

${}^{42}\text{Ca}(\text{p},\alpha\gamma)$  1968Lo03

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen	NDS 149, 1 (2018)	1-Jan-2018

**1968Lo03:** E=10.6-11.1 MeV proton beams were produced from the Oxford University tandem generator. Target was a layer of about 120  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  Ca metal (86% in  ${}^{42}\text{Ca}$ ) on a carbon backing of about 15  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ . Alpha particles were detected with a surface-barrier silicon counter and  $\gamma$  rays were detected with two NaI(Tl) crystals. Measured  $E\alpha$ ,  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma(\theta)$ ,  $\alpha\gamma$ -coin. Deduced levels, J,  $\pi$ ,  $\gamma$ -ray branching ratios and mixing ratios. Comparisons with available data. Report data for 2530, 2820 and 3020 levels. **1968Lo03** also report  $\gamma$ -ray data for 3600 to 4740 level from  ${}^{39}\text{K}(\text{p},\text{p}'\gamma)$  and 13 proton groups from 2530-4740 in  ${}^{39}\text{K}(\text{p},\text{p}')$ .

All data are from **1968Lo03**.

 ${}^{39}\text{K}$  Levels

E(level)	$J^\pi^\dagger$	Comments
0	$3/2^+$	$J^\pi$ : from Adopted Levels.
2530	$1/2,3/2,5/2$	$J^\pi$ : $1/2^+$ in Adopted Levels.
2820	$7/2,(5/2)$	$J^\pi$ : $7/2^-$ in Adopted Levels.
3020	$3/2,5/2,9/2$	$J^\pi$ : $3/2^-$ in Adopted Levels.

$^\dagger$  From **1968Lo03** based on  $\gamma(\theta)$ , unless otherwise noted.

 $\gamma({}^{39}\text{K})$ 

$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult.	$\delta$	Comments
2530	$1/2,3/2,5/2$	2530	100	0	$3/2^+$	D+Q		$\delta$ : $-0.27 +7-8$ for $J=3/2$ and $+0.19 +6-5$ for $J=5/2$ , estimated by the evaluator from the plot of $\chi^2$ versus $\arctg(\delta)$ in Figure 3 of <b>1968Lo03</b> ; for $J=1/2$ , the $\chi^2$ distribution is independent of $\delta$ in that plot. $\delta$ values for this transition are not given in <b>1968Lo03</b> . $A_2=+0.04$ 9, $A_4=+0.12$ 13.
2820	$7/2,(5/2)$	290 $^\dagger$ 2820	<6 100	2530 0	$1/2,3/2,5/2$ $3/2^+$	Q(+O)	+0.19 10	$\delta$ : for $J=7/2$ . Other possible value of $+4.3 +20-11$ for $J=7/2$ is less likely from Weisskopf estimates; for $J=5/2$ , $\delta=+0.58 +25-10$ . $A_2=+0.59$ 16, $A_4=+0.05$ 21.
3020	$3/2,5/2,9/2$	200 $^\dagger$ 490 $^\dagger$ 3020	<14 <6 100	2820 2530 0	$7/2,(5/2)$ $1/2,3/2,5/2$ $3/2^+$	D(+Q)	+0.03 15	$\delta$ : for $J=3/2$ . Other possible value of $+3.3 +23-12$ for $J=3/2$ is rejected from Weisskopf estimates. For $J=5/2$ , $\delta=+0.50$ 15; for $J=9/2$ , $\delta(\text{O/Q})<-6$ or $>+2.7$ . $A_2=+0.47$ 14, $A_4=+0.03$ 20.

$^\dagger$  Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

${}^{42}\text{Ca}(p,\alpha\gamma)$  1968Lo03

Legend

## Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative photon branching from each level

-----►  $\gamma$  Decay (Uncertain)