

Adopted Levels, Gammas

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen [#] and Balraj Singh		NDS 135, 1 (2016)	31-May-2016

$Q(\beta^-)=15550$ SY; $S(n)=3630$ SY; $S(p)=24620$ SY; $Q(\alpha)=-19940$ SY [2012Wa38](#)

Estimated uncertainties (syst,[2012Wa38](#)): $\Delta Q(\beta^-)=550$, $\Delta S(n)=620$, $\Delta S(p)=780$, $\Delta Q(\alpha)=710$ ([2012Wa38](#)).

$S(2n)=5010$ 550, $S(2p)=46620$ 780, $Q(\beta^-n)=13470$ 510 (syst,[2012Wa38](#)).

[1990Le03](#): ^{42}Si identified and produced in $^{64}\text{Ni}(^{48}\text{Ca},X)$ reaction at $E=44$ MeV/nucleon, followed by a measurement of fragment spectra versus atomic number.

[2004Gr20](#) (also [2004Gr28](#),[2003Gr22](#)): ^{42}Si produced in $^9\text{Be}(^{48}\text{Ca},X)$ at $E=60$ MeV/nucleon, LISE3 spectrometer at GANIL, isotopic identification by energy loss, time-of-flight and magnetic rigidities, double-sided Si strip (DSSD) detectors for residues. Measured (β) (residues) time correlations and half-life using scintillation detectors for β rays. Detailed QRPA calculations. Predictions of Gamow-Teller strengths, $I\beta$ and $\% \beta^-n$ for spherical, prolate ($\epsilon=+0.3$) and oblate ($\epsilon=-0.3$) shapes. The measured half-life suggests deformed shape when compared with QRPA calculations.

[2006Fr13](#) (also [2005Fr19](#)), [2006GrZZ](#): see $^9\text{Be}(^{44}\text{S},X\gamma)$ data-set.

[1999YoZW](#), from fragmentation of ^{48}Ca beam using $^9\text{Be}(^{48}\text{Ca},X)$ and $^{181}\text{Ta}(^{48}\text{Ca},X)$ reactions at 70 MeV/nucleon; measured $T_{1/2}$ and $\% \beta^-n$; according to the authors, the results are preliminary.

Mass measurement: mass excess=15160 580 ([2007Ju03](#)).

Recent theory papers: [2016Li07](#), [2011Li47](#), [2011Ka03](#), [2010Sm02](#) and [2010Ga15](#) (shell-model calculations), [2010Ha33](#) (quadrupole moment), [2009Co21](#) (half-life), [2009No01](#) and [2009Zi01](#) (B(E2)).

 ^{42}Si LevelsCross Reference (XREF) Flags

A $^9\text{Be}(^{44}\text{S},^{42}\text{Si}\gamma)$
 B $\text{C}(^{44}\text{S},^{42}\text{Si}\gamma)$

E(level) [†]	J ^π [‡]	T _{1/2}	XREF	Comments
0	0 ⁺	12.5 ms 35	AB	$\% \beta^- = 100$; $\% \beta^-n = ?$; $\% \beta^-2n = ?$ $\% \beta^-n = 103$ 48 (1999YoZW , preliminary result). Theoretical $T_{1/2} = 43.4$ ms, $\% \beta^-n = 40.4$, $\% \beta^-2n = 4.4$ (2003Mo09). Theoretical $T_{1/2} = 29.5$ ms, $\% \beta^-n = 31.5$, $\% \beta^-2n = 11.5$ (2016Ma12). $T_{1/2}$: from $\beta(^{42}\text{Si})$ time correlations followed over 400 ms (2004Gr20). Other: 20 ms 10 (1999YoZW , preliminary result). See also comment for (2 ⁺) state.
742 8	(2 ⁺)		AB	Low energy of 2 ⁺ state and comparison of measured half-life with QRPA calculations (2004Gr20) suggest that ^{42}Si is deformed and that there is collapse of N=28 closed shell at Z=14. But the small production cross section of ^{42}Si in both studies (2006Fr13 and 2006GrZZ) suggest closed shell nature of ^{42}Si .
2173 14	(4 ⁺)		B	
2774? 12			B	

[†] From a least-square fit to γ energies.

[‡] From systematics of even-even nuclei.

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued) $\gamma(^{42}\text{Si})$

$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_γ^\dagger	E_f	J_f^π	Comments
742	(2 ⁺)	742 8	0	0 ⁺	E_γ : 770 19 from $^9\text{Be}(^{44}\text{S}, ^{42}\text{Si}\gamma)$.
2173	(4 ⁺)	1431 11	742	(2 ⁺)	
2774?		2032 \ddagger 9	742	(2 ⁺)	E_γ : possible partial feeding of the 2 ⁺ state at 742 keV (2012Ta20).

\dagger From C($^{44}\text{S}, ^{42}\text{Si}\gamma$).

\ddagger Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

Adopted Levels, Gammas

Legend

Level Scheme-----► γ Decay (Uncertain)