

^{35}Si β^- decay (0.78 s) [1988DuZS](#),[1986Du07](#),[1988DuZT](#)

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen, John Cameron and Balraj Singh		NDS 112,2715 (2011)	20-Oct-2011

Parent: ^{35}Si : $E=0$; $J^\pi=(7/2^-)$; $T_{1/2}=0.78$ s 12; $Q(\beta^-)=10500$ 40; $\% \beta^-$ decay=100.0

^{35}Si - $J^\pi, T_{1/2}$: From Adopted Levels of ^{35}Si .

^{35}Si - $Q(\beta^-)$: From [2011AuZZ](#), [2003Au03](#).

[1988DuZS](#), [1986Du07](#), [1988DuZT](#): ^{35}Si produced by fragmentation of ^{40}Ar beam of 2×10^{11} particles/s at 60 MeV/nucleon on a 190 mg/cm² Be target at GANIL. Decay observed with a 1 mm thick plastic scintillator and a 174 cm³ intrinsic Ge detector (1.2% absolute efficiency at 1.33 MeV). Measured $\beta\gamma(t)$, $E\gamma$, $E\gamma$. Deduced levels, J^π , $T_{1/2}$.

[Additional information 1](#).

[2007Ne14](#): measured ground state g-factor using the β -NMR method.

 ^{35}P Levels

With three γ -rays unplaced, large Q-Value of 10.5 MeV, there could additional transitions to levels up to 5.6 MeV and the level scheme could be incomplete.

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$ [‡]
0	1/2 ⁺	47.3 s 8
2386.5 5	3/2 ⁺	
3859.8 6	5/2 ⁺	
4101.1 6	(7/2 ⁻)	
4381.3? 8		
4493.4 6	(7/2 ⁻)	
4869.4 9		
4962.3? 7		
5560.7 7		

[†] From least-squares fit to $E\gamma$'s.

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

 β^- radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^-$ ^{†‡}	Log ft	Comments
(4.94×10^3) 4)	5560.7	<12.4	>4.6	av $E\beta=2249$ 20 Additional information 2 .
(5.63×10^3) 4)	4869.4	<10.8	>4.9	av $E\beta=2588$ 20 Additional information 3 .
(6.01×10^3) 4)	4493.4	<21.4	>4.8	av $E\beta=2773$ 20 Additional information 4 .
(6.12×10^3) 4)	4381.3?	<9.4	>5.2	av $E\beta=2828$ 20 Additional information 5 .
(6.40×10^3) 4)	4101.1	<46	>4.6	av $E\beta=2966$ 20 Additional information 6 .
(6.64×10^3) 4)	3859.8	<2	>6.0	av $E\beta=3084$ 20

[†] From [1988DuZS](#), except that these are given here as upper limits only, since the decay scheme is considered as incomplete in view of large Q value and the possibility of missing transitions from higher levels.

[‡] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

^{35}Si β^- decay (0.78 s) 1988DuZS,1986Du07,1988DuZT (continued) $\gamma(^{35}\text{P})$

E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^{\dagger@}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π
241.4 3	100 4	4101.1	(7/2 ⁻)	3859.8	5/2 ⁺
392.3 3	58 3	4493.4	(7/2 ⁻)	4101.1	(7/2 ⁻)
468.9 [‡] & 4	18.7 25	4962.3?		4493.4	(7/2 ⁻)
633.7 5	22 3	4493.4	(7/2 ⁻)	3859.8	5/2 ⁺
768.0 4	16 3	4869.4		4101.1	(7/2 ⁻)
1009.9 5	24 5	4869.4		3859.8	5/2 ⁺
1459.7 5	12 4	5560.7		4101.1	(7/2 ⁻)
1473.4 5	17 4	3859.8	5/2 ⁺	2386.5	3/2 ⁺
1714.7 6	22 5	4101.1	(7/2 ⁻)	2386.5	3/2 ⁺
1994.8 [#] & 6	36 6	4381.3?		2386.5	3/2 ⁺
2386.4 6	117 7	2386.5	3/2 ⁺	0	1/2 ⁺
3173.5 10	35 6	5560.7		2386.5	3/2 ⁺
^x 3349.1 10	46 6				
^x 3590.0 11	60 7				
3859.5 10	121 8	3859.8	5/2 ⁺	0	1/2 ⁺
4100.8 10	135 8	4101.1	(7/2 ⁻)	0	1/2 ⁺

[†] From 1986Du07, unless otherwise noted.

[‡] Unplaced γ ray in 1986Du07 and 1988DuZS. The placement is from γ ray of the same energies observed in 2008Wi09 in $^{208}\text{Pb}(^{36}\text{S},\text{X}\gamma)$.

[#] Placement from 1988DuZS. In 1988DuZT, this γ was placed from a 6095.8 (there is a legibility problem in this report as the level may be read as 6035.8, as seems to have been done in 1990En08 evaluation). However, in view of in-beam results from 2008Wi09, 1994.8 γ most likely deexcites a 4381 level, not a 6095 level.

[@] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.27 I.

[&] Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{35}\text{Si} \beta^-$ decay (0.78 s) 1988DuZS,1986Du07,1988DuZT

Decay Scheme

Intensities: I_γ per 100 parent decays

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- - - - -→ γ Decay (Uncertain)

