

$^{26}\text{Mg}(^{18}\text{O},\text{n}\gamma)$  **1977Ek01**

Type	History		
Full Evaluation	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
	Jun Chen <sup>#</sup> and Balraj Singh	NDS 135, 1 (2016)	31-May-2016

**1977Ek01** (also [1975Eg01](#)): E=25, 34 MeV  $^{18}\text{O}$  beam was produced from the Utrecht EN tandem accelerator. Target of 180  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  enriched  $^{26}\text{Mg}$  (99.42%) evaporated on a 27  $\mu\text{m}$  Ni backing.  $\gamma$ -rays were detected with Compton-suppression Ge(Li) detectors of 25% efficiency and a three-crystal Ge(Li) Compton polarimeter. Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin,  $\gamma(\theta)$ ,  $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ ,  $\gamma$ (lin pol). Deduced levels,  $J^\pi$ , branching ratios,  $T_{1/2}$  by Recoil-distance method (RDM).

Other: [1975Wa04](#). See  $^{27}\text{Al}(^{18}\text{O},\text{n}2\text{p}\gamma)$  dataset.

 $^{42}\text{K}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π</sup> #	T <sub>1/2</sub> <sup>‡</sup>
0.0	2 <sup>-</sup> @	
106.780 20	3 <sup>-</sup>	284 ps 42
258.13 10	4 <sup>-</sup>	133 ps 9
638.45 12	3 <sup>-</sup>	<1.4 ns
698.82 12	5 <sup>-</sup>	41 ps 8
1143.20 16	4 <sup>+</sup>	<1.4 ns
1375.51 16	6 <sup>+</sup>	1.17 ns 8
1538.2 4	(3,5)	<3.5 ps
1947.2 3	7 <sup>+</sup>	<1.1 ps

<sup>†</sup> From least-squares fit to  $E\gamma$  data.

<sup>‡</sup> Recoil-distance method ([1975Eg01](#)).

# From  $\gamma(\theta)$  and  $\gamma$ (lin pol) in [1977Ek01](#), unless otherwise noted.

@ From Adopted Levels.

 $\gamma(^{42}\text{K})$ 

$A_2$ ,  $A_4$  and Pol values are from [1977Ek01](#).

E <sub>γ</sub>	I <sub>γ</sub> <sup>‡</sup>	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	J <sup>π</sup> <sub>i</sub>	E <sub>f</sub>	J <sup>π</sup> <sub>f</sub>	Mult. <sup>#</sup>	δ <sup>#</sup>	Comments
106.78 <sup>†</sup> 2	>110	106.780	3 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	2 <sup>-</sup>	D(+Q)	+0.01 3	$A_2=-0.153$ 18; $A_4=+0.022$ 18 $I_\gamma$ : sum of feeding intensities.
151.33 <sup>†</sup> 12	100 3	258.13	4 <sup>-</sup>	106.780	3 <sup>-</sup>	M1(+E2)	-0.008 16	$A_2=-0.259$ 6 Pol=-0.30 11.
232.3 2	7.1 3	1375.51	6 <sup>+</sup>	1143.20	4 <sup>+</sup>	E2(+M3)	-0.04 3	$A_2=+0.34$ 5; $A_4=-0.16$ 5
380.3 2	4.2 2	638.45	3 <sup>-</sup>	258.13	4 <sup>-</sup>	(M1+E2)		$A_2=-0.35$ 16; $A_4=+0.29$ 17
395.0 3	7.5 13	1538.2	(3,5)	1143.20	4 <sup>+</sup>	D		$A_2=-0.40$ 6
440.68 <sup>†</sup> 12	85 3	698.82	5 <sup>-</sup>	258.13	4 <sup>-</sup>	M1+E2	+0.102 8	$A_2=-0.080$ 8; $A_4=-0.016$ 9 Pol=-0.46 4.
444.4 3	5.1 2	1143.20	4 <sup>+</sup>	698.82	5 <sup>-</sup>	D		$A_2=-0.18$ 5
504.72 18	5.3 3	1143.20	4 <sup>+</sup>	638.45	3 <sup>-</sup>	D		$A_2=-0.38$ 6
531.7 2	7.0 4	638.45	3 <sup>-</sup>	106.780	3 <sup>-</sup>			$A_2=+0.28$ 8.
571.7 2	37 4	1947.2	7 <sup>+</sup>	1375.51	6 <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2	-0.035 19	$A_2=-0.36$ 12 Pol=-0.36 6.
592.1 2	3.2 9	698.82	5 <sup>-</sup>	106.780	3 <sup>-</sup>			$I_\gamma$ : from $I\gamma(592)/I\gamma(441)=3.6$ 10/96.4 10.
638.4 2	7.0 5	638.45	3 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	2 <sup>-</sup>	D		$A_2=-0.32$ 12; $A_4=-0.16$ 14
676.69 <sup>†</sup> 12	58.6 18	1375.51	6 <sup>+</sup>	698.82	5 <sup>-</sup>	E1+M2	+0.025 11	$A_2=-0.216$ 10; $A_4=-0.016$ 11 Pol=+0.39 4.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{26}\text{Mg}({}^{18}\text{O},\text{np}\gamma)$  1977Ek01 (continued) $\gamma(^{42}\text{K})$  (continued)<sup>†</sup> From 1975Eg01, used as calibration line by 1977Ek01.<sup>‡</sup> For 34 MeV, unless otherwise stated.<sup>#</sup> From  $\gamma(\theta)$  and  $\gamma(\text{lin pol})$  in 1977Ek01. $^{26}\text{Mg}({}^{18}\text{O},\text{np}\gamma)$  1977Ek01