrupole moment, β and γ deformation parameters using shell model.

[1994We16](#): calculated $S(2n)$, quadrupole mass deformations, neutron distribution rms radii using self-consistent mean field theory.

Other theoretical calculations: 57 other references for structure and two for radioactive decays retrieved from the NSR database (www.nndc.bnl.gov/nsr/) are listed in document records which can be accessed via web-based ENSDF database.

[Additional information 1](#).

 ^{44}S Levels**Cross Reference (XREF) Flags**

A	^{44}P β^- decay (18.2 ms)	E	$^9\text{Be}(^{46}\text{Ar},^{44}\text{S}\gamma)$
B	^{45}P $\beta^- n$ decay (24 ms)	F	$^9\text{Be}(^{48}\text{Ca},^{44}\text{S}\gamma)$
C	$^1\text{H}(^{44}\text{S},\text{p}'\gamma)$	G	Coulomb excitation
D	$^9\text{Be}(^{45}\text{Cl},^{44}\text{S}\gamma)$		

E(level) [†]	J^π	$T_{1/2}$	XREF	Comments
0.0	0^+	117 ms 6	ABCDEF	$\% \beta^- = 100$; $\% \beta^- n = 29$ 6 $T_{1/2}$: unweighted average of 125.5 ms 25 in (implants) β -decay curve and 119 ms 6 in (implants) $\beta\gamma$ -decay curve (2022Tr03); 100 ms 1 in (implants) β -decay (2004Gr20,2003Gr22); 123 ms 10 in (implants) β -decay (1995So03,1993So06). Other: 200 ms +50–30 (1989Le16). $\% \beta^- n$: unweighted average of 40 5 (2022Tr03), β and γ decay of ^{44}S and its descendants in the decay chain at NSCL-MSU); 18 3 (1993So06 , also 1995So03); and 30 10 (1989Le16). The values from 1993So06 and 1989Le16 are from (implants) β -n-coin in two different reactions at GANIL. Measured mean square radius (r_0^2)=1.62 fm ² 17 (2006Kh08). $B(E2)\uparrow=0.0230$ 28 $B(E2)\uparrow$: weighted average of 0.0221 28 (2021Lo08) and 0.0314 88 (1997Gl02) in Coulomb excitation.
1329.0 5	2^+	3.0 ps 4	A CDEFG	J^π : level excited from 0^+ in Coulomb excitation. $T_{1/2}$: deduced from adopted $B(E2)$. $\% IT=100$ XREF: C(1385). J^π : E0 transition to 0^+ .
1365.0 10	0^+	2.619 μs 26	C EF	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued) **^{44}S Levels (continued)**

E(level) [†]	J ^π	T _{1/2}	XREF	Comments
1617 7			F	T _{1/2} : from time distribution of 1362.5 electron peak in $^9\text{Be}(^{48}\text{Ca},X\gamma)$ (2010Fo04). J ^π : 2002So14 in ($^{48}\text{Ca},X\gamma$) proposed (0 ⁺) tentatively, but only one excited 0 ⁺ at ≈ 1365 is seen in other studies (2011Sa25 , 2005Gr30), and predicted by shell-model calculations in this energy region.
2150 11	(2 ⁺) [‡]		DE	
2281.1 10	(2 ⁺) [‡]	<2.1 ps	A CDE G	B(E2)↑=0.0010 6 (2021Lo08) XREF: A(?)G(2265). B(E2) from Coulomb excitation.
2467 6	(4 ⁺) [‡]	63 ps 14	CDE	T _{1/2} : recoil-distance method (2017Pa02) in $^9\text{Be}(^{46}\text{Ar},^{44}\text{S}\gamma)$. XREF: C(2479). T _{1/2} : weighted average of 69 ps 14 from ($^{45}\text{Cl},^{44}\text{S}\gamma$) and 53 ps 17 from ($^{46}\text{Ar},^{44}\text{S}\gamma$).
2632 11	(2 ⁺) [‡]		F	
3261 5	(2 ⁺) [‡]		C E	
3311 7	(2 ⁺)		DE	
4027 13			C	
4509 26			D	

[†] From a least-squares fit to γ -ray energies.[‡] From shell-model prediction ([2011Sa25](#)). **$\gamma(^{44}\text{S})$**

E _i (level)	J _i ^π	E _γ [‡]	I _γ [‡]	E _f	J _f ^π	Mult.	α^{\dagger}	I _(γ+ce) [‡]	Comments
1329.0	2 ⁺	1329.0 5	100	0.0	0 ⁺	[E2]	6.09×10^{-5} 9		B(E2)(W.u.)=4.9 +8-6 $\alpha(K)=2.289 \times 10^{-5}$ 32; $\alpha(L)=1.766 \times 10^{-6}$ 25; $\alpha(M)=1.489 \times 10^{-7}$ 21 $\alpha(IPF)=3.61 \times 10^{-5}$ 5 E_{γ} : others: 1320 8 from ($^{45}\text{Cl},^{44}\text{S}\gamma$), 1319 7 from ($^{46}\text{Ar},^{44}\text{S}\gamma$), and 1324 6 from Coulomb excitation.
1365.0	0 ⁺	(36)		1329.0 2 ⁺	[E2]	9.7 11	16.1 9		B(E2)(W.u.)=5.0 +11-8 $ce(K)/(γ+ce)=0.83$ 4; $ce(L)/(γ+ce)=0.070$ 11; $ce(M)/(γ+ce)=0.0057$ 9 $\alpha(K)=8.9$ 10; $\alpha(L)=0.75$ 9; $\alpha(M)=0.060$ 7 E_{γ} : from level-energy difference; not observed. An uncertainty of 1 keV is assumed by 2010Fo04 in ($^{48}\text{Ca},^{44}\text{S}\gamma$).
				1365 1	0.0 0 ⁺	E0	100 6		E_{γ} : Mult.: electron transition in $^9\text{Be}(^{48}\text{Ca},X\gamma)$ (2005Gr30). Monopole strength $\rho^2(E0)=0.0087$ 7 (2010Fo04).
1617		288 7	100	1329.0 2 ⁺					
2150	(2 ⁺)	2150 [#] 11	100	0.0 0 ⁺					E_{γ} : from ($^{46}\text{Ar},^{44}\text{S}\gamma$). Other: 2150 13 from ($^{45}\text{Cl},^{44}\text{S}\gamma$).
2281.1	(2 ⁺)	952 4	100	1329.0 2 ⁺					E_{γ} : weighted average of 954 4 from ($^1\text{H}(^{44}\text{S},p'\gamma)$, 952 7 from ($^{45}\text{Cl},^{44}\text{S}\gamma$), 949 5 from ($^{46}\text{Ar},^{44}\text{S}\gamma$), and 941 19 from Coulomb excitation.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued) $\gamma^{44}\text{S}$ (continued)

E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_γ^\ddagger	I_γ^\ddagger	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	α^\dagger	Comments
2281.1	(2 ⁺)	2281 [#]	<85	0.0	0 ⁺			I_γ : deduced by evaluators from measured $B(E2)\uparrow=0.0010$ 6 and $T_{1/2}<2.1$ ps.
2467	(4 ⁺)	1138 6	100	1329.0	2 ⁺	[E2]	3.79×10^{-5} 5	$B(E2)(W.u.)=0.51 +15-9$ $\alpha(K)=3.25 \times 10^{-5}$ 6; $\alpha(L)=2.51 \times 10^{-6}$ 5; $\alpha(M)=2.11 \times 10^{-7}$ 4 $\alpha(IPF)=2.8 \times 10^{-6}$ 4
2632	(2 ⁺)	988 15	50	1617				E_γ : weighted average of 1144 9 from ($^{45}\text{Cl},^{44}\text{S}\gamma$), 1128 6 from ($^{46}\text{Ar},^{44}\text{S}\gamma$), 1150 11 from ($^1\text{H}(^{44}\text{S},\text{p}'\gamma)$ and 1144 9 from ($^{45}\text{Cl},^{44}\text{S}\gamma$). This γ was not used in the least-squares fit procedure, as the fit is poor with level-energy difference=1015 13 as compared to $E\gamma=988$ 15, differing by about 2σ .
3261	(2 ⁺)	2632 11 1897 [#] 6	100 100 15	0.0	0 ⁺			E_γ : weighted average of 1899 6 from $^1\text{H}(^{44}\text{S},\text{p}'\gamma)$ and 1891 10 from ($^{46}\text{Ar},^{44}\text{S}\gamma$). I_γ : from ($^1\text{H}(^{44}\text{S},\text{p}'\gamma)$. E_γ : weighted average of 1955 25 from $^1\text{H}(^{44}\text{S},\text{p}'\gamma)$ and 1929 7 from ($^{46}\text{Ar},^{44}\text{S}\gamma$). I_γ : from ($^1\text{H}(^{44}\text{S},\text{p}'\gamma)$. E_γ, I_γ : from ($^{45}\text{Cl},^{44}\text{S}\gamma$). E_γ, I_γ : from ($^{45}\text{Cl},^{44}\text{S}\gamma$). E_γ : from ($^1\text{H}(^{44}\text{S},\text{p}'\gamma)$. E_γ : from ($^{45}\text{Cl},^{44}\text{S}\gamma$).
3311	(2 ⁺)	1030 6 1979 19	42 8 100 21	2281.1 (2 ⁺) 1329.0 2 ⁺				
4027		2698 13	100	1329.0 2 ⁺				
4509		1198 [#] 25	100	3311 (2 ⁺)				

[†] Additional information 2.[‡] From $^9\text{Be}(^{48}\text{Ca},\text{X}\gamma)$, unless otherwise noted.[#] Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

Adopted Levels, Gammas

Legend

Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative photon branching from each level

-----► γ Decay (Uncertain)