

⁴⁰Ti ε decay (52.4 ms) 1998Bh12,1998Li46,1990De43

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen	NDS 140, 1 (2017)	30-Sep-2015

Parent: ⁴⁰Ti: E=0; J^π=0⁺; T_{1/2}=52.4 ms 3; Q(ε)=11.67×10³ 16; %ε+%β⁺ decay=100.0

⁴⁰Ti-T_{1/2}: From Adopted Levels of ⁴⁰Ti.

⁴⁰Ti-Q(ε): From 2012Wa38.

⁴⁰Ti decays to ³⁹Ca by εp (≈100%).

1998Bh12 (also 1998Le45,1997Tr11): ⁴⁰Ti ions were produced at GANIL by fragmentation of a 82.6 MeV/nucleon ⁵⁰Cr beam on a 272.4 mg/cm² nickel target. Fragments were separated and selected by the LISE3 spectrometer and then implanted into a stack of five Si surface-barrier detectors. γ rays were detected with five HPGe detectors. Measured E(p), I(p), Eγ, Iγ, pγ-coin, pβ-coin, decay time distribution. Deduced levels, decay branching ratios, reduced transition strengths, ⁴⁰Ti half-life. Comparisons with data from other measurements.

1998Li46 (also 2001Li56,1997Li25): ⁴⁰Ti ions were produced at GSI by fragmentation of a 500 MeV/nucleon ⁵⁸Ni beam from the heavy-ion synchrotron SIS on a 4 g/cm² ⁹Be target. Fragments were separated by the separator FRS by energy loss determined by a multiple sampling ionization chamber (MUSIC) and mass-to-charge ratio determined with FRS. Selected ⁴⁰Ti ions were implanted into a stack of eight Si detectors. γ rays were detected with an array of 14 large-volume Crystal Ball NaI detectors. Measured E(p), I(p), Eγ, Iγ, pγ-coin, decay time distribution. Deduced levels, decay branching ratios, reduced transition strengths, ⁴⁰Ti half-life. Comparisons with data from other measurements.

Other measurements:

1990De43: ⁴⁰Ti isotope identified and four proton groups.

2001Gi01 (also 2001Gi02): four most intense proton groups reported. Also measured T_{1/2}.

Comparison of proton energies (E_p(lab))

	1998Bh12	1998Li46	2001Gi01	2007Do17	adopted	E _x
p1		242 80			242 80	3221 62*
p1		400 60			400 60	3409 62
p1	747 36	759 60			750 36	3780 9*
p2		951 86			951 86	4517 12*
p1	1111 20	1157 60			1116 20	4129 21*
p1	1325 7	1322 25	1332 25	1329 19	1326 7	4358 8*
p1	1608 17	1580 40			1604 17	4649 11*
p0	1701 6	1705 10	1703 10	1695 19	1701 6	2275 7
p1	1849 14				1849 14	4895 15
p1	1957 21	1992 40			1965 21	5014 22*
p1	2027 28				2027 28	5080 29*
p0	2160 6	2167 10	2154 9	2159 18	2160 6	2746 7
p0	2341 10	2366 40			2342 10	2932 11
p0	2542 16	2518 46			2539 16	3134 17
p0		2609 60			2609 60	3221 62*
p0	2728 16	2733 40			2729 16	3329 17
p0	2957 47	2907 40			2928 40	3533 41
p0	3039 8	3045 40			3039 8	3647 9
p0	3170 8	3158 27			3169 8	3780 9*
p0	3242 41				3242 41	3856 42
p0	3443 21	3407 88			3441 21	4060 22
p0	3487 25				3487 25	4129 21*
p0	3639 8	3632 46			3639 8	4263 9
p0	3734 7	3731 10	3736 14	3744 20	3734 7	4358 8*
p0	3887 11	3972 100			3887 11	4517 12*
p0	4017 10				4017 10	4649 11*
p0	4184 18	4137 60			4180 18	4818 19
p0	4371 23	4347 74			4369 23	5013 22*
p0	4433 31	4481 88			4438 31	5080 29*
p0	4572 28				4572 28	5220 29
p0		4702 60			4702 60	5353 62
p0		4909 40			4909 40	5566 41
p0	5034 20	5107 60			5041 20	5701 21

p0		5213	80		5213	80	5878	82
p0	5336	19			5336	19	6004	20
p0		5448	60		5448	60	6119	62
p0		5740	60		5740	60	6418	62

Notes:

1. Adopted proton energy is from weighted average if applicable.

2. $E_x = E_p * 1.0259 + 529.6$ $29 + E_x(^{39}\text{Ca})$.

$E_x(^{39}\text{Ca}) = 0$ for decay to ^{39}Ca ground state (p0 mode),
 $E_x(^{39}\text{Ca}) = 2468.5$ 9 for p1 mode, 3026 3 for p2 mode.

Values marked with (*) are the averages from two proton groups.

Comparison of proton intensities(%branching)										
E_p	E_x	1998Bh12#		1998Li46		2001Gi01		2007Do17&		adopted*
p1	242	3221		1.32	40			1.32	40	
p1	400	3409		0.70	30			0.70	30	
p1	750	3780	0.49 17	0.77	43			0.53	17	
p2	951	4518		0.80	32			0.80	32	
p1	1116	4129	0.53 13	0.77	42			0.55	13	
p1	1326	4359	3.58 60	4.35	82	5.8 20	2.9 14	3.85	60	
p1	1604	4649	0.38 18	0.40	20			0.39	18	
p0	1702	2276	23.76 59	22.5	21	21.7 30	18.1 19	23.2	9	
p1	1849	4895	1.41 32					1.41	32	
p1	1965	5014	0.85 26	0.95	43			0.88	26	
p1	2027	5080	0.44 11					0.44	11	
p0	2160	2746	29.80 66	28.5	19	27.4 36	24.2 19	29.1	10	
p0	2342	2933	1.94 41	0.60	18			1.94	41	
p0	2539	3135	0.91 21	1.01	56			0.92	21	
p0	2609	3221		1.05	42			1.05	42	
p0	2729	3330	0.58 17	1.6	11			0.58	7	
p0	2928	3534	0.11 11	0.69	28			0.69	28	
p0	3039	3648	1.73 20	1.43	78			1.71	20	
p0	3169	3780	2.09 23	3.4	16			2.12	23	
p0	3242	3856	0.11 11					0.11	11	
p0	3441	4060	0.43 14	0.57	30			0.46	14	
p0	3487	4129	0.33 14					0.33	14	
p0	3639	4264	2.05 22	1.09	27			2.05	22	
p0	3734	4359	21.71 54	22.8	19	23.8 36	20.0 20	21.72	54	
p0	3887	4518	1.83 28					1.83	28	
p0	4017	4649	1.59 23	1.40	42			1.55	23	
p0	4180	4819	0.72 17	1.27	53			0.77	17	
p0	4369	5014	0.53 23	0.97	40			0.64	23	
p0	4438	5080	0.42 24	0.73	22			0.59	22	
p0	4572	5221	0.11 11					0.11	11	
p0	4702	5354		0.55	21			0.55	21	
p0	4909	5567		0.16	10			0.16	10	
p0	5041	5702	0.24 9	0.41	30			0.25	9	
p0	5213	5879		0.30	12			0.30	12	
p0	5336	6005	0.21 7					0.21	7	
p0	5448	6120		0.18	10			0.18	10	
p0	5740	6419		0.11	6			0.11	6	
sum of I_p :		99.9	16	101.4	44	95.8	13 [@]	103.9	18	

Notes:

*: Adopted proton intensity is from weighted average if applicable.

If data from 1998Bh12 and 1998Li46 are not consistent within error, value from 1998Bh12 is taken, unless otherwise noted.

@: total proton branching ratio reported in 2007Do17

#: Intensities are deduced by the evaluator from peak areas in Table2 of 1998Bh12 with the total 24106 implantations of ⁴⁰Ti.

&: 2007Do7 report a γ transition with $E_\gamma = 2467.3$ 5 and $I_\gamma = 8.5$ 54 in ³⁹Ca from its first excited state.

${}^{40}\text{Sc}$ Levels

E(level) [†]	J π [‡]	E(p0)(lab) [#]	Comments
0	4 ⁻		J π : from Adopted Levels.
2276 7	1 ⁺	1701 @ 6	
2746 7	1 ⁺	2160 @ 6	
2933 11	1 ⁺	2342 10	
3135 17	1 ⁺	2539 16	
3221 62	1 ⁺	2609 & 60	E(p0)(lab): E(p1)=242 80 (in 1998Li46 only) to 2468.5 level in ${}^{39}\text{Ca}$.
3330 17	1 ⁺	2729 16	
3409 62	1 ⁺	400 & 60	E(p0)(lab): value is for proton group to 2468.5 level in ${}^{39}\text{Ca}$ (p1 mode).
3534 41		2928 40	
3648 9	1 ⁺	3039 8	
3780 9	1 ⁺	3169 8	E(p0)(lab): E(p1)=750 36 to 2468.5 level in ${}^{39}\text{Ca}$.
3856? 42		3242 ^a 41	
4060 22	1 ⁺	3441 21	
4129 21	1 ⁺	3487 25	E(p0)(lab): E(p1)=1116 20 to 2468.5 level in ${}^{39}\text{Ca}$.
4264 9	1 ⁺	3639 8	
4359 8	0 ⁺	3734 @ 7	T=2
			E(p0)(lab): E(p1)=1326 7 to 2468.5 level in ${}^{39}\text{Ca}$.
4518 12	1 ⁺	3887 ^a 11	E(p0)(lab): E(p2)=951 86 (in 1998Li46 only) to 3026 level in ${}^{39}\text{Ca}$.
4649 11	1 ⁺	4017 10	E(p0)(lab): E(p1)=1604 17 to 2468.5 level in ${}^{39}\text{Ca}$.
4819 19	1 ⁺	4180 18	
4895? 15		1849 ^{ab} 14	E(p0)(lab): proton group to 2468.5 level in ${}^{39}\text{Ca}$ (p1 mode).
5014 22	1 ⁺	4369 23	E(p0)(lab): E(p1)=1965 21 to 2468.5 level in ${}^{39}\text{Ca}$.
5080 29	1 ⁺	4438 31	E(p0)(lab): E(p1)=2027 28 to 2468.5 level in ${}^{39}\text{Ca}$ (in 1998Bh12 only).
5221? 29		4572 ^a 28	
5354 62	1 ⁺	4702 & 60	
5567 41	1 ⁺	4909 & 40	
5702 21	1 ⁺	5041 20	
5879 82	1 ⁺	5213 & 80	
6005 20	1 ⁺	5336 ^a 19	
6120 62	1 ⁺	5448 & 60	
6419 62	1 ⁺	5740 & 60	

[†] Deduced by the evaluator from proton energies. See details in the table of "Comparison of proton intensities" above.

[‡] For excited states, the assignments are from allowed β decays from 0⁺ as indicated by log *ft* values and are adopted in Adopted Levels.

[#] Weighted average of values from 1998Bh12 and 1998Li46 if applicable, unless otherwise noted. See details in the table of "Comparison of proton energies" above.

@ Weighted average from 1998Bh12, 1998Li46, 2001Gi01 and 2007Do17.

& From 1998Li46 only.

^a From 1998Bh12 only.

^b This proton group is considered as suspect by the evaluator. With I(p)=1.4 in 1998Bh12, this group should have been seen by 1998Li46. In addition 1998Bh12 do not list, in their table III, a level at 4895 in ${}^{40}\text{Sc}$ corresponding to this proton group.

^{40}Ti ε decay (52.4 ms) [1998Bh12](#), [1998Li46](#), [1990De43](#) (continued)

ε, β^+ radiations						
E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+$ @	$I\varepsilon$ @	Log ft	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)^{\dagger}$ @	Comments
(5.25×10^3 17)	6419	0.11 6	0.00026 15	4.8 3	0.11 \ddagger 6	av $E\beta=1937$ 84; $\varepsilon K=0.0021$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.00021$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=3.7 \times 10^{-5}$ 5
(5.55×10^3 17)	6120	0.18 10	0.00035 20	4.8 3	0.18 \ddagger 10	av $E\beta=2083$ 84; $\varepsilon K=0.00174$ 22; $\varepsilon L=0.000175$ 22; $\varepsilon M+=3.0 \times 10^{-5}$ 4
(5.67×10^3 16)	6005	0.21 7	0.00038 13	4.7 2	0.21 $\#$ 7	av $E\beta=2139$ 79; $\varepsilon K=0.00162$ 18; $\varepsilon L=0.000163$ 18; $\varepsilon M+=2.8 \times 10^{-5}$ 3
(5.79×10^3 18)	5879	0.30 12	0.00050 21	4.6 2	0.30 \ddagger 12	av $E\beta=2200$ 88; $\varepsilon K=0.00150$ 18; $\varepsilon L=0.000150$ 19; $\varepsilon M+=2.6 \times 10^{-5}$ 3
(5.97×10^3 16)	5702	0.25 9	0.00038 14	4.8 2	0.25 9	av $E\beta=2286$ 79; $\varepsilon K=0.00134$ 14; $\varepsilon L=0.000135$ 14; $\varepsilon M+=2.30 \times 10^{-5}$ 24
(6.10×10^3 17)	5567	0.16 10	0.00022 14	5.0 3	0.16 \ddagger 10	av $E\beta=2352$ 81; $\varepsilon K=0.00124$ 13; $\varepsilon L=0.000125$ 13; $\varepsilon M+=2.12 \times 10^{-5}$ 22
(6.32×10^3 17)	5354	0.55 21	0.0007 3	4.6 2	0.55 \ddagger 21	av $E\beta=2457$ 84; $\varepsilon K=0.00110$ 12; $\varepsilon L=0.000110$ 12; $\varepsilon M+=1.88 \times 10^{-5}$ 20
(6.45×10^3 & 16)	5221?	0.11 11	0.00013 13	5.3 5	0.11 $\#$ 11	av $E\beta=2522$ 80; $\varepsilon K=0.00102$ 10; $\varepsilon L=0.000102$ 10; $\varepsilon M+=1.74 \times 10^{-5}$ 17
(6.59×10^3 16)	5080	1.03 25	0.0011 3	4.4 2	1.03 25	av $E\beta=2591$ 80; $\varepsilon K=0.00094$ 9; $\varepsilon L=9.5 \times 10^{-5}$ 9; $\varepsilon M+=1.62 \times 10^{-5}$ 15 $I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$: 0.59 22 for $E(p0)=4438$ (average of 1998Li46 and 1998Bh12) and 0.44 11 from $E(p1)=2027$ (1998Bh12 only).
(6.66×10^3 16)	5014	1.5 3	0.0015 3	4.3 1	1.5 3	av $E\beta=2623$ 80; $\varepsilon K=0.00091$ 9; $\varepsilon L=9.2 \times 10^{-5}$ 9; $\varepsilon M+=1.56 \times 10^{-5}$ 14 $I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$: 0.64 23 for $E(p)=4369$ and 0.88 26 for $E(p)=1965$.
(6.78×10^3 & 16)	4895?	1.4 3	0.0014 3	4.3 1	1.4 3	av $E\beta=2632$ 79; $\varepsilon K=0.00090$ 8; $\varepsilon L=9.1 \times 10^{-5}$ 8; $\varepsilon M+=1.55 \times 10^{-5}$ 14
(6.85×10^3 16)	4819	0.77 17		4.6 1	0.77 17	av $E\beta=2719$ 80
(7.02×10^3 16)	4649	2.0 3	0.0017 3	4.3 1	1.98 29	av $E\beta=2802$ 79; $\varepsilon K=0.00076$ 7; $\varepsilon L=7.6 \times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\varepsilon M+=1.30 \times 10^{-5}$ 11 $I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$: 1.59 23 for $E(p0)=4017$ and 0.39 18 for $E(p1)=1604$.
(7.15×10^3 16)	4518	2.6 4	0.0021 4	4.2 1	2.6 4	av $E\beta=2867$ 79; $\varepsilon K=0.00071$ 6; $\varepsilon L=7.1 \times 10^{-5}$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=1.22 \times 10^{-5}$ 10 $I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$: 1.83 28 for $E(p0)=3887$ (in 1998Li46 only) and 0.80 32 for $E(p2)=951$ (in 1998Li46 only).
(7.31×10^3 16)	4359	25.6 8	0.0188 16	3.3 1	25.6 8	av $E\beta=2945$ 79; $\varepsilon K=0.00066$ 6; $\varepsilon L=6.6 \times 10^{-5}$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=1.13 \times 10^{-5}$ 9 $I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$: 21.72 54 for $E(p0)=3734$ and 3.85 60 for $E(p1)=1326$.
(7.41×10^3 16)	4264	2.05 22	0.00144 19	4.4 1	2.05 22	av $E\beta=2991$ 79; $\varepsilon K=0.00063$ 5; $\varepsilon L=6.3 \times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=1.08 \times 10^{-5}$ 9
(7.54×10^3 16)	4129	0.88 19		4.8 1	0.88 19	av $E\beta=3058$ 80 $I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$: 0.33 14 for $E(p0)=3487$ (in 1998Bh12 only) and 0.55 13 for $E(p1)=1116$ (average of 1998Bh12 and 1998Li46).
(7.61×10^3 16)	4060	0.46 14		5.1 2	0.46 14	av $E\beta=3092$ 80
(7.81×10^3 & 17)	3856?	0.11 11		5.8 5	0.11 $\#$ 11	av $E\beta=3192$ 82
(7.89×10^3 16)	3780	2.6 3	0.00150 20	4.4 1	2.65 29	av $E\beta=3230$ 79; $\varepsilon K=0.00051$ 4; $\varepsilon L=5.1 \times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\varepsilon M+=8.7 \times 10^{-6}$ 7

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

${}^{40}\text{Ti}$ ε decay (52.4 ms) [1998Bh12](#), [1998Li46](#), [1990De43](#) (continued) ε, β^+ radiations (continued)

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+$ @	$I\varepsilon$ @	Log ft	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)^{\dagger @}$	Comments
(8.02×10 ³ 16)	3648	1.71 20		4.7 1	1.71 20	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$: 2.12 23 for E(p0)=3169 and 0.53 17 for E(p1)=750.
(8.14×10 ³ 17)	3534	0.7 3		5.1 2	0.7 3	av E β =3295 79 av E β =3351 82
(8.26×10 ³ 17)	3409	0.7 3		5.1 2	0.7 [‡] 3	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$: from 1998Li46 . Other: 0.11 11 from 1998Bh12 .
(8.34×10 ³ 16)	3330	0.58 17		5.2 2	0.58 17	av E β =3413 85 av E β =3452 80
(8.45×10 ³ 17)	3221	2.4 6	0.0011 3	4.6 1	2.4 [‡] 6	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$: from 1998Bh12 . Other: 1.6 11 from 1998Li46 . av E β =3506 85; $\varepsilon K=0.00040$ 3; $\varepsilon L=4.0 \times 10^{-5}$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=6.9 \times 10^{-6}$ 5
(8.54×10 ³ 16)	3135	0.92 21		5.1 1	0.92 21	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$: 1.1 4 for E(p0)=2609 and 1.3 4 for E(p1)=242 in 1998Li46 .
(8.74×10 ³ 16)	2933	1.9 4		4.8 1	1.9 4	av E β =3548 80 av E β =3648 80
(8.92×10 ³ 16)	2746	29.1 7	0.0109 7	3.67 5	29.1 10	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$: from 1998Bh12 . Other: 0.60 18 from 1998Li46 . av E β =3740 80; $\varepsilon K=0.000335$ 21; $\varepsilon L=3.36 \times 10^{-5}$ 21; $\varepsilon M+=5.7 \times 10^{-6}$ 4
(9.39×10 ³ 16)	2276	23.2 9	0.0073 5	3.89 5	23.2 6	av E β =3973 80; $\varepsilon K=0.000282$ 17; $\varepsilon L=2.83 \times 10^{-5}$ 17; $\varepsilon M+=4.8 \times 10^{-6}$ 3

[†] Deduced by evaluator from proton decay intensities from each level. The adopted proton intensities are from weighted average of values in [1998Bh12](#), [1998Li46](#), [2001Gi01](#) and [2007Do17](#) if available, unless otherwise noted. See details in the table of “Comparison of proton energies” above.

[‡] From [1998Li46](#) only.

[#] From [1998Bh12](#) only.

@ Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

& Existence of this branch is questionable.