

**Adopted Levels, Gammas**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen	NDS 149, 1 (2018)	1-Jan-2018

Q( $\beta^-$ )=-13110.24; S(n)=13295.56; S(p)=5770.96; Q( $\alpha$ )=-6660.39 [2017Wa10](#)  
 Q( $\beta^+$ )=6524.56; S(2n)=30289.39; S(2p)=10913.06; Q( $\epsilon$ p)=143.26 [\(2017Wa10\)](#).

First identification of <sup>39</sup>Ca nuclide by [1943Hu02](#).

Other reactions:

<sup>40</sup>Ca( $\gamma$ ,n $\gamma'$ ): [1974Br15](#); <sup>40</sup>Ca resonances.

<sup>40</sup>Ca( $\gamma$ ,n $\gamma'$ ): [1969UI01](#).

<sup>40</sup>Ca(e,en),(p,np): [2001Vo09](#).

<sup>40</sup>Ca(e,e'n): [1994Ta12](#), [2005Sa37](#); see dataset.

<sup>40</sup>Ca( $\pi^+$ ,p): [1987BI07](#), [1987MiZW](#).

<sup>40</sup>Ca( $\pi^+$ , $\pi^+$ n), ( $\pi^-$ , $\pi^-$ n): [1980Bu07](#), [1979Li11](#).

<sup>40</sup>Ca(p,np): [1990Vo13](#); deformation parameters.

<sup>40</sup>Ca(p,np): [1984Ah04](#), [1983AhZY](#), [1983Ch07](#), [1982Wa17](#), [1966Ne03](#).

<sup>40</sup>Ca(pol p,np): [1986PaZI](#).

Mass measurements: [2011Tu09](#), [2008Ge08](#).

<sup>39</sup>Ca Levels

Cross Reference (XREF) Flags

<b>A</b>	<sup>40</sup> Ti $\epsilon$ p decay (52.4 ms)	<b>F</b>	<sup>39</sup> K(p,n)	<b>K</b>	<sup>40</sup> Ca( <sup>3</sup> He, $\alpha$ ),(pol <sup>3</sup> He, $\alpha$ )
<b>B</b>	<sup>1</sup> H( <sup>38</sup> K, $\gamma$ ) E=res	<b>G</b>	<sup>39</sup> K( <sup>3</sup> He,t)	<b>L</b>	<sup>40</sup> Ca( <sup>3</sup> He, $\alpha$ ), <sup>3</sup> He( <sup>40</sup> Ca, $\alpha$ )
<b>C</b>	<sup>16</sup> O( <sup>28</sup> Si, $\alpha$ n $\gamma$ )	<b>H</b>	<sup>40</sup> Ca( $\gamma$ ,n)	<b>M</b>	<sup>40</sup> Ca( <sup>28</sup> Si, <sup>29</sup> Si)
<b>D</b>	<sup>36</sup> Ar( $\alpha$ ,n $\gamma$ )	<b>I</b>	<sup>40</sup> Ca(p,d),(pol p,d)		
<b>E</b>	<sup>39</sup> K( $\pi^+$ , $\pi^0$ )	<b>J</b>	<sup>40</sup> Ca(d,t),(pol d,t)		

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π</sup>	T <sub>1/2</sub> <sup>#</sup>	XREF	Comments
0	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	860.3 ms 10	A CDEFGHIJKLM	% $\epsilon$ +% $\beta^+$ =100 $\mu$ =1.02168 12 ( <a href="#">1976Mi05</a> , <a href="#">2014StZZ</a> ) Q=0.036 7 ( <a href="#">1999MaZI</a> , <a href="#">2016St14</a> ) J <sup>π</sup> : L(pol p,d)=L(pol d,t)=L(pol <sup>3</sup> He, $\alpha$ )=2 and L-1/2 transfer from analyzing power. T <sub>1/2</sub> : weighted average of 860.7 ms 10 ( <a href="#">2010BI09</a> ), 860.4 ms 30 ( <a href="#">1973A111</a> ) and 859.4 ms 16 ( <a href="#">1977Az01</a> ). Others: 0.76 s 20 ( <a href="#">1994Ha07</a> ), 0.95 s 4 ( <a href="#">1964Ba24</a> ), 0.89 s 5 ( <a href="#">1960Wa04</a> ), 0.873 s 8 ( <a href="#">1960Li05</a> ), 0.860 s 5 ( <a href="#">1958Mi85</a> ), 0.876 s 12 ( <a href="#">1958CI41</a> ), 0.90 s 1 ( <a href="#">1954KI36</a> ), 1.00 s 3 ( <a href="#">1953Su81</a> ), 1.00 s 5 ( <a href="#">1953Br07</a> ), 1.06 s 3 ( <a href="#">1943Hu02</a> ). $\mu$ : using NMR technique with a polarized proton beam; also <a href="#">1976Mi21</a> and <a href="#">1980HuZX</a> . Compilation: <a href="#">2014StZZ</a> . Q: using $\beta$ -NMR method ( <a href="#">1999MaZI</a> ). Also Q=0.040 6 ( <a href="#">1999MaZK</a> ) by the same group. Compilation: <a href="#">2016St14</a> . Experimental nuclear charge radius $\langle r^2 \rangle^{1/2}$ =3.460 fm 3 ( <a href="#">2013An02</a> , evaluation). $\Delta \langle r^2 \rangle$ ( <sup>40</sup> Ca, <sup>39</sup> Ca)=-0.127 fm <sup>2</sup> 18; $\Delta \langle r^2 \rangle$ ( <sup>41</sup> Ca, <sup>39</sup> Ca)=-0.115 fm <sup>2</sup> 18 ( <a href="#">1996Ve04</a> ). J <sup>π</sup> : L(p,d)=L(d,t)=L( <sup>3</sup> He, $\alpha$ )=0. T <sub>1/2</sub> : from <sup>3</sup> He( <sup>40</sup> Ca, $\alpha$ ) ( <a href="#">1988AI05</a> ). J <sup>π</sup> : L(pol p,d)=L(d,t)=L( <sup>3</sup> He, $\alpha$ )=3 and L+1/2 transfer from analyzing power. T <sub>1/2</sub> : other: >12 ps in ( <sup>3</sup> He, $\alpha$ ). J <sup>π</sup> : L(pol p,d)=L(d,t)=L( <sup>3</sup> He, $\alpha$ )=1 and L+1/2 transfer from analyzing power. T <sub>1/2</sub> : from ( <sup>3</sup> He, $\alpha$ ). Other: <7.6 ps in ( $\alpha$ ,n $\gamma$ ).
2466.9 5	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	162 fs 17	A De GHIJKLM	J <sup>π</sup> : L(p,d)=L(d,t)=L( <sup>3</sup> He, $\alpha$ )=0.
2796.1 6	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	62 ps 17	CDe GHIJKL	T <sub>1/2</sub> : from <sup>3</sup> He( <sup>40</sup> Ca, $\alpha$ ) ( <a href="#">1988AI05</a> ). J <sup>π</sup> : L(pol p,d)=L(d,t)=L( <sup>3</sup> He, $\alpha$ )=3 and L+1/2 transfer from analyzing power.
3025.1 9	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	21 fs 11	A De HIJKL	T <sub>1/2</sub> : other: >12 ps in ( <sup>3</sup> He, $\alpha$ ). J <sup>π</sup> : L(pol p,d)=L(d,t)=L( <sup>3</sup> He, $\alpha$ )=1 and L+1/2 transfer from analyzing power. T <sub>1/2</sub> : from ( <sup>3</sup> He, $\alpha$ ). Other: <7.6 ps in ( $\alpha$ ,n $\gamma$ ).

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

**Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)** $^{39}\text{Ca}$  Levels (continued)

<u>E(level)<sup>†</sup></u>	<u>J<sup>π</sup></u>	<u>T<sub>1/2</sub><sup>#</sup></u>	<u>XREF</u>	<u>Comments</u>
3639.6 <sup>‡</sup> 8	(9/2 <sup>-</sup> ) <sup>‡</sup>	17 ps 10	CD G IJKL	J <sup>π</sup> : 3640γ(θ) and 843γ(θ) in 1970Ba08 in ( <sup>3</sup> He,αγ) is consistent with 3/2,5/2,9/2 with best fit for 9/2; 1980Oh06 in (p,d) interpret this state as 9/2 <sup>-</sup> state populated by L=2, J=3/2 transfer from 3740, 3 <sup>-</sup> state in <sup>40</sup> Ca.
3823.6 15	(1/2,3/2,5/2)	0.15 ps 6	D IJKL	J <sup>π</sup> : 798.0γ to 3/2 <sup>-</sup> and 3823.4γ to 3/2 <sup>+</sup> . L(d,t)=(0) suggests (1/2 <sup>+</sup> ), but L(p,d)=(3) suggests (5/2 <sup>-</sup> ,7/2 <sup>-</sup> ).
3870.0 9		<3.5 ps	D k	J <sup>π</sup> : 3869.8γ to 3/2 <sup>+</sup> .
3882 2	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> ,5/2,7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	>1.7 ps	i L	E(level): from ( <sup>3</sup> He,αγ). J <sup>π</sup> : 3882γ to 3/2 <sup>+</sup> , 1085γ to 7/2 <sup>-</sup> . T <sub>1/2</sub> : from ( <sup>3</sup> He,αγ).
3891.1 <sup>‡</sup> 9	(11/2 <sup>-</sup> ) <sup>‡</sup>	<26 ps	CD i	J <sup>π</sup> : 1094.5γ to 7/2 <sup>-</sup> and 252γ to (9/2 <sup>-</sup> ).
3935.7 7	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	<62 fs	D g ijKL	J <sup>π</sup> : L(p,d)=L(d,t)=L( <sup>3</sup> He,α)=1 for a single state around 3950 could be for the 3936+3950 doublet; possible analog state of the 4083, 3/2 <sup>-</sup> level in <sup>39</sup> K from 1974Ke09 in (α,ηγ). T <sub>1/2</sub> : from ( <sup>3</sup> He,αγ). Other: <3.5 ps in (α,ηγ).
3951.8 8	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	21 ps 17	D g ijKL	J <sup>π</sup> : 3952γ to 3/2 <sup>+</sup> , 1155.3γ to 7/2 <sup>-</sup> ; 1155γ(θ) and 3952γ(θ) in ( <sup>3</sup> He,αγ) is consistent with 1/2,3/2,5/2, but 3952γ is most likely a doublet with 3939γ from 3939 level observed in ( <sup>3</sup> He,αγ). L(p,d)=L(d,t)=L( <sup>3</sup> He,α)=1 for a single state around 3950 could be for the doublet. T <sub>1/2</sub> : other: 0.75 ps +28-24 from ( <sup>3</sup> He,αγ).
4020.7 17	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.42 ps 12	G IJKL	E(level),T <sub>1/2</sub> : from ( <sup>3</sup> He,αγ). J <sup>π</sup> : L(p,d)=L(d,t)=L( <sup>3</sup> He,α)=0.
4332 10	(5/2,7/2) <sup>-</sup>		G IJK	E(level): weighted average of 4320 15 in (d,t), 4320 20 in ( <sup>3</sup> He,α) and 4340 10 in (p,d). J <sup>π</sup> : L(p,d)=3. But L(d,t)=(2) is inconsistent.
4439 10	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>		IJK	XREF: J(4460). E(level): weighted average of 4432 10 in (p,d), 4430 20 in ( <sup>3</sup> He,α), and 4460 15 in (d,t).
4488 10	7/2 <sup>-</sup>		I K	J <sup>π</sup> : L(p,d)=L(d,t)=L( <sup>3</sup> He,α)=2. E(level): weighted average of 4487 10 in (p,d), 4490 20 in ( <sup>3</sup> He,α). J <sup>π</sup> : L(pol p,d)=3 and L+1/2 transfer from analyzing power.
4610 20			K	
4710 20			K	
4929 10	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>		IJK	E(level): weighted average of 4926 10 in (p,d), 4920 20 in ( <sup>3</sup> He,α), and 4940 15 in (d,t). J <sup>π</sup> : L(p,d)=2.
5070 20	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>		G K	E(level): from ( <sup>3</sup> He,α). J <sup>π</sup> : L( <sup>3</sup> He,α)=2.
5129 10	5/2 <sup>+</sup>		FG IJK	E(level): weighted average of 5128 10 in (p,d), 5130 20 in ( <sup>3</sup> He,α), and 5130 15 in (d,t). J <sup>π</sup> : L(pol p,d)=L(pol <sup>3</sup> He,α)=L(d,t)=2 and L+1/2 transfer from analyzing power.
5151 <sup>‡</sup> 2	(11/2 <sup>-</sup> ) <sup>‡</sup>		C	
5222 10	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>		I	J <sup>π</sup> : L(p,d)=2.
5317 10	7/2 <sup>-</sup>		f IJ	E(level): weighted average of 5316 10 in (p,d) and 5320 15 in (d,t). J <sup>π</sup> : L(pol p,d)=3 and L+1/2 transfer from analyzing power. But L(d,t)=2 is inconsistent.
5364 10			f I	
5400 10			fG I	
5402 <sup>‡</sup> 2	(13/2 <sup>-</sup> ) <sup>‡</sup>		C	
5486 10	5/2 <sup>+</sup>		G IJK	E(level): weighted average of 5484 10 in (p,d), 5490 20 in

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

**Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)** $^{39}\text{Ca}$  Levels (continued)

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π</sup>	XREF	Comments
			( $^3\text{He},\alpha$ ), and 5490 15 in (d,t). J <sup>π</sup> : L(pol p,d)=2 and L+1/2 transfer from analyzing power.
5588 10	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>	I	J <sup>π</sup> : L(p,d)=2.
5673 10	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>	I	J <sup>π</sup> : L(p,d)=2.
5720 10	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> ,7/2 <sup>-</sup> )	I	J <sup>π</sup> : L(p,d)=(3).
5786 15	1/2,3/2 <sup>-</sup>	IJK	E(level): weighted average of 5790 10 in (p,d), 5760 20 in ( $^3\text{He},\alpha$ ), and 5790 15 in (d,t). J <sup>π</sup> : L(p,d)=L(d,t)=L( $^3\text{He},\alpha$ )=1.
5851 10	1/2 <sup>-</sup> ,3/2 <sup>-</sup>	I	J <sup>π</sup> : L(p,d)=1.
6008 10		E IJK	E(level): weighted average of 6009 10 in (p,d), 6000 20 in ( $^3\text{He},\alpha$ ), and 6010 15 in (d,t). J <sup>π</sup> : 1/2 <sup>-</sup> ,3/2 <sup>-</sup> from L(d,t)=1; 3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup> from L( $^3\text{He},\alpha$ )=2; (7/2 <sup>-</sup> ) from L(pol p,d)=(3) and L+1/2 from analyzing power. It is possible that there are three separate levels near this energy.
6094 10	(1/2 <sup>+</sup> )	I	J <sup>π</sup> : L(p,d)=(0).
6157 10	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	B f IJK	E(level): weighted average of 6158 10 in (p,d), 6150 20 in ( $^3\text{He},\alpha$ ), 6160 15 in (d,t) and 6157 13 in $^1\text{H}(^{38}\text{K},\gamma)$ E=res.
6286 10	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>	B f I	J <sup>π</sup> : L(pol p,d)=L(pol $^3\text{He},\alpha$ )=L(d,t)=2 and L+1/2 transfer from analyzing power. E(level): from (p,d). Other: 6286 13 from $^1\text{H}(^{38}\text{K},\gamma)$ E=res.
6405 10	5/2 <sup>-</sup> ,7/2 <sup>-</sup>	I	J <sup>π</sup> : L(p,d)=2. (5/2 <sup>+</sup> ) from expected L=0 resonances from 3 <sup>+</sup> g.s. in $^{38}\text{K}$ in $^1\text{H}(^{38}\text{K},\gamma)$ E=res.
6432 <sup>‡</sup> 2	(15/2 <sup>+</sup> ) <sup>‡</sup>	C	J <sup>π</sup> : L(p,d)=3.
6451 2	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>	B IJ	E(level): weighted average of 6467 10 in (p,d), 6450 30 in (d,t) and 6450 2 in $^1\text{H}(^{38}\text{K},\gamma)$ E=res.
6514 10	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>	I	J <sup>π</sup> : L(p,d)=L(d,t)=2. (5/2 <sup>+</sup> ) from expected L=0 resonances from 3 <sup>+</sup> g.s. in $^{38}\text{K}$ in $^1\text{H}(^{38}\text{K},\gamma)$ E=res.
6580 10	5/2 <sup>-</sup> ,7/2 <sup>-</sup>	I	J <sup>π</sup> : L(p,d)=2.
6629 10		I	J <sup>π</sup> : L(p,d)=3.
6722 10	5/2 <sup>-</sup> ,7/2 <sup>-</sup>	I	J <sup>π</sup> : L(p,d)=3.
6794 10	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>	I	J <sup>π</sup> : L(p,d)=2.
6834 10	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>	IJ	E(level): weighted average of 6835 10 in (p,d), 6820 30 in (d,t). J <sup>π</sup> : L(d,t)=2.
6900 <sup>‡</sup> 2	(15/2 <sup>-</sup> ) <sup>‡</sup>	C	
6906 10	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	IJ	XREF: J(6920). J <sup>π</sup> : L(pol p,d)=0 and analyzing power. But L(d,t)=2 is inconsistent.
6954 10	5/2 <sup>-</sup> ,7/2 <sup>-</sup>	I	J <sup>π</sup> : L(p,d)=3.
7025 10	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> ,7/2 <sup>-</sup> )	I	J <sup>π</sup> : L(p,d)=(3).
7060 10		I	
7132 10	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>	I	J <sup>π</sup> : L(p,d)=2.
7199 10	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	f Ij	J <sup>π</sup> : L(pol p,d)=2 and L+1/2 transfer from analyzing power.
7248 10	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>	f Ij	J <sup>π</sup> : L(p,d)=2.
7310 10	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> ,7/2 <sup>-</sup> )	I	J <sup>π</sup> : L(p,d)=(3).
7380 10	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	IJ	J <sup>π</sup> : L(pol p,d)=L(d,t)=2 and L+1/2 transfer from analyzing power.
7427 10	(3/2,5/2) <sup>+</sup>	F I	XREF: F(7450). J <sup>π</sup> : L(p,d)=(2); L(p,n)=0 from 3/2 <sup>+</sup> target.
7480 10	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> ,7/2 <sup>-</sup> )	Ij	J <sup>π</sup> : L(p,d)=(3) but L(d,t)=1 for 7480 and/or 7532 gives 1/2 <sup>-</sup> ,3/2 <sup>-</sup> .
7532 10	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> ,7/2 <sup>-</sup> )	Ij	J <sup>π</sup> : L(p,d)=(3) but L(d,t)=1 for 7480 and/or 7532 gives 1/2 <sup>-</sup> ,3/2 <sup>-</sup> .
7581 10	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> ,7/2 <sup>-</sup> )	I	J <sup>π</sup> : L(p,d)=(3).
7635 10	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> ,7/2 <sup>-</sup> )	I	J <sup>π</sup> : L(p,d)=(3).
7711 10	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>	IJ	E(level): other: 7700 30 from (d,t). J <sup>π</sup> : L(p,d)=L(d,t)=2.
7750 <sup>‡</sup> 2	(19/2 <sup>-</sup> ) <sup>‡</sup>	C	
7773 10		I	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued) $^{39}\text{Ca}$  Levels (continued)

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$	XREF	Comments
7840 10		I	
7924 10	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	F I	XREF: F(7900). $J^\pi$ : L(pol p,d)=2 and L+1/2 transfer from analyzing power.
7972 10	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	f IJ	E(level): other: 7970 30 from (d,t). $J^\pi$ : L(pol p,d)=L(d,t)=2 and L+1/2 transfer from analyzing power.
8021 10	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>	f I	$J^\pi$ : L(p,d)=2.
8082 10	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>	I	$J^\pi$ : L(p,d)=2.
8157 10	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	f I	$J^\pi$ : L(pol p,d)=2 and L+1/2 transfer from analyzing power.
8219 10	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>	f IJ	XREF: J(8190). $J^\pi$ : L(p,d)=2.
8280 10	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>	I	$J^\pi$ : L(p,d)=2.
8336 10	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	IJ	XREF: J(8360). $J^\pi$ : L(pol p,d)=L(d,t)=2 and L+1/2 transfer from analyzing power.
8396 10	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>	f I	$J^\pi$ : L(p,d)=2.
8460 10	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>	f I	$J^\pi$ : L(p,d)=2.
8509 10	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>	f IJ	E(level): other: 8500 30. $J^\pi$ : L(p,d)=L(d,t)=2.
8582 10	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>	I	$J^\pi$ : L(p,d)=2.
8650 10	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>	I	$J^\pi$ : L(p,d)=2.
8692 10	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	IJ	E(level): other: 8700 30 from (d,t). $J^\pi$ : L(pol p,d)=L(d,t)=2 and L+1/2 transfer from analyzing power.
8748 10	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>	F I	$J^\pi$ : L(p,d)=2.
8806 10	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>	IJ	XREF: J(8800). $J^\pi$ : L(p,d)=2. But L(d,t)=1 is inconsistent.
8895 10		I	
8937 10	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>	f I	$J^\pi$ : L(p,d)=2.
8988 10	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>	I	$J^\pi$ : L(p,d)=2.
9039 10	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>	Ij	$J^\pi$ : L(p,d)=2.
9104 10	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>	Ij	$J^\pi$ : L(p,d)=2.
9158 10	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>	Ij	$J^\pi$ : L(p,d)=2.
9213 10	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>	Ij	$J^\pi$ : L(p,d)=2.
9271 10	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	IJ	XREF: J(9280). $J^\pi$ : L(d,t)=(2).
9329 10		I	
9426 10		I	
9505 10	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	IJ	XREF: J(9500). $J^\pi$ : L(d,t)=(2).
10100	(1/2 to 5/2) <sup>+</sup>	F	$J^\pi$ : L(p,n)=0 from 3/2 <sup>+</sup> .
10.9×10 <sup>3</sup> 3		I	width≥500 keV.
17.8×10 <sup>3</sup> 5		I	width≥500 keV.

<sup>†</sup> From a least-squares fit  $\gamma$ -ray energies for levels (up to 3952) connected with  $\gamma$  transitions with  $\Delta E_\gamma$ ; for levels (above 3952) only populated in transfer reactions, values are from (p,d), unless otherwise noted.

<sup>‡</sup> From ( $^{28}\text{Si},\alpha n\gamma$ ).  $J^\pi$  assignments are from analogy of level energy and decay branching with mirror partner  $^{39}\text{K}$ .

<sup>#</sup> From DSAM in ( $\alpha, n\gamma$ ), unless otherwise noted. Values are also available in ( $^3\text{He}, \alpha\gamma$ ) using DSAM.

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)

$\gamma(^{39}\text{Ca})$								
$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^\dagger$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult.	$\delta$	Comments
2466.9	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	2467.2 5	100	0	3/2 <sup>+</sup>			$E_\gamma$ : weighted average of 2467.3 5 from $^{40}\text{Ti}$ $\epsilon\text{p}$ decay (52.4 ms) and 2467.0 10 from ( $\alpha,\text{n}\gamma$ ).
2796.1	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	2795.9 7	100	0	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	M2+E3	-0.13 7	B(M2)(W.u.)=0.25 +10-6; B(E3)(W.u.)=2.7 +59-23 $E_\gamma$ : from ( $\alpha,\text{n}\gamma$ ). Mult., $\delta$ : Q+O from $\gamma(\theta)$ in ( $^3\text{He},\alpha\gamma$ ); polarity from parity change determined from L-transfers.
3025.1	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	3023.6 10	100	0	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	E1+M2	-0.07 2	B(E1)(W.u.)=0.0010 +12-4; B(M2)(W.u.)=2.5 +61-17 $E_\gamma$ : from ( $\alpha,\text{n}\gamma$ ). Mult., $\delta$ : D+Q from $\gamma(\theta)$ in ( $^3\text{He},\alpha\gamma$ ); polarity from parity change determined from L-transfers.
3639.6	(9/2 <sup>-</sup> )	844.0 10	100 13	2796.1	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	(M1+E2)	-0.24 4	B(M1)(W.u.)=0.0015 10; B(E2)(W.u.)=0.4 3 Mult., $\delta$ : D+Q from $\gamma(\theta)$ in ( $^3\text{He},\alpha\gamma$ ). B(E3)(W.u.)=23 17
		3639.3 10	34 9	0	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	(E3)		$I_\gamma$ : weighted average of 35 9 from ( $^{28}\text{Si},\alpha\text{n}\gamma$ ), 33 13 from ( $\alpha,\text{n}\gamma$ ), and 33 13 from ( $^3\text{He},\alpha\gamma$ ), ( $^{40}\text{Ca},\alpha\gamma$ ). Mult.: O from $\gamma(\theta)$ in ( $^3\text{He},\alpha\gamma$ ). $E_\gamma$ : reported only in ( $\alpha,\text{n}\gamma$ ).
3823.6	(1/2,3/2,5/2)	798.0 $\ddagger$ 15		3025.1	3/2 <sup>-</sup>			
		3823.4 15	100	0	3/2 <sup>+</sup>			
3870.0		3869.8 9	100	0	3/2 <sup>+</sup>			
3882	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> ,5/2,7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	1086 $\ddagger$		2796.1	7/2 <sup>-</sup>			$E_\gamma$ : from ( $^3\text{He},\alpha\gamma$ ) only.
		3882		0	3/2 <sup>+</sup>			$E_\gamma$ : from ( $^3\text{He},\alpha\gamma$ ) only.
3891.1	(11/2 <sup>-</sup> )	252	51 6	3639.6	(9/2 <sup>-</sup> )			$I_\gamma$ : from ( $^{28}\text{Si},\alpha\text{n}\gamma$ ).
		1094.5 10	100 12	2796.1	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	[E2]		B(E2)(W.u.)>1.2 $I_\gamma$ : from ( $^{28}\text{Si},\alpha\text{n}\gamma$ ).
3935.7	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	3935.5 7	100	0	3/2 <sup>+</sup>			$E_\gamma$ : from ( $\alpha,\text{n}\gamma$ ) (1974Ke09). 1973BoXR in ( $^3\text{He},\alpha\gamma$ ) report 3939 $\gamma$ and 3952 $\gamma$ to g.s., while 1970Ba08 in that dataset only report a 3950 $\gamma$ and 1974Ke09 in ( $\alpha,\text{n}\gamma$ ) only report a 3935.5 $\gamma$ . It could be that the single $\gamma$ peak in 1974Ke09 and 1970Ba08 is for the doublet in 1973BoXR.
3951.8	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	1155.3 10	43 14	2796.1	7/2 <sup>-</sup>			$E_\gamma$ : from ( $\alpha,\text{n}\gamma$ ), also observed in ( $^3\text{He},\alpha\gamma$ ).
		3952	100 14	0	3/2 <sup>+</sup>			$I_\gamma$ : from ( $^3\text{He},\alpha\gamma$ ). $E_\gamma, I_\gamma$ : from ( $^3\text{He},\alpha\gamma$ ) only, not reported in ( $\alpha,\text{n}\gamma$ ). 1973BoXR in ( $^3\text{He},\alpha\gamma$ ) report two $\gamma$ rays around 3950: 3939 $\gamma$ and 3952 $\gamma$ to g.s., while 1970Ba08 in that dataset only report a 3950 $\gamma$ deexciting a 3950 level and 1974Ke09 in ( $\alpha,\text{n}\gamma$ ) only report a 3935.5 $\gamma$ deexciting the 3936 level. But it is unlikely that while 1970Ba08 observed 3950 $\gamma$ and 1155 $\gamma$ from the 3950 level,

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued) $\gamma(^{39}\text{Ca})$  (continued)

$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^\dagger$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult.	Comments
							<a href="#">1974Ke09</a> only observed the weaker one, 1155 $\gamma$ . So it could be that the single $\gamma$ peak at 3950 in <a href="#">1974Ke09</a> and at 3935.5 in <a href="#">1970Ba08</a> is for the doublet in <a href="#">1973BoXR</a> . Mult., $\delta$ : <a href="#">1970Ba08</a> report $\delta(Q/D)=-0.10$ 7 or $+6.7$ $+22-6I$ for $J(3951)=3/2$ .
4020.7	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	995.6	25 13	3025.1	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	[E1]	B(E1)(W.u.)=0.00028 $+32-18$ $E_\gamma, I_\gamma$ : reported in ( $^3\text{He}, \alpha\gamma$ ) only. Energy is from level-energy difference.
		1553.8	100 13	2466.9	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	[M1]	B(M1)(W.u.)=0.011 $+7-4$ $E_\gamma, I_\gamma$ : reported in ( $^3\text{He}, \alpha\gamma$ ) only. Energy is from level-energy difference.
5151	(11/2 <sup>-</sup> )	1260 1	100	3891.1	(11/2 <sup>-</sup> )		$E_\gamma$ : from ( $^{28}\text{Si}, \alpha n\gamma$ ).
5402	(13/2 <sup>-</sup> )	1511 1	100	3891.1	(11/2 <sup>-</sup> )		$E_\gamma$ : from ( $^{28}\text{Si}, \alpha n\gamma$ ).
6432	(15/2 <sup>+</sup> )	1030 1	100	5402	(13/2 <sup>-</sup> )		$E_\gamma$ : from ( $^{28}\text{Si}, \alpha n\gamma$ ).
6900	(15/2 <sup>-</sup> )	1749 1	73 6	5151	(11/2 <sup>-</sup> )		$E_\gamma, I_\gamma$ : from ( $^{28}\text{Si}, \alpha n\gamma$ ).
		3008 2	100 9	3891.1	(11/2 <sup>-</sup> )		$E_\gamma, I_\gamma$ : from ( $^{28}\text{Si}, \alpha n\gamma$ ).
7750	(19/2 <sup>-</sup> )	850 1	100	6900	(15/2 <sup>-</sup> )		$E_\gamma$ : from ( $^{28}\text{Si}, \alpha n\gamma$ ).

<sup>†</sup> From ( $\alpha, n\gamma$ ), unless otherwise noted.

<sup>‡</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

Adopted Levels, Gammas

Legend

Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative photon branching from each level

-----▶  $\gamma$  Decay (Uncertain)