

$\text{C}(^{44}\text{S}, ^{42}\text{Si}\gamma)$  **2012Ta20**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen <sup>#</sup> and Balraj Singh		NDS 135, 1 (2016)	31-May-2016

Two-proton removal reaction.

**2012Ta20:** E=385 MeV/nucleon  $^{48}\text{Ca}$  primary beam with an average intensity of 70 pA was produced at the RIBF facility at RIKEN and incident on a 15-mm-thick rotating beryllium target. A secondary  $^{44}\text{S}$  beam was analyzed by the BigRIPS fragment separator and accelerated to an energy of 210 MeV/nucleon with an intensity of  $4 \times 10^4$  pps. The secondary target was a  $2.54 \text{ g/cm}^2$  carbon foil. Reaction products were analyzed by the ZeroDegree spectrometer and identified using the energy loss (ionization chamber), magnetic rigidity and time-of-flight (plastic scintillators);  $\gamma$  rays were detected by the DALI2 array of 186 NaI(Tl) detectors surrounding the reaction target (20% efficiency, FWHM=10% at  $E_\gamma=1 \text{ MeV}$ ). Measured  $E_\gamma$ ,  $I_\gamma$ , particle- $\gamma$ -coin. Deduced levels,  $J^\pi$ , rapid deformation development of Si isotopes. Comparison with shell-model calculations.

**Additional information 1.**

Total cross section for  $^{42}\text{Si}=0.12 \text{ mb}$  2 for E=210 MeV/nucleon.

 $^{42}\text{Si}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$ <sup>‡</sup>	Comments
0	$0^+$	
742 8	$2^+$	
2173? 14	$(4^+)$	E(level): this level is tentatively assigned by <b>2012Ta20</b> based on $\gamma\gamma$ -coin as the first $4^+$ state predicted by shell-model calculations. The resulting energy ratio between the first $4^+$ and $2^+$ states ( $R_{4/2}$ )=2.93 5 is close to the rigid-rotor limit, which contradicts the possibility of a doubly closed structure suggested by the two magic numbers Z=14 and N=28 but supports enhanced quadrupole collectivity. Furthermore, large $R_{4/2}$ value indicates a significant static ground state deformation of $^{42}\text{Si}$ ( <b>2012Ta20</b> ).
2774? 12		

<sup>†</sup> From  $E_\gamma$ .

<sup>‡</sup> Predicted by shell-model calculations.

 $\gamma(^{42}\text{Si})$ 

$E_\gamma$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Comments
742 8	742	$2^+$	0	$0^+$	
1431 11	2173?	$(4^+)$	742	$2^+$	$E_\gamma$ : placement of this $\gamma$ -ray is based on $\gamma\gamma$ -coin with the 742 $\gamma$ -ray. Also yield of this $\gamma$ -ray is consistent with 100% feeding of the $(2^+)$ state.
2032 <sup>†</sup> 9	2774?		742	$2^+$	$E_\gamma$ : possible partial feeding of the $2^+$ state at 742 keV ( <b>2012Ta20</b> ).
<sup>x</sup> 2357 15					

<sup>†</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

C( $^{44}\text{S}, ^{42}\text{Si}\gamma$ ) 2012Ta20

Legend

Level Scheme

-----►  $\gamma$  Decay (Uncertain)

