

$^{27}\text{Al}(^{16}\text{O},\alpha p\gamma)$ 2011Ch54

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen	NDS 152, 1 (2018)	30-Sep-2017

2011Ch54: E=34 MeV ^{16}O beam was produced from Inter University Accelerator Centre (IUAC), New Delhi. Target was Al from ^{18}O target frame. γ rays were detected by Indian National Gamma Array (INGA) of eighteen Compton-suppressed Clover detectors. Measured $E\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$, $\gamma\gamma(\text{lin pol})$. Deduced levels.

2017Da13: E=34 MeV beam from the 15UD Pelletron of the IUAC-New Delhi facility. Target was ≈ 200 mg/cm² thick Aluminum foil. γ rays were detected with the INGA array. Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, lifetime using DSAM technique on a thick target.

1991Ja11: E=60 MeV ^{16}O beam provided by the Pelletron at TIFR, Bombay. Measured lifetime by recoil-distance method for 4480, 4585 and 7609 levels.

1976Ra05: E=32.5 MeV ^{16}O beam provided by the HVEC tandem of the University of Cologne. Measured $\gamma(\theta,t)$ for recoil in vacuum. Deduced lifetime for 4585 level.

 ^{38}Ar Levels

E(level) [†]	J^{π} [†]	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0	0 ⁺		
2167	2 ⁺	444 fs 25	$T_{1/2}$: from DSAM (2017Da13). Uncertainty from stopping powers, estimated by the authors as $\approx 5\%$, is added in quadrature to the original value of 444 fs 10 in 2017Da13.
3810	3 ⁻		
4480	4 ⁻	0.93 ps 20	$T_{1/2}$: from 1991Ja11.
4586	5 ⁻	135 ps 4	$T_{1/2}$: weighted average of 134 ps 4 (1976Ra05) and 136 ps 4 (1991Ja11).
10174		2.6 ps 4	E(level), $T_{1/2}$: the half-life quoted here is for a 7609 level in 1991Ja11 which is taken from 1976Ko10 in $^{27}\text{Al}(^{14}\text{N}, n2p\gamma)$ based on their placement of 1201 γ . This level is now obsolete since the 1201 γ is re-placed from the 10174 level in later studies. So the half-life (presumably 1201 γ) is for the 10174 level.

[†] From Adopted Levels. Energies are rounded values.

 $\gamma(^{38}\text{Ar})$

E_{γ} [†]	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^{π}	E_f	J_f^{π}	Mult. [‡]	Comments
106	4586	5 ⁻	4480	4 ⁻		$R_{\text{ang}}=1.02$ 2 (2011Ch54).
670	4480	4 ⁻	3810	3 ⁻	M1	$\text{POL}=-0.032$ 12, $R_{\text{ang}}=0.90$ 2 (2011Ch54).
776	4586	5 ⁻	3810	3 ⁻		$A_2=-0.241$ 10 (1976Ra05), inconsistent with $\Delta J=2$.
1643	3810	3 ⁻	2167	2 ⁺	E1	$\text{POL}=+0.012$ 9, $R_{\text{ang}}=0.83$ 1 (2011Ch54).
						$A_2=-0.256$ 14 (1976Ra05).
2167	2167	2 ⁺	0	0 ⁺	E2	$\text{POL}=+0.062$ 14, $R_{\text{ang}}=1.06$ 2 (2011Ch54).

[†] Rounded values from Adopted Gammas.

[‡] Deduced by the evaluator from $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ and $\gamma\gamma(\text{lin pol})$ in 2011Ch54. Positive POL value indicates a dominantly electric transition, while a negative value indicates a dominantly magnetic transition; $R_{\text{ang}}\sim 0.83$ for $\Delta J=1$ dipole transitions and ~ 1.11 for $\Delta J=2$ quadrupole transitions (2011Ch54).

$^{27}\text{Al}(^{16}\text{O},\alpha\text{p}\gamma)$ 2011Ch54Level Scheme