

$^{27}\text{Al}(^{14}\text{N},\text{p}\alpha\gamma)$  1976Wa11,1975OI01

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Ninel Nica, John Cameron and Balraj Singh		NDS 113, 1 (2012)	31-Dec-2011

Also includes  $^{24}\text{Mg}(^{18}\text{O},\text{pn}\alpha\gamma)$ ,  $^{25}\text{Mg}(^{16}\text{O},\text{p}\alpha\gamma)$ ,  $^{26}\text{Mg}(^{14}\text{N},\alpha\gamma)$ ,  $^{27}\text{Al}(^{12}\text{C},\text{p}2\text{n}\gamma)$ , and  $^{27}\text{Al}(^{18}\text{O},\text{N}2\text{AG})$  reactions.  
**1976Wa11**:  $^{27}\text{Al}(^{14}\text{N},\text{p}\alpha\gamma)$  E=40 MeV, measured  $\gamma$ - $\gamma$  coincidence,  $\gamma(\theta)$ , linear polarization  $\text{pol}(\gamma)$ ,  $T_{1/2}$  (RDM).  
**1975OI01**:  $^{26}\text{Mg}(^{14}\text{N},\alpha\gamma)$ ,  $^{24}\text{Mg}(^{18}\text{O},\text{pn}\alpha\gamma)$ ,  $^{27}\text{Al}(^{18}\text{O},\text{N}2\text{AG})$ , all At E=40 MeV, measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma(\theta)$ ,  $\text{pol}(\gamma)$ .  
**1976Ke02**:  $^{25}\text{Mg}(^{16}\text{O},\text{p}\alpha\gamma)$  E=43,50 MeV, measured  $T_{1/2}$  (RDM).  
**1976Me03**:  $^{27}\text{Al}(^{12}\text{C},\text{p}2\text{n}\gamma)$  E=31 MeV, measured  $T_{1/2}$  (RDM).

 $^{36}\text{Cl}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J $\pi$ <sup>‡</sup>	T <sub>1/2</sub>	Comments
0.0	2 <sup>+</sup>		
788.45 10	3 <sup>+</sup>	22.4 ps 17	T <sub>1/2</sub> : mean lifetime $\tau$ In ps: 32.3 25 (1976Me03).
1164.98 19	1 <sup>+</sup>		
1951.12 16	2 <sup>-</sup>		
2468.22 19	3 <sup>-</sup>		
2518.40 15	5 <sup>-</sup>	1594 ps 111	T <sub>1/2</sub> : mean lifetime $\tau$ In ps: 2300 160 (1976Ke02).
2810.55 15	4 <sup>-</sup>		
3100.7 3	4 <sup>-</sup>		
4294.52 18	(6 <sup>-</sup> )	<7 ps	T <sub>1/2</sub> : mean lifetime $\tau$ In ps:<10 (1976Wa11),<20 (1976Ke02).
5313.55 20	(7) <sup>+</sup>	19.7 ps 15	T <sub>1/2</sub> : mean lifetime $\tau$ In ps: 32 3 (1976Wa11), 27.2 17 (1976Ke02); weighted average: 28.4 21.
5780.12? 25	(8)		T <sub>1/2</sub> : mean lifetime $\tau$ In ps: 0.5 to 1000 (1976Wa11).

<sup>†</sup> From least-squares fit to  $E\gamma$  data.

<sup>‡</sup> Below E(level)<4000 cited by 1976Wa11 from literature – same As later adopted by 1990En08; for E(level)>4000 determined by 1976Wa11.

 $\gamma(^{36}\text{Cl})$ 

$E\gamma$ <sup>†</sup>	$I\gamma$ <sup>‡</sup>	$E_i(\text{level})$	J $\pi_i$	$E_f$	J $\pi_f$	Mult.	$\delta$	Comments
292.13 10	635 67	2810.55	4 <sup>-</sup>	2518.40	5 <sup>-</sup>			
466.57 15	179 83	5780.12?	(8)	5313.55	(7) <sup>+</sup>	D <sup>#</sup>		A <sub>2</sub> =-0.19 11 (1976Wa11).
517.10 10	203 71	2468.22	3 <sup>-</sup>	1951.12	2 <sup>-</sup>			$E\gamma$ : doublet with $^{35}\text{Cl}$ 517.26 10 $\gamma$ (1976Wa11).
632.5 3	197 69	3100.7	4 <sup>-</sup>	2468.22	3 <sup>-</sup>	D(+Q) <sup>#</sup>		A <sub>2</sub> =-0.21 10 (1976Wa11).
<sup>x</sup> 756.9& 3	224 62							
786.0 5	224 62	1951.12	2 <sup>-</sup>	1164.98	1 <sup>+</sup>			$E\gamma$ : unresolved from 788 $\gamma$ (1976Wa11).
788.44 10	10000 90	788.45	3 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	2 <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2 <sup>#</sup>	+1.1 <sup>#</sup> 3	A <sub>2</sub> =+0.29 2, A <sub>4</sub> =-0.03 3, P=-0.28 5 (1976Wa11).
859.4 3	207 69	2810.55	4 <sup>-</sup>	1951.12	2 <sup>-</sup>	(Q) <sup>#</sup>		A <sub>2</sub> =+0.16 9 (1976Wa11).
1019.01 10	2287 99	5313.55	(7) <sup>+</sup>	4294.52	(6 <sup>-</sup> )	E1 <sup>#</sup>	@	A <sub>2</sub> =-0.25 3, $\text{pol}$ =+0.31 26 (1976Wa11).
1164.94 20	449 87	1164.98	1 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	2 <sup>+</sup>	D <sup>#</sup>		A <sub>2</sub> =-0.17 5 (1976Wa11).
1484.1 5	250 56	4294.52	(6 <sup>-</sup> )	2810.55	4 <sup>-</sup>			A <sub>2</sub> =+0.21 17 (1976Wa11).
1729.80 20	8811 94	2518.40	5 <sup>-</sup>	788.45	3 <sup>+</sup>	M2+E3 <sup>#</sup>	-0.19 <sup>#</sup> 10	A <sub>2</sub> =+0.21 2, A <sub>4</sub> =-0.11 2, P=-0.49 11 (1976Wa11).
1776.06 10	2346 52	4294.52	(6 <sup>-</sup> )	2518.40	5 <sup>-</sup>			A <sub>2</sub> =+0.21 2 (1976Wa11).
1951.08 20	434 84	1951.12	2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	2 <sup>+</sup>			A <sub>2</sub> =+0.22 10 (1976Wa11).
2022.15 20	831 66	2810.55	4 <sup>-</sup>	788.45	3 <sup>+</sup>	E1 <sup>#</sup>	@	A <sub>2</sub> =-0.25 5, P=+0.55 33 (1976Wa11).
2312.1 5	150 70	3100.7	4 <sup>-</sup>	788.45	3 <sup>+</sup>			

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{27}\text{Al}(^{14}\text{N},\text{p}\alpha\gamma)$  1976Wa11,1975O101 (continued) $\gamma(^{36}\text{Cl})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^\ddagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult.	Comments
2518.3 3	587 98	2518.40	5 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	2 <sup>+</sup>	E3 <sup>#</sup>	$A_2=+0.52$ 7, $A_4=-0.09$ 8, $P=+0.9$ 9 (1976Wa11).
2795.1 3	1481 99	5313.55	(7) <sup>+</sup>	2518.40	5 <sup>-</sup>	M2 <sup>#</sup>	$A_2=+0.37$ 5, $A_4=-0.13$ 5, $\text{pol}=-1.5$ 10 (1976Wa11).
<sup>x</sup> 3842.2 <sup>&amp;</sup> 10	156 73						

<sup>†</sup> From 1976Wa11.

<sup>‡</sup> Intensities relative to 788 $\gamma$  (renormalized to 10000 by evaluators) from 1976Wa11. The uncertainties are recalculated by evaluators from those listed by 1976Wa11 with the  $\gamma$ -ray intensity branching ratios from levels, and estimated by evaluators for the unbranched intensities from the close-lying  $I_\gamma, \Delta I_\gamma$  values of branched  $\gamma$ -ray intensities (1976Wa11 give separate relative  $I_\gamma$ 's without  $\Delta I_\gamma$ , and level branching ratios with  $\Delta I_\gamma$ ).

<sup>#</sup> From 1976Wa11 based on  $\gamma(\theta)$  and  $\text{pol}(\gamma)$ .

<sup>@</sup> 1976Wa11 list  $\delta=0$  for this transition.

<sup>&</sup> According to 1976Wa11 the assignment to  $^{36}\text{Cl}$  is uncertain.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{27}\text{Al}(^{14}\text{N},\text{p}\alpha\gamma)$  1976Wa11,1975O101

Level Scheme  
 Intensities: Relative  $I_\gamma$

## Legend

