

$^{43}\text{Ar} \beta^-$ decay (5.37 min) 1978Hu10

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh and Jun Chen [#]	NDS 126, 1 (2015)		31-Mar-2015

Parent: ^{43}Ar : E=0; $J^\pi=5/2^{(-)}$; $T_{1/2}=5.37$ min 6; $Q(\beta^-)=4566$ 5; % β^- decay=100.0

$^{43}\text{Ar}-J^\pi, T_{1/2}$: From Adopted Levels of ^{43}Ar .

$^{43}\text{Ar}-Q(\beta^-)$: From 2012Wa38.

1978Hu10: ^{43}Ar isotopes were produced in the spallation reaction $^{50}\text{V}(p,6pxn)$ with the proton beam from the 600 MeV external beam of the CERN synchrocyclotron bombarding a vanadium carbide target. Argon nuclides were separated in the ISOLDE on-line mass separator. γ -rays were detected in Ge(Li) detectors. Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$. Deduced levels, branching ratios. See also 1970Hu11.

Others:

1969La16: $E\gamma$, $T_{1/2}$.

1969Ha03: $T_{1/2}$.

All data from 1978Hu10 unless otherwise noted.

The decay scheme is considered incomplete in view of no uncertainties available on γ -ray intensities. Thus all β feedings and log ft values are considered as approximate. In Adopted dataset, log ft have been used but the J^π based on these are considered as tentative.

 ^{43}K Levels

No evidence was found by 1978Hu10 for a 2892.7 level proposed by 1970Hu11.

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]
0	$3/2^+$	1549.90 9	$3/2^+, 5/2^+$	3264.18 10	$(3/2, 5/2)^+$
561.32 7	$1/2^+$	1865.73 7	$(3/2, 5/2^+)$	3310.11 9	$(3/2, 5/2)^+ @$
738.03 8	$7/2^-$	2048.5?# 1	$(9/2)$	3393.14 20	$(3/2, 5/2, 7/2)^+$
975.31 6	$3/2^-$	2177.72 8	$5/2^{(+)}$	3455.49 9	$(3/2^-)$
1109.96 7	$3/2^+$	2189.32 11	$(3/2, 5/2, 7/2)$	3608.50 12	$(5/2^-, 7/2^-)$
1206.94 10	$(5/2, 7/2)^+$	2345.02 7	$(3/2^-)$	3646.1 4	$(3/2, 5/2, 7/2^+)$
1509.85 9	$7/2^+$	3057.00 22	$(5/2)^+$	3714.29 17	$(3/2^+, 5/2^+)$

[†] From least-squares fit to $E\gamma$ data. Since the quoted $\Delta(E\gamma)$ result in a poor fit, these were increased to 0.2 keV for strong γ -rays and 0.3 keV for weak γ -rays ($I\gamma<1\%$) in the least-squares adjustment.

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

Level proposed (evaluators) based on $(\alpha, p\gamma)$ and 1560-1311 coin in 1978Hu10. But the adopted $J^\pi=(9/2)$ is inconsistent with the log $ft=7.8$ from $5/2^{(-)}$ parent.

@ log $ft=5.1$ allowed decay from $5/2^{(-)}$ parent is inconsistent with parity=+.

 β^- radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^-$ [‡]	Log ft	Comments
(852 5)	3714.29	0.47	5.5	av $E\beta=309.4$ 21
(920 5)	3646.1	0.28	5.8	av $E\beta=338.3$ 22
(958 5)	3608.50	1.5	5.2	av $E\beta=354.4$ 22
(1111 5)	3455.49	3.5	5.1	av $E\beta=420.7$ 22
(1173 5)	3393.14	0.38	6.1	av $E\beta=448.1$ 22
(1256 5)	3310.11	5.5	5.1	av $E\beta=484.9$ 23
(1302 5)	3264.18	1.0	5.9	av $E\beta=505.3$ 23
(1509 5)	3057.00	1.1	6.1	av $E\beta=598.8$ 23
(2221 5)	2345.02	19	5.6	av $E\beta=929.0$ 24

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{43}\text{Ar} \beta^-$ decay (5.37 min) 1978Hu10 (continued) β^- radiations (continued)

E(decay)	E(level)	I β^{\ddagger}	Log ft	Comments
(2377 5)	2189.32	0.39	7.4	av $E\beta=1002.6$ 24
(2388 5)	2177.72	16	5.8	av $E\beta=1008.1$ 24
(2700 5)	1865.73	0.32	7.7	av $E\beta=1156.5$ 24
(3016 5)	1549.90	0.29	7.9	av $E\beta=1307.8$ 24
(3056 5)	1509.85	0.37	7.9	av $E\beta=1327.0$ 24
(3359 5)	1206.94	1.5	7.4	av $E\beta=1472.9$ 25
(3456 5)	1109.96	0.9	7.7	av $E\beta=1519.8$ 25
(3591 5)	975.31	16	6.5	av $E\beta=1585.0$ 25
(3828 5)	738.03	1.4	7.7	av $E\beta=1699.9$ 25
(4005 [#] 5)	561.32	0.1	9.0	av $E\beta=1785.7$ 25
(4566 5)	0	30 [†]	6.7	av $E\beta=2059.2$ 25

[†] Estimated (by 1978Hu10) from a comparison of the observed ^{43}Ar - ^{43}K (parent-daughter) activities with those expected from series decay.

[‡] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

[#] Existence of this branch is questionable.

 $\gamma(^{43}\text{K})$

$I\gamma$ normalization: $\Sigma(I\gamma \text{ to g.s.})=70$ 7, based on $I\beta(\text{g.s.})=30$ 7 (1978Hu10).

E_γ	I_γ^e	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	δ
167.1 1	2.5	2345.02	(3/2 ⁻)	2177.72	5/2 ⁽⁺⁾		
^x 231.4 1	2.8						
^x 236.2 1	3.4						
302.9 2	1.9	1509.85	7/2 ⁺	1206.94	(5/2,7/2) ⁺		
413.9 1	42.9	975.31	3/2 ⁻	561.32	1/2 ⁺		
439.3 2	2.8	1549.90	3/2 ^{+,5/2⁺}	1109.96	3/2 ⁺		
479.2 1	116.0	2345.02	(3/2 ⁻)	1865.73	(3/2,5/2 ⁺)		
548.5 1	13.4	1109.96	3/2 ⁺	561.32	1/2 ⁺		
561.1 1	94.0	561.32	1/2 ⁺	0	3/2 ⁺		
^x 587.0 [†] 1	9.0						
639.7 3	2.7	2189.32	(3/2,5/2,7/2)	1549.90	3/2 ^{+,5/2⁺}		
667.5 2	1.9	2177.72	5/2 ⁽⁺⁾	1509.85	7/2 ⁺		
738.1 1	454.8	738.03	7/2 ⁻	0	3/2 ⁺	M2+E3 [#]	-0.13 [#] 2
755.0 3	1.8	1865.73	(3/2,5/2 ⁺)	1109.96	3/2 ⁺		
^x 812.4 4	1.3						
^x 878.2 8	0.9						
890.4 1	118.3	1865.73	(3/2,5/2 ⁺)	975.31	3/2 ⁻		
^x 910.5 9	1.0						
^x 922.5 5	1.7						
974.9 [@] 1	1000	975.31	3/2 ⁻	0	3/2 ⁺		
1080.0 ^a 2	4.6	2189.32	(3/2,5/2,7/2)	1109.96	3/2 ⁺		
1110.1 1	31.2	1109.96	3/2 ⁺	0	3/2 ⁺		
1121.0 2	3.2	3310.11	(3/2,5/2) ⁺	2189.32	(3/2,5/2,7/2)		
1132.6 1	12.2	3310.11	(3/2,5/2) ⁺	2177.72	5/2 ⁽⁺⁾		
1138.1 1	8.7	2345.02	(3/2 ⁻)	1206.94	(5/2,7/2) ⁺		
^x 1146.4 2	9.1						
^x 1184.3 3	5.1						

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{43}\text{Ar} \beta^-$ decay (5.37 min) 1978Hu10 (continued) $\gamma(^{43}\text{K})$ (continued)

E_γ	I_γ^e	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π
1202.4 3	98.3	2177.72	5/2 ⁽⁺⁾	975.31	3/2 ⁻
1207.1 3	75.8	1206.94	(5/2,7/2) ⁺	0	3/2 ⁺
1235.7 <i>b</i> 2	6.3	2345.02	(3/2 ⁻)	1109.96	3/2 ⁺
^x 1255.6 3	3.2				
1277.9 5	2.5	3455.49	(3/2 ⁻)	2177.72	5/2 ⁽⁺⁾
1304.3 7	3.1	1865.73	(3/2,5/2 ⁺)	561.32	1/2 ⁺
1311.4 <i>f</i> 1	22.7	2048.5?	(9/2)	738.03	7/2 ⁻
1369	0.4	3714.29	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺)	2345.02	(3/2 ⁻)
1369.9 1	200.0	2345.02	(3/2 ⁻)	975.31	3/2 ⁻
1398.7 1	9.5	3264.18	(3/2,5/2) ⁺	1865.73	(3/2,5/2 ⁺)
1419.3 1	12.9	3608.50	(5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)	2189.32	(3/2,5/2,7/2)
1439.8 1	369.0	2177.72	5/2 ⁽⁺⁾	738.03	7/2 ⁻
1443	48.0	3310.11	(3/2,5/2) ⁺	1865.73	(3/2,5/2 ⁺)
^x 1487.8 5	2.7				
1509.7 1	20.7	1509.85	7/2 ⁺	0	3/2 ⁺
1550.0 1	22.9	1549.90	3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺	0	3/2 ⁺
1559.9 <i>df</i> 1	15.9	3608.50	(5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)	2048.5? (9/2)	
1590.4 2	10.6	3455.49	(3/2 ⁻)	1865.73	(3/2,5/2 ⁺)
^x 1605.7 8	2.6				
^x 1621.7 5	5.5				
^x 1713.3 6	3.2				
^x 1724.6 2	9.3				
^x 1750.0 5	2.0				
^x 1758.2 <i>f</i> 2	10.2				
1783.7 2	12.6	2345.02	(3/2 ⁻)	561.32	1/2 ⁺
^x 1849.6 8	2.5				
1866.1 <i>&</i> 1	70.4	1865.73	(3/2,5/2 ⁺)	0	3/2 ⁺
^x 1889.2 7	3.0				
1905.9 6	3.9	3455.49	(3/2 ⁻)	1549.90	3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺
^x 1950.8 3	10.0				
2057.9 3	10.7	3608.50	(5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)	1549.90	3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺
2097.8 5	9.8	3608.50	(5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)	1509.85	7/2 ⁺
2102.3 5	9.8	3310.11	(3/2,5/2) ⁺	1206.94	(5/2,7/2) ⁺
2176.2 7	2.5	2177.72	5/2 ⁽⁺⁾	0	3/2 ⁺
2189.2 3	20.4	2189.32	(3/2,5/2,7/2)	0	3/2 ⁺
2287.6 <i>c</i> 2	4.7	3264.18	(3/2,5/2) ⁺	975.31	3/2 ⁻
2318.9 2	31.0	3057.00	(5/2) ⁺	738.03	7/2 ⁻
2333.9 2	81.9	3310.11	(3/2,5/2) ⁺	975.31	3/2 ⁻
2344.5 2	217.3	2345.02	(3/2 ⁻)	0	3/2 ⁺
2345	1.2	3455.49	(3/2 ⁻)	1109.96	3/2 ⁺
2401.8 3	2.8	3608.50	(5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)	1206.94	(5/2,7/2) ⁺
2438.9 5	6.4	3646.1	(3/2,5/2,7/2) ⁺	1206.94	(5/2,7/2) ⁺
2479.9 1	65.5	3455.49	(3/2 ⁻)	975.31	3/2 ⁻
2506.7 15	1.0	3714.29	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺)	1206.94	(5/2,7/2) ⁺
2535.7 7	1.2	3646.1	(3/2,5/2,7/2) ⁺	1109.96	3/2 ⁺
2603.4 4	0.9	3714.29	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺)	1109.96	3/2 ⁺
2701.9 5	1.3	3264.18	(3/2,5/2) ⁺	561.32	1/2 ⁺
2739.5 7	2.1	3714.29	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺)	975.31	3/2 ⁻
2870.1 2	7.5	3608.50	(5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)	738.03	7/2 ⁻
2894.2 2	17.9	3455.49	(3/2 ⁻)	561.32	1/2 ⁺
^x 2976.2 3	2.8				
3264.3 2	14.7	3264.18	(3/2,5/2) ⁺	0	3/2 ⁺
3309.9 2	7.4	3310.11	(3/2,5/2) ⁺	0	3/2 ⁺
^x 3380.6 7	0.8				

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{43}\text{Ar} \beta^-$ decay (5.37 min) 1978Hu10 (continued) $\gamma(^{43}\text{K})$ (continued)

E_γ	I_γ^e	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π
3393.0 2	11.2	3393.14	(3/2,5/2,7/2) ⁺	0	3/2 ⁺
3455.1 4	2.0	3455.49	(3/2) ⁻	0	3/2 ⁺
3646.4 5	0.5	3646.1	(3/2,5/2,7/2) ⁺	0	3/2 ⁺
3714.3 2	9.5	3714.29	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺)	0	3/2 ⁺

[†] In coin with 1758 γ .[‡] In coin with 587 γ .

From Adopted Gammas.

[@] Level-energy difference=975.4 1.[&] Level-energy difference=1865.6 1.^a Level-energy difference=1079.3 2.^b Level-energy difference=1235.0 2.^c Poor fit. Level-energy difference=2288.6 2.^d Placement (evaluator) based on 1560-1310 $\gamma\gamma$ coin.^e For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.034 4.^f Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

^{43}Ar β^- decay (5.37 min) 1978Hu10

Decay Scheme

Intensities: I_γ per 100 parent decays

