

$^9\text{Be}(^{46}\text{Ar}, ^{44}\text{S}\gamma)$  2011Sa25,2017Pa02,2006Fr13

Type	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen and Balraj Singh	NDS 190,1 (2023)	20-Jun-2023

**2011Sa25:** E=99.9 MeV/nucleon  $^{46}\text{Ar}$  beam produced from fragmentation of  $^{48}\text{Ca}$  beam at 140 MeV/nucleon with a 705 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> thick  $^9\text{Be}$  target, rate of  $^{46}\text{Ar}$   $7 \times 10^5$  pps. Secondary target of a 188 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> thick  $^9\text{Be}$ . Fragments were separated by A1900 separator at the National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory (NSCL) at Michigan State University.  $\gamma$ -rays were detected by the SeGA array of 17 segmented Ge detectors and residues were analyzed in the S800 spectrograph. Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin,  $\sigma(E\gamma)$ . Deduced levels. Comparison with shell-model calculations.

**2017Pa02:** E=99 MeV/nucleon  $^{46}\text{Ar}$  beam produced from fragmentation of  $^{48}\text{Ca}$  beam at 140 MeV/nucleon on a  $^9\text{Be}$  target, and ions were separated by A1900 separator at NSCL-MSU facility. The  $^9\text{Be}$  target was placed at an adjustable distance from a 1 mm thick Nb degrader.  $\gamma$ -rays were detected by the GRETTINA array, and  $^{44}\text{S}$  particles were analyzed in the S800 spectrograph. Measured  $E\gamma$ , ( $^{44}\text{S}$ ) $\gamma$ -coin and  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, level lifetimes by recoil-distance Doppler shift method (RDM) using a plunger device. Comparison with theoretical predictions.

**2006Fr13, 2005Fr19:** E=98.1 MeV/nucleon  $^{46}\text{Ar}$  beam produced from fragmentation of  $^{48}\text{Ca}$  beam at 140 MeV/nucleon with a  $^9\text{Be}$  target. Fragments were separated by A1900 separator at NSCL, Michigan facility. The  $^{46}\text{Ar}$  beam impinged another  $^9\text{Be}$  target and the residues were analyzed by S800 spectrograph. The knockout residues were identified by time-of-flight, energy loss measurement, position and angle information;  $\gamma$  rays were detected in coincidence with knockout residues of  $^{44}\text{S}$  using SeGA array of highly-segmented HPGe detectors.

Total cross section for  $^{44}\text{S}=0.23$  mb 2 in comparison with 0.66 mb from theoretical predictions, or 0.33 mb if suppression factor of  $\approx 0.5$  is used for two-nucleon shell-model strength (**2006Fr13, 2005Fr19**).

$^{44}\text{S}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$ <sup>‡</sup>	$T_{1/2}$	$\sigma$ (mb) <sup>#</sup>	Comments
0	0 <sup>+</sup>			
1319 7	2 <sup>+</sup>		0.014 3	
1357 14	0 <sup>+</sup>			E(level): level defined in <b>2011Sa25</b> through the tentative placement of 1891-keV $\gamma$ ray. Existence of this level is based on finding of a 2.6- $\mu\text{s}$ isomer at 1365 keV ( <b>2010Fo04</b> ).
2150 11	(2 <sup>+</sup> )		0.004 1	
2268 9	2 <sup>+</sup>	<2.1 ps	0.022 4	$T_{1/2}$ : recoil-distance method ( <b>2017Pa02</b> ), only an upper limit estimated from observation of only one peak for 949 $\gamma$ , indicative of a prompt transition, in contrast to the 1140 and 1319 $\gamma$ rays, both of which show two distinct peaks.
2447 9	4 <sup>+</sup>	53 ps 17	0.019 4	$T_{1/2}$ : measured mean lifetime $\tau=76$ ps 14(stat) 20(syst) using recoil-distance method ( <b>2017Pa02</b> ). The two uncertainties have been added in quadrature by evaluators. Based on experimental B(E2)(W.u.) value, the 4 <sup>+</sup> level is interpreted by <b>2017Pa02</b> as an isomer with $K^\pi=4^+$ , and not as a member of the ground-state rotational band.
3248 10	(2 <sup>+</sup> )		0.011 3	
3308 9	(2 <sup>+</sup> )			

<sup>†</sup> From a least-squares fit to  $\gamma$ -ray energies.

<sup>‡</sup> From **2011Sa25**, based on previous assignments for low-lying levels, and shell-model predictions for levels above 1360 keV.

<sup>#</sup> Level population cross section (**2011Sa25**).

$\gamma(^{44}\text{S})$

$E_\gamma$ <sup>†</sup>	$E_i$ (level)	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult.	Comments
949 5	2268	2 <sup>+</sup>	1319	2 <sup>+</sup>		
1040	3308	(2 <sup>+</sup> )	2268	2 <sup>+</sup>		$E_\gamma$ : from <b>2017Pa02</b> , not seen in <b>2011Sa25</b> .
1128 6	2447	4 <sup>+</sup>	1319	2 <sup>+</sup>	[E2]	B(E2) $\downarrow=0.00056$ 18 ( <b>2017Pa02</b> ); B(E2)(W.u.)=0.61 19 ( <b>2017Pa02</b> ). $E_\gamma$ : other: 1140 ( <b>2017Pa02</b> ). B(E2)(W.u.) deduced by <b>2017Pa02</b> from their measured mean lifetime, and is interpreted as hindered E2 transition.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

${}^9\text{Be}({}^{46}\text{Ar}, {}^{44}\text{S}\gamma)$  [2011Sa25](#), [2017Pa02](#), [2006Fr13](#) (continued) $\gamma({}^{44}\text{S})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma$ †	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$
1319 7	1319	2 <sup>+</sup>	0	0 <sup>+</sup>
1891 ‡# 10	3248	(2 <sup>+</sup> )	1357	0 <sup>+</sup>
1929 ‡# 7	3248	(2 <sup>+</sup> )	1319	2 <sup>+</sup>
2150 # 11	2150	(2 <sup>+</sup> )	0	0 <sup>+</sup>

† From [2011Sa25](#).‡ Based on  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin data, placements of the 1891 and 1929  $\gamma$  rays from a 3248-keV level in [2011Sa25](#) could not be confirmed in [2017Pa02](#).

# Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

 ${}^9\text{Be}({}^{46}\text{Ar}, {}^{44}\text{S}\gamma)$  [2011Sa25](#), [2017Pa02](#), [2006Fr13](#)

Legend

## Level Scheme

-----▶  $\gamma$  Decay (Uncertain)