

²⁸Si(¹⁶O,2pγ) 1978Eg02,1976Ro06

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen [#] and Balraj Singh		NDS 135, 1 (2016)	31-May-2016

Includes ¹²C(³²S,2pγ) from 1987TaZY.

1978Eg02: E=45 MeV ¹⁶O beam was produced at the Utrecht EN tandem accelerator. A target of 250 μg/cm² ²⁸Si enriched to 99.91% on a 20 μm Au backing. γ-rays were detected with a NaI(Tl) Compton suppression spectrometer (CSS) and an array of three Ge(Li) detectors of about 25% efficiency. Measured E_γ, I_γ, γγ, γ(θ), γ(lin pol). Deduced levels, J^π, mixing ratios.

1976Ro06: E=36-42 MeV ¹⁶O beam was produced from the Oak Ridge tandem Van de Graaff accelerator. A natural target of 0.7 mg/cm² Si on a thick Pt backing. γ-rays were detected with Ge(Li) detectors. Measured E_γ, I_γ, γγ, γγ(θ), γ(θ). Deduced levels, J^π, mixing ratios, γ-branchings, transition probabilities.

1975Wu01 (also **1974Li06**): E=36.5-50 MeV ¹⁶O beam was produced from from the Van de Graaff tandem accelerator of the University of Cologne. A natural target of 150-200 μg/cm² Si layer evaporated onto stretched target foils of 1 μm Au or Ni. γ-rays were detected with 55-85 cm³ Ge(Li) detectors, FWHM=3 keV at 1.33 MeV. Measured E_γ, lifetimes by recoil-distance method.

1975Uh02: E=32.5 MeV. Measured γ by γ(θ,H,t).

1980Da22 (also **1983Da12**): E=40 MeV. Measured average γ multiplicity.

1987TaZY: ¹²C(³²S,2pγ) E=75 MeV. Measured g factor of high-spin states.

⁴²Ca Levels

E(level) [†]	J ^π [‡]	T _{1/2} [#]	Comments
0.0	0 ⁺		
1524.61 8	2 ⁺		
2752.29 12	4 ⁺	2.63 [@] ps 28	
3189.37 17	6 ⁺		
4099.58 17	5 ⁻	<0.7 ps	
5491.19 23	6 ⁻	<0.14 ^{&} ps	J ^π : 5 ⁺ In 1976Ro06.
5744.29 22	7 ⁻	10.5 ps 10	J ^π : 5 ⁻ In 1976Ro06.
6144.96 23	7 ⁻		J ^π : 6 ⁺ In 1976Ro06.
6408.79 22	8 ⁻	31.0 ps 25	J ^π : 7 ⁺ In 1976Ro06.
6553.82 22	9 ⁻	44 ps 7	J ^π : 7 ⁻ In 1976Ro06.
7368.3 3	(8,10) ⁻	1.5 ps 8	J ^π : 6 ⁻ ,8 ⁻ In 1976Ro06; 10 ⁻ In Adopted Levels.
7750.5 3	(7,9,11) ⁻	<2.1 ^a ps	J ^π : 5 ⁻ to 9 ⁻ In 1976Ro06; (11) ⁻ in Adopted Levels.
8297.1 4	(7 to 11) ⁻		J ^π : 11 ⁻ in Adopted Levels.

[†] From least-squares fit to E_γ data.

[‡] From γ(lin pol) and γ(θ) in 1978Eg02.

[#] From recoil-distance method (1975Wu01), unless otherwise stated.

[@] From recoil-distance method (1974Li06).

[&] From DSA analysis (1975Wu01).

^a From line shape analysis (1978Eg02).

γ(⁴²Ca)

E _γ [†]	I _γ [†]	E _i (level)	J _i ^π	E _f	J _f ^π	Mult. [#]	δ [@]	Comments
145.03 10	6.9 3	6553.82	9 ⁻	6408.79	8 ⁻	D(+Q)	0.00 2	A ₂ =-0.264 16; A ₄ =0 (1978Eg02) I _γ : I _γ (145.0)/I _γ (809.5)=28 1/72 1.
263.83 8	2.4 1	6408.79	8 ⁻	6144.96	7 ⁻	M1		A ₂ =-0.21 3; A ₄ =0 (1978Eg02) A ₂ =-0.09 3; A ₄ =-0.01 3 (1976Ro06) Pol=-0.42 11 (1978Eg02), -0.09 4 (1976Ro06). I _γ : I _γ (263.8)/I _γ (917.6)/I _γ (3219.2)=15 1/73 2/12 2.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{28}\text{Si}(^{16}\text{O},2\text{p}\gamma)$ **1978Eg02,1976Ro06** (continued)

$\gamma(^{42}\text{Ca})$ (continued)

E_γ †	I_γ †	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. #	$\delta^{\text{@}}$	Comments
382.20 8	6.0 4	7750.5	(7,9,11) ⁻	7368.3	(8,10) ⁻	M1		$A_2=-0.23$ 3; $A_4=0$ (1978Eg02) Pol=-0.41 5 (1978Eg02).
437.09 15	76 3	3189.37	6 ⁺	2752.29	4 ⁺	E2		$A_2=+0.23$ 3; $A_4=-0.08$ 3 (1978Eg02) $A_2=+0.264$ 9; $A_4=-0.089$ 9 (1976Ro06) Pol=+0.39 3 (1978Eg02), +0.32 5 (1976Ro06).
809.54 15	18.1 4	6553.82	9 ⁻	5744.29	7 ⁻	E2		$A_2=+0.374$ 17; $A_4=-0.147$ 17 (1978Eg02) $A_2=+0.38$ 3; $A_4=-0.17$ 5 (1976Ro06) Pol=+0.69 4 (1978Eg02), +0.37 8 (1976Ro06).
814.44 15	11.8 4	7368.3	(8,10) ⁻	6553.82	9 ⁻	M1+E2		$A_2=-0.150$ 15; $A_4=0$ (1978Eg02) $A_2=-0.38$ 5; $A_4=+0.24$ 5 (1976Ro06) Pol=-0.37 3 (1978Eg02), -0.41 9 (1976Ro06).
910.21 15	8.2 3	4099.58	5 ⁻	3189.37	6 ⁺	E1(+M2)	+0.04 & 2	$A_2=-0.152$ 15; $A_4=0$ (1978Eg02) $A_2=-0.18$ 3; $A_4=-0.01$ 3 (1976Ro06) Pol=+0.21 3 (1978Eg02), +0.19 9 (1976Ro06).
917.59 15	11.5 3	6408.79	8 ⁻	5491.19	6 ⁻	E2		$A_2=+0.336$ 13; $A_4=-0.115$ 14 (1978Eg02) $A_2=+0.365$ 19; $A_4=-0.12$ 3 (1976Ro06) Pol=+0.63 4 (1978Eg02), +0.41 12 (1976Ro06).
928.84 19	3.8 2	8297.1	(7 to 11) ⁻	7368.3	(8,10) ⁻	M1+E2		$A_2=+0.01$ 5; $A_4=0$ (1978Eg02) $A_2=-0.67$ 14; $A_4=+0.41$ 15 (1976Ro06) Pol=-0.48 9 (1978Eg02), -0.67 27 (1976Ro06).
1227.66 ‡ 8	91.0 20	2752.29	4 ⁺	1524.61	2 ⁺	E2		$A_2=+0.280$ 10; $A_4=-0.085$ 10 (1978Eg02) $A_2=+0.262$ 11; $A_4=-0.061$ 13 (1976Ro06) Pol=+0.42 2 (1978Eg02), +0.29 6 (1976Ro06).
1347.26 14	4.9 3	4099.58	5 ⁻	2752.29	4 ⁺	E1+M2	-0.09 & 4	$A_2=-0.25$ 3; $A_4=0$ (1978Eg02) $A_2=-0.42$ 7; $A_4=+0.10$ 8 (1976Ro06) Pol=+0.27 5 (1978Eg02), +0.05 25 (1976Ro06).
1524.58 ‡ 8	100 3	1524.61	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		$A_2=+0.277$ 10; $A_4=-0.093$ 10 (1978Eg02) $A_2=+0.285$ 11; $A_4=-0.082$ 17 (1976Ro06) Pol=+0.44 2 (1978Eg02), +0.37 9 (1976Ro06).
1644.7 4		5744.29	7 ⁻	4099.58	5 ⁻	E2		$A_2=+0.29$ 4; $A_4=-0.09$ 4 (1976Ro06) E_γ : from $\gamma\gamma$. I_γ : $I_\gamma(1644.7)/I_\gamma(2554.85)=57$ 8/43

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{28}\text{Si}(^{16}\text{O},2\text{p}\gamma)$ 1978Eg02,1976Ro06 (continued) $\gamma(^{42}\text{Ca})$ (continued)

E_γ †	I_γ †	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. #	δ @	Comments
2301.75 21	11.9 4	5491.19	6 ⁻	3189.37	6 ⁺	E1		8. Pol=+0.25 29 (1976Ro06). A ₂ =+0.470 20; A ₄ =0 (1978Eg02) A ₂ =+0.325 16; A ₄ =+0.055 18 (1976Ro06) Pol=-0.30 25 (1976Ro06).
2554.85 21	8.1 3	5744.29	7 ⁻	3189.37	6 ⁺	E1(+M2)	0.00 +8-2	A ₂ =-0.290 20; A ₄ =0 (1978Eg02) A ₂ =-0.33 4; A ₄ =+0.01 4 (1976Ro06) Pol=+0.40 10 (1978Eg02), -0.17 30 (1976Ro06).
2955.5 3	2.4 1	6144.96	7 ⁻	3189.37	6 ⁺	E1		A ₂ =-0.25 3; A ₄ =0 (1978Eg02) A ₂ =-0.36 8; A ₄ =-0.01 9 (1976Ro06) Pol=+0.40 20 (1978Eg02).
3219.2 3	1.8 2	6408.79	8 ⁻	3189.37	6 ⁺	M2+E3	+0.8 2	A ₂ =+0.92 3; A ₄ =+0.36 4 (1978Eg02) A ₂ =+0.76 14; A ₄ =+0.29 15 (1976Ro06) Pol=-1.1 6 (1978Eg02).

† From 1978Eg02. Values of I_γ for most γ rays are also available in 1976Ro06 and agree with those from 1978Eg02, but are somewhat less precise.

‡ From 1975Wa04 in ($^{18}\text{O},2\text{np}\gamma$). This energy used as a calibration line by 1978Eg02.

Assigned by the evaluators based on $\gamma(\theta)$ and $\gamma(\text{lin pol})$ data.

@ From 1978Eg02, unless otherwise stated. 1976Ro06 give δ values for almost all the transitions, but their J^π values differ from the values in Adopted Levels for all the levels above 4100 keV.

& From 1976Ro06.

$^{28}\text{Si}(^{16}\text{O},2p\gamma)$ 1978Eg02,1976Ro06