

$^{42}\text{S} \beta^-$ decay (1.016 s) 2006Wi10

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen [#] and Balraj Singh		NDS 135, 1 (2016)	31-May-2016

Parent: ^{42}S : $E=0$; $J^\pi=0^+$; $T_{1/2}=1.016$ s 15; $Q(\beta^-)=7.28 \times 10^3$ 14; $\% \beta^-$ decay=100.0

^{42}S - $T_{1/2}$: From ^{42}S Adopted Levels.

^{42}S - $Q(\beta^-)$: From 2012Wa38.

2006Wi10: ^{42}S isotope produced by fragmentation of a ^{48}Ca beam at 70 MeV/nucleon hitting a ^9Be target. The fragments were separated by A1200 fragment separator at NSCL, Michigan facility. Measured E_γ , I_γ , $\gamma\gamma$, β , $\beta\gamma$ coin using two Ge detectors for γ rays and a plastic scintillator for β rays. Comparisons with Shell-model calculations.

1998WiZX: reported preliminary result of 2006Wi10; measured $T_{1/2}$, E_γ , I_γ , $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, neutrons. No delayed neutrons were observed by 1998WiZX; 1995So03 reported $\% \beta^- n < 4$.

2013Sz02 refer to a study of this decay by D. O'Donnell, Ph.D. thesis, University of the West Scotland (2008).

 ^{42}Cl Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	Comments
0.0	(2 ⁻)	
118.19 6	(3 ⁻)	
588.96 6	(1 ⁻ , 2, 3 ⁺)	
639.44 9	(1 ⁻ , 2, 3 ⁺)	
841.90 6	(1 ⁻ , 2, 3 ⁺)	
1025.82 23	(1 ⁻ , 2, 3 ⁺)	
1267.48 7	1 ⁺	
1385.68 18		
1550.38 10		
1576.61? 19		
1684.16? 11		
1710.91 8		
1834.78? 13		
2123.28 9	1 ⁺	
2221.00? 12		
2241.16 25	(1 ⁺)	J^π : $\log ft=5.5$ from 0^+ , however, due to weak β feeding and incomplete decay scheme, J^π is considered as tentative.
2403.1? 4		
3029.76 12	1 ⁺	

[†] From least-squares fit to E_γ data.

[‡] Strong β^- feeding from 0^+ . For states below 1.1 MeV, values are inferred from a comparison with the decay scheme of ^{40}S (2006Wi10).

 β^- radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^-$ ^{†‡#}	$\log ft$ [‡]	Comments
(4.25×10^3 14)	3029.76	16.2 9	4.33 7	av $E\beta=1909$ 69
(4.88×10^3 @ 14)	2403.1?	1.0 2	5.8 1	av $E\beta=2215$ 69
(5.04×10^3 14)	2241.16	2.2 3	5.5 1	av $E\beta=2294$ 69
(5.16×10^3 14)	2123.28	63 3	4.13 6	av $E\beta=2352$ 69
(5.57×10^3 14)	1710.91	1.6 8	5.9 2	av $E\beta=2554$ 69
(5.89×10^3 14)	1385.68	0.7 2	6.4 2	av $E\beta=2713$ 69
(6.01×10^3 14)	1267.48	17.6 11	4.99 6	av $E\beta=2771$ 69

[†] From intensity balance.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{42}\text{S } \beta^- \text{ decay (1.016 s) 2006Wi10 (continued)}$ β^- radiations (continued)

[‡] Due to large Q value, it is possible that there are unobserved levels above the currently known level at 3030, thus the β -feedings and log ft values are considered as limits only. However, β transitions with large feedings (>15%) are considered as allowed.

Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

@ Existence of this branch is questionable.

 $\gamma(^{42}\text{Cl})$

I γ normalization: $\Sigma(\text{I}\gamma \text{ to g.s.})=100$, assuming zero feeding to g.s.

E_γ [†]	I_γ [‡]	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.
118.25 10	100 5	118.19	(3 ⁻)	0.0	(2 ⁻)	
160.60 9	1.57 15	1710.91		1550.38		
202.42 11	0.96 11	841.90	(1 ⁻ ,2,3 ⁺)	639.44	(1 ⁻ ,2,3 ⁺)	
252.6 4	0.35 9	841.90	(1 ⁻ ,2,3 ⁺)	588.96	(1 ⁻ ,2,3 ⁺)	
288.49 [#] 12	0.72 9	2123.28	1 ⁺	1834.78?		
425.61 6	5.7 4	1267.48	1 ⁺	841.90	(1 ⁻ ,2,3 ⁺)	
439.09 [#] 8	2.00 17	2123.28	1 ⁺	1684.16?		
470.78 6	33.5 12	588.96	(1 ⁻ ,2,3 ⁺)	118.19	(3 ⁻)	
521.26 10	2.0 4	639.44	(1 ⁻ ,2,3 ⁺)	118.19	(3 ⁻)	
588.78 9	0.25 5	588.96	(1 ⁻ ,2,3 ⁺)	0.0	(2 ⁻)	
638.9 4	0.55 9	639.44	(1 ⁻ ,2,3 ⁺)	0.0	(2 ⁻)	
670.55 [#] 10	1.84 16	2221.00?		1550.38		
678.43 7	12.9 7	1267.48	1 ⁺	588.96	(1 ⁻ ,2,3 ⁺)	
685.1 3	1.22 13	1710.91		1025.82	(1 ⁻ ,2,3 ⁺)	
723.72 7	37.6 12	841.90	(1 ⁻ ,2,3 ⁺)	118.19	(3 ⁻)	
737.8 3	0.45 13	2123.28	1 ⁺	1385.68		
796.80 20	1.3 3	1385.68		588.96	(1 ⁻ ,2,3 ⁺)	
808.55 [#] 15	1.48 24	3029.76	1 ⁺	2221.00?		
841.95 8	9.4 12	841.90	(1 ⁻ ,2,3 ⁺)	0.0	(2 ⁻)	
868.94 14	1.98 22	1710.91		841.90	(1 ⁻ ,2,3 ⁺)	
907.5 5	0.39 18	1025.82	(1 ⁻ ,2,3 ⁺)	118.19	(3 ⁻)	
1025.9 4	0.55 17	1025.82	(1 ⁻ ,2,3 ⁺)	0.0	(2 ⁻)	
1071.6 3	1.3 3	1710.91		639.44	(1 ⁻ ,2,3 ⁺)	
1121.97 13	3.0 6	1710.91		588.96	(1 ⁻ ,2,3 ⁺)	
1149.42 13	1.7 4	1267.48	1 ⁺	118.19	(3 ⁻)	
1193.1 [#] 9	1.6 [†] 3	3029.76	1 ⁺	1834.78?		
1245.93 [#] 24	1.08 18	1834.78?		588.96	(1 ⁻ ,2,3 ⁺)	
1268.8 [#] 7	1.65 [†] 24	1267.48	1 ⁺	0.0	(2 ⁻)	[M2]
1281.44 12	37.3 14	2123.28	1 ⁺	841.90	(1 ⁻ ,2,3 ⁺)	
1318.88 11	9.1 4	3029.76	1 ⁺	1710.91		
1399.1 3	1.76 19	2241.16	(1 ⁺)	841.90	(1 ⁻ ,2,3 ⁺)	
1453.2 [#] 3	1.31 17	3029.76	1 ⁺	1576.61?		
1458.53 [#] 23	1.21 20	1576.61?		118.19	(3 ⁻)	
1534.26 11	12.7 6	2123.28	1 ⁺	588.96	(1 ⁻ ,2,3 ⁺)	
1550.52 14	2.85 23	1550.38		0.0	(2 ⁻)	
1592.55 18	1.94 22	1710.91		118.19	(3 ⁻)	
1652.4 4	0.80 26	2241.16	(1 ⁺)	588.96	(1 ⁻ ,2,3 ⁺)	
1684.1 [#] 3	1.63 19	1684.16?		0.0	(2 ⁻)	
1814.2 [#] 4	1.20 19	2403.1?		588.96	(1 ⁻ ,2,3 ⁺)	
2004.93 16	19.4 7	2123.28	1 ⁺	118.19	(3 ⁻)	
2123.9 [#] 5	1.47 [†] 17	2123.28	1 ⁺	0.0	(2 ⁻)	[M2]

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

⁴²S β⁻ decay (1.016 s) 2006Wi10 (continued)

γ(⁴²Cl) (continued)

<u>E_γ[†]</u>	<u>I_γ[‡]</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>
2187.2 4	2.6 3	3029.76	1 ⁺	841.90	(1 ⁻ ,2,3 ⁺)
2440.6 3	2.4 3	3029.76	1 ⁺	588.96	(1 ⁻ ,2,3 ⁺)
2911.7 5	1.8 3	3029.76	1 ⁺	118.19	(3 ⁻)

[†] From 1998WiZX only.
[‡] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.87 4.
Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

$^{42}\text{S } \beta^- \text{ decay (1.016 s) } 2006\text{Wi10}$

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- - - γ Decay (Uncertain)
- Coincidence
- Coincidence (Uncertain)

Decay Scheme

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays

