

**Adopted Levels, Gammas**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen	NDS 140, 1 (2017)	30-Sep-2015

$Q(\beta^-)=13.50 \times 10^3$  26;  $S(n)=4.96 \times 10^3$  25;  $S(p)=22860$  SY;  $Q(\alpha)=-17.38 \times 10^3$  51 [2012Wa38](#)

$\Delta(S(n))=550$  (syst,[2012Wa38](#)).

$S(2n)=6543$  240,  $S(2p)=43220$  550 (syst),  $Q(\beta^-n)=10190$  250, ([2012Wa38](#)).

First identification of <sup>40</sup>Si nuclide by [1989Gu03](#).

[1989Gu03](#): <sup>40</sup>Si produced and identified in <sup>181</sup>Ta(<sup>48</sup>Ca,X) reaction at 55 MeV/nucleon.

[2004Gr20](#) (also [2004Gr28](#),[2003Gr22](#)): <sup>40</sup>Si produced by fragmentation of <sup>48</sup>Ca beam at 60 MeV/nucleon with a <sup>9</sup>Be target followed by separation of fragments by LISE3 spectrometer; measured  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $T_{1/2}$ .

[2006Kh08](#): Si(<sup>40</sup>Si,X) E=30-65 MeV. Measured cross section, deduced radius and isospin dependence. Measured  $\langle r_0^2 \rangle = 1.21$  fm<sup>2</sup> 6.

Mass measurement: [2007Ju03](#), [2000Sa21](#) (also [2001Sa72](#)).

Structure calculations: [2014Ca21](#) (energies and B(E2) of first 2<sup>+</sup> state), [2014Eb02](#) (low-lying electric dipole strengths of PDR, deformation), [2013Ha27](#) (deformation, binding energy), [2013Xu01](#) (binding energy, S(2n), S(2p), rms charge, moment).

[Additional information 1](#).

<sup>40</sup>Si Levels

Cross Reference (XREF) Flags

- A <sup>1</sup>H(<sup>40</sup>Si,P' $\gamma$ ),<sup>1</sup>H(<sup>42</sup>P,X $\gamma$ )
- B C(<sup>44</sup>S,<sup>40</sup>Si $\alpha\gamma$ )

E(level)	J <sup><math>\pi</math></sup>	T <sub>1/2</sub>	XREF	Comments
0	0 <sup>+</sup>	33.0 ms 10	AB	$\% \beta^- = 100$ ; $\% \beta^- n = ?$ ; $\% \beta^- 2n = ?$ $\% \beta^- n = 53$ 12 (preliminary value from <a href="#">1999YoZW</a> ). Theoretical $\% \beta^- n = 48.7$ , $\% \beta^- 2n = 0.04$ ( <a href="#">2003Mo09</a> ). $T_{1/2}$ : from <a href="#">2004Gr20</a> (also <a href="#">2003Gr22</a> , <a href="#">2004Gr28</a> ). Calculated $T_{1/2}(\beta^- \text{ decay}) = 30.6$ ms ( <a href="#">2003Mo09</a> ).
986 5	(2 <sup>+</sup> )		AB	J <sup><math>\pi</math></sup> : systematics of even-even nuclides; also predicted by shell-model calculations ( <a href="#">2012Ta20</a> ).
1624? 7	(0 <sup>+</sup> ,2 <sup>+</sup> ,4 <sup>+</sup> ) <sup>†</sup>		AB	E(level): 1614 14 from <a href="#">2012Ta20</a> in C( <sup>44</sup> S, <sup>40</sup> Si $\alpha\gamma$ ). J <sup><math>\pi</math></sup> : predicted by shell-model calculations ( <a href="#">2012Ta20</a> ).
1831? 8			A	
2524? 19	(4 <sup>+</sup> ) <sup>†</sup>		B	E(level): this level is tentatively assigned by <a href="#">2012Ta20</a> in C( <sup>44</sup> S, <sup>40</sup> Si $\alpha\gamma$ ) based on $\gamma\gamma$ -coin as the first 4 <sup>+</sup> state predicted by shell-model calculations. The resulting energy ratio between the first 4 <sup>+</sup> and 2 <sup>+</sup> states is $R_{4/2} = 2.56$ 5. Another possible candidate for the first 4 <sup>+</sup> state is 1614 keV from the 629 $\gamma$ -> 985 $\gamma$ cascade ( <a href="#">2012Ta20</a> ).

<sup>†</sup> From shell-model calculations [2012Ta20](#) suggest that the 2524 level is the first 4<sup>+</sup> state and that the 1624 level has J <sup>$\pi$</sup> =0 or 2<sup>+</sup>. They do not rule out the possibility that the 1624 level is the 4<sup>+</sup> state; however, they argue that the systematics of E(4<sup>+</sup>)/E(2<sup>+</sup>) ratio of neighbouring nuclei favors the first alternative.

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued) $\gamma({}^{40}\text{Si})$ 

$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Comments
986	(2 <sup>+</sup> )	986 5	0	0 <sup>+</sup>	$E_\gamma$ : 985 11 from 2012Ta20 in C( ${}^{44}\text{S}, {}^{40}\text{Si}\alpha\gamma$ ).
1624?	(0 <sup>+</sup> , 2 <sup>+</sup> , 4 <sup>+</sup> )	638 $\ddagger$ # 5	986	(2 <sup>+</sup> )	$E_\gamma$ : 629 8 from 2012Ta20 in C( ${}^{44}\text{S}, {}^{40}\text{Si}\alpha\gamma$ ).
1831?		845 $\ddagger$ # 6	986	(2 <sup>+</sup> )	
2524?	(4 <sup>+</sup> )	1539 16	986	(2 <sup>+</sup> )	$E_\gamma$ : from 2012Ta20 in C( ${}^{44}\text{S}, {}^{40}\text{Si}\alpha\gamma$ ).

$\dagger$  From 2006Ca26 in  ${}^1\text{H}({}^{40}\text{Si}, \text{p}'\gamma), {}^1\text{H}({}^{42}\text{P}, \text{X}\gamma)$ , unless otherwise noted.

$\ddagger$  Weak  $\gamma$  seen only in the pn removal reaction from  ${}^{42}\text{P}$  (2006Ca26).

# Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

Adopted Levels, Gammas

Legend

Level Scheme-----  $\blacktriangleright$   $\gamma$  Decay (Uncertain)