

⁴³Cl β⁻ decay (3.13 s) 2006Wi10,1998WiZX,1981HuZT

Type	Author	Citation	History	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh and Jun Chen [#]	NDS 126, 1 (2015)		31-Mar-2015

Parent: ⁴³Cl: E=0; J^π=(1/2⁺); T_{1/2}=3.13 s 9; Q(β⁻)=7.69×10³ 10; %β⁻ decay=100.0

⁴³Cl-J^π, T_{1/2}: From Adopted Levels.

⁴³Cl-Q(β⁻): From 2012Wa38.

2006Wi10: ⁴³Cl isotope produced by fragmentation of a ⁴⁸Ca beam at 70 MeV/nucleon hitting a ⁹Be target. The fragments were separated by A1200 fragment separator at NSCL, MSU facility. Measured E_γ, I_γ, γγ, β, βγ coin using two Ge detectors for γ-rays and a plastic scintillator for β-rays. Comparisons with shell-model calculations.

1998WiZX (also 1998WiZV): fragmentation of ⁴⁸Ca beam E(⁴⁸Ca)=70 MeV/nucleon with a Be target. Measured γ, γγ coin, βγγ coin.

⁴³Cl identification and production: 1991Zh24 (also 1990Tu01), 1981Vo04, 1976Ka24.

Evaluators consider the decay scheme to be incomplete in view of several uncertain placements of γ transitions and unaccounted 28% 10 β feeding.

⁴³Ar Levels

E(level) [†]	J ^π	E(level) [†]	E(level) [†]	E(level) [†]	J ^π
0.0	5/2 ⁽⁻⁾ [‡]	1816.65? 23	2798.4? 5	4009.2? 3	
762.02 8		1944.96? 21	3374.8? 5	4247.02 18	(3/2 ⁺) [@]
1381.73 7		2344.4 8	3395.8? 3	4289.0? 5	
1441.43 11		2390.47 15	3425.5? 5	4550.8? 4	
1793.77 11	(3/2 ⁺) [#]	2520.35 13	3549.4? 7		

[†] From least-squares fit to E_γ data.

[‡] From Adopted Levels. 1998WiZX suggested 5/2⁻ or 7/2⁻.

[#] From shell-model prediction.

[@] Allowed β-decay from (1/2⁺) parent.

β⁻ radiations

There is a total of 28% 10 unidentified β feeding (2006Wi10). Up to 8% can be associated with feeding to the ground state. It is possible that some of the unidentified feeding is associated with β-delayed neutron decay of ⁴³Cl.

E(decay)	E(level)	Iβ ⁻ [†]	Log ft	Comments
(3.14×10 ³ [‡] 10)	4550.8?	0.53 12	5.7 1	av Eβ=1368 49
(3.40×10 ³ [‡] 10)	4289.0?	1.6 5	5.4 2	av Eβ=1495 49
(3.44×10 ³ 10)	4247.02	5.8 9	4.9 1	av Eβ=1515 49
(3.68×10 ³ [‡] 10)	4009.2?	0.66 22	5.9 2	av Eβ=1630 49
(4.14×10 ³ [‡] 10)	3549.4?	0.33 9	6.5 1	av Eβ=1853 49
(4.26×10 ³ [‡] 10)	3425.5?	0.74 17	6.2 1	av Eβ=1914 49
(4.29×10 ³ [‡] 10)	3395.8?	1.28 22	6.0 1	av Eβ=1928 49
(4.32×10 ³ [‡] 10)	3374.8?	0.19 12	6.8 3	av Eβ=1939 49
(4.89×10 ³ 10)	2798.4?	0.33 8	6.8 1	av Eβ=2220 49
(5.17×10 ³ 10)	2520.35	3.0 5	6.0 1	av Eβ=2356 49
(5.30×10 ³ 10)	2390.47	4.6 7	5.8 1	av Eβ=2420 49
(5.35×10 ³ 10)	2344.4	3	6.0	av Eβ=2442 49
(5.87×10 ³ 10)	1816.65?	0.98 23	6.7 1	av Eβ=2701 49

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{43}\text{Cl}\beta^{-}$ decay (3.13 s) 2006Wi10,1998WiZX,1981HuZT (continued) β^{-} radiations (continued)

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^{-}\dagger$	Log ft	Comments
$(5.90\times 10^3\ 10)$	1793.77	50 7	5.0 1	av $E\beta=2712\ 49$
$(6.25\times 10^3\ 10)$	1441.43	1.7 6	6.6 2	av $E\beta=2885\ 50$
$(6.31\times 10^3\ 10)$	1381.73	3.3 6	6.3 1	av $E\beta=2914\ 50$
$(7.69\times 10^3\ddagger\ 10)$	0.0	<8	>8.5 ^{1u}	

\dagger Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

\ddagger Existence of this branch is questionable.

 $\gamma(^{43}\text{Ar})$

I γ normalization: Deduced by 2006Wi10 from intensity of γ -rays from ^{43}Ar decay.

$E_{\gamma}\dagger$	$I_{\gamma}\dagger@$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^{π}	E_f	J_f^{π}
352.13 14	2.1 3	1793.77	(3/2 ⁺)	1441.43	
411.8 3	1.23 19	1793.77	(3/2 ⁺)	1381.73	
619.56 10	2.25 22	1381.73		762.02	
679.24 10	10.0 7	1441.43		762.02	
726.58 8	4.94 24	2520.35		1793.77	(3/2 ⁺)
761.81 11	100.0 21	762.02		0.0	5/2 ⁽⁻⁾
903 ^{‡&}		2344.4		1441.43	
948.96 17	1.69 17	2390.47		1441.43	
1008.82 24	0.68 13	2390.47		1381.73	
1031.84 9	89.7 24	1793.77	(3/2 ⁺)	762.02	
1381.79 7	6.3 4	1381.73		0.0	5/2 ⁽⁻⁾
1441.69& 23	1.6 3	1441.43		0.0	5/2 ⁽⁻⁾
1628.1& 6	0.69 14	2390.47		762.02	
1631.8& 5	1.29 23	3425.5?		1793.77	(3/2 ⁺)
1758.2 5	0.31 13	2520.35		762.02	
1793.5# 6	2.72 17	1793.77	(3/2 ⁺)	0.0	5/2 ⁽⁻⁾
1816.5& 3	3.18 24	1816.65?		0.0	5/2 ⁽⁻⁾
1933.3& 5	0.34 20	3374.8?		1441.43	
1944.96& 21	3.9 3	1944.96?		0.0	5/2 ⁽⁻⁾
2036.4& 4	0.57 11	2798.4?		762.02	
2108.0& 7	0.58 13	3549.4?		1441.43	
2215.4& 3	1.2 3	4009.2?		1793.77	(3/2 ⁺)
2344 ^{‡&}		2344.4		0.0	5/2 ⁽⁻⁾
2344.0& 4	2.7 7	4289.0?		1944.96?	
2390.5 4	5.1 4	2390.47		0.0	5/2 ⁽⁻⁾
2430.0& 5	1.46 19	4247.02	(3/2 ⁺)	1816.65?	
2452.7 6	1.38 17	4247.02	(3/2 ⁺)	1793.77	(3/2 ⁺)
2805.43 17	2.9 3	4247.02	(3/2 ⁺)	1441.43	
2865.7 4	0.83 14	4247.02	(3/2 ⁺)	1381.73	
3109.3& 4	0.93 15	4550.8?		1441.43	
3395.8& 3	2.24 20	3395.8?		0.0	5/2 ⁽⁻⁾
4247.0 7	3.5 7	4247.02	(3/2 ⁺)	0.0	5/2 ⁽⁻⁾

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

${}^{43}\text{Cl}\beta^{-}$ decay (3.13 s) [2006Wi10](#),[1998WiZX](#),[1981HuZT](#) (continued)

$\gamma({}^{43}\text{Ar})$ (continued)

† From [2006Wi10](#), unless otherwise stated.

‡ From [1981HuZT](#) only.

From [1998WiZX](#) only.

@ For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.57 8.

& Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

$^{43}\text{Cl} \beta^-$ decay (3.13 s) 2006Wi10,1998WiX,1981HuZT

Legend

Decay Scheme
Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays

- $I_{\gamma} < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- $I_{\gamma} < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- $I_{\gamma} > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- - - - -→ γ Decay (Uncertain)
- Coincidence
- Coincidence (Uncertain)

