

Adopted Levels, Gammas

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh and Jun Chen [#]	NDS 126, 1 (2015)		31-Mar-2015

$Q(\beta^-)=16.88 \times 10^3$ 37; $S(n)=4.40 \times 10^3$ 43; $S(p)=19170$ SY; $Q(\alpha)=-18740$ SY [2012Wa38](#)

Estimated uncertainties: $\Delta S(p)=\Delta Q(\alpha)=620$ ([2012Wa38](#)).

$S(2n)=6480$ 380, $S(2p)=43790$ 700 (syst), $Q(\beta^-n)=14250$ 370, ([2012Wa38](#)).

First identification of ^{43}P nuclide by [1989Gu03](#).

^{43}P isotope identified in $^{181}\text{Ta}(^{48}\text{Ca},X)$ $E=55$ MeV/nucleon ([1989Gu03](#)) and in $^{64}\text{Ni}(^{48}\text{Ca},X)$ $E=60$ MeV/nucleon ([1995So03](#), GANIL facility), followed by measurement of fragment spectra. Measured $\% \beta^- n$.

[2004Gr20](#) (also [2003Gr22](#)): ^{43}P produced in $^9\text{Be}(^{48}\text{Ca},X)$ at $E=60$ MeV/nucleon, LISE3 spectrometer at GANIL, isotopic identification by energy loss, time-of-flight and magnetic rigidities, double-sided Si strip (DSSD) detectors for residues. Measured (β) (residues) time correlations and half-life using scintillation detectors for β -rays.

Mass measurement: [2000Sa21](#) (also [2001Sa72](#)).

Additional information 1.

[2006Fr13](#) (also [2005Fr19](#)): see $^9\text{Be}(^{44}\text{S},^{43}\text{P}\gamma)$ dataset.

Mean-square radius from energy-integrated cross sections: [2006Kh08](#).

 ^{43}P Levels**Cross Reference (XREF) Flags**

[A](#) $^9\text{Be}(^{44}\text{S},^{43}\text{P}\gamma)$

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	T _{1/2}	XREF	Comments
0	1/2 ⁺	36.5 ms 15	A	$\% \beta^- = 100$; $\% \beta^- n = 100$; $\% \beta^- 2n = ?$ Measured mean-square radius (r_0^2) = 1.77 fm ² 28 (2006Kh08). $\pi 2s_{1/2}$ orbital (2006Fr13,2008Ri04).
184 1	3/2 ⁺		A	$T_{1/2}$: from $\beta(^{43}\text{P})$ timing correlations followed up to 400 ms (2004Gr20 , measurement at GANIL). Others: 33 ms 3 (1995So03 , earlier measurement at GANIL), 1999YoZW . Weighted average of the two values (from 2004Gr20 and 1995So03) is 35.8 ms 15. $\% \beta^- n$: from 1995So03 . Other: 1999YoZW . $\pi 1d_{3/2}$ orbital (2006Fr13,2008Ri04).
845 3	(5/2 ⁺)		A	
1009 5	(5/2 ⁺)		A	
1095 6	(5/2 ⁺)		A	
1774 8	(5/2 ⁺)		A	
2035 11	(5/2 ⁺)		A	

[†] From least-squares fit to $E\gamma$ data.

[‡] From comparisons of experimental data with shell-model calculations ([2008Ri04](#)). For g.s., 184, 1009 and 1095 levels, parallel-momentum distributions give L=0 for g.s., and L=2 for all others in a proton-removal reaction.

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued) $\gamma(^{43}\text{P})$

E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_γ^\dagger	I_γ^\dagger	E_f	J_f^π
184	$3/2^+$	184 1	100	0	$1/2^+$
845	$(5/2^+)$	661 4	100 13	184	$3/2^+$
		845 4	34 9	0	$1/2^+$
1009	$(5/2^+)$	825 5	100	184	$3/2^+$
1095	$(5/2^+)$	911 6	100	184	$3/2^+$
1774	$(5/2^+)$	765 6	100	1009	$(5/2^+)$
2035	$(5/2^+)$	1018 \ddagger 6	71 14	1009	$(5/2^+)$
		1851 11	100 14	184	$3/2^+$

[†] From 2008Ri04.[‡] Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.**Adopted Levels, Gammas**

Legend

Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative photon branching from each level

-----► γ Decay (Uncertain)