

**Adopted Levels, Gammas**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Ninel Nica, Balraj Singh		NDS 113,1563 (2012)	28-May-2012

Q( $\beta^-$ )=5383.0 9; S(n)=6282.7 14; S(p)=11323.3 11; Q( $\alpha$ )=-11101 14 [2012Wa38](#)

Note: Current evaluation has used the following Q record 5382.96 81 6282.7 14 11323.311 -11101 14 [2011AuZZ](#).

S(2n)=16386.5 8, S(2p)=28065 86 ([2011AuZZ](#)).

Values in [2003Au03](#): Q( $\beta^-$ )=5374 5, S(n)=6251 5, S(p)=11354 17, Q( $\alpha$ )=-11110 15, S(2n)=16395 5, S(2p)=28070 90.

Mass excess=-24548.71 keV 81 ([2009Kw02](#)) is considered in [2011AuZZ](#) evaluation.

<sup>34</sup>P identified and produced in bombardment of chlorine with fast neutrons ([1946BI01](#), also Zunti and Bleuler, Helv. Phys. Acta 18, 263 (1945)); measured half-life. In a paper by J.M. Cork and W. Middleton, Phys. Rev. 58, 474 (1940), a 12.7-s activity was found and ascribed to a phosphorus isotope of mass greater than 31.

<sup>34</sup>P is of some relevance in connection with approach to the so called 'island of inversion'.

Measurement of strong absorption radius: [1999Ai02](#): Si(<sup>34</sup>P,X) reaction at 38-80 MeV/nucleon, NSCL facility. The <sup>34</sup>P beam was obtained from fragmentation of <sup>55</sup>Mn beam with <sup>9</sup>Be target at 50-90 MeV/nucleon, NSCL facility.

[Additional information 1](#).

<sup>34</sup>P Levels

Cross Reference (XREF) Flags

<b>A</b>	<sup>34</sup> Si $\beta^-$ decay (2.77 s)	<b>E</b>	<sup>30</sup> Si( <sup>7</sup> Li, <sup>3</sup> He)	<b>I</b>	<sup>36</sup> S(d, $\alpha$ ),(pol d, $\alpha$ )
<b>B</b>	<sup>35</sup> Si $\beta^-$ n decay (0.78 s):?	<b>F</b>	Coulomb excitation	<b>J</b>	<sup>36</sup> S(pol d, $\alpha\gamma$ )
<b>C</b>	<sup>18</sup> O( <sup>18</sup> O,pn $\gamma$ ) E=20-44 MeV	<b>G</b>	<sup>34</sup> S(t, <sup>3</sup> He)	<b>K</b>	<sup>115</sup> In( <sup>34</sup> S,X $\gamma$ )
<b>D</b>	<sup>18</sup> O( <sup>18</sup> O,pn $\gamma$ ) E=34 MeV	<b>H</b>	<sup>34</sup> S( <sup>7</sup> Li, <sup>7</sup> Be),( <sup>11</sup> B, <sup>11</sup> C)	<b>L</b>	<sup>176</sup> Yb( <sup>36</sup> S,X $\gamma$ ), <sup>160</sup> Gd( <sup>37</sup> Cl,X $\gamma$ ),

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J $\pi^{\ddagger}$	T <sub>1/2</sub> <sup>#</sup>	XREF	Comments
0	1 <sup>+</sup>	12.43 s 10	<b>A CDEFGHIJKL</b>	% $\beta^-$ =100 r <sub>0</sub> <sup>2</sup> (strong absorption)=1.14 fm <sup>2</sup> 8 ( <a href="#">1999Ai02</a> ). J $\pi$ : allowed $\beta$ transition from 0 <sup>+</sup> in <sup>34</sup> Si decay; unnatural parity state in (pol $\alpha$ ,d). T <sub>1/2</sub> : weighted average of 12.40 s 12 ( <a href="#">1946BI01</a> ) and 12.45 s 10 ( <a href="#">1973Go33</a> ). Other: 12.5 s ( <a href="#">1958Sc31</a> ).
429.09 11	2 <sup>+</sup>	1.3 ps +6-3	<b>A CDEFGHIJKL</b>	J $\pi$ : natural parity state from (pol d, $\alpha$ ); $\Delta J=1$ , M1+E2 $\gamma$ to 1 <sup>+</sup> .
1607.77 20	1 <sup>+</sup>	0.52 ps +45-14	<b>A CD FGHIJK</b>	XREF: K(?). J $\pi$ : allowed $\beta$ transition from 0 <sup>+</sup> in <sup>34</sup> Si decay; unnatural parity state from (pol d, $\alpha$ ).
2228.6 4	2 <sup>(-)</sup>	>2 ps	<b>C FG IJ</b>	J $\pi$ : $\Delta J=1$ , D(+Q) $\gamma$ to 1 <sup>+</sup> , 1608; $\pi=(-)$ more likely based on qualitative decay-strength arguments in <sup>18</sup> O( <sup>18</sup> O,pn $\gamma$ ) E=20-44 MeV. Assignment consistent with unnatural parity in <sup>36</sup> S(pol d, $\alpha\gamma$ ), but not consistent with the observed population in the Coulomb excitation (hence a different level is not excluded).
2305.1 @ 4	4 <sup>(-)</sup>	2.0 ns 1	<b>CD GhIJKL</b>	J $\pi$ : $\Delta J=2$ , (M2(+E3)) $\gamma$ to 2 <sup>+</sup> , 429; unnatural parity state from (pol d, $\alpha$ ). T <sub>1/2</sub> : from <a href="#">2011MaZP</a> ( $\gamma$ -ray coincidence fast-timing technique) other: 0.3 to 2.5 ns from $\gamma\gamma(t)$ ( <a href="#">2002AsZY</a> ) in <sup>198</sup> Pt( <sup>37</sup> Cl,x $\gamma$ ).
2320.6 @ 4	3 <sup>(-)</sup>	>7 ps	<b>CD h KL</b>	J $\pi$ : $\Delta J=1$ , (E1) $\gamma$ to 2 <sup>+</sup> , 429.
2372 20			<b>I</b>	
2628 12			<b>I</b>	
2675.5 8	(1 <sup>+</sup> ,2 <sup>-</sup> ,3 <sup>+</sup> )		<b>IJ</b>	J $\pi$ : gammas to 1 <sup>+</sup> and 2 <sup>+</sup> ; unnatural parity state from (pol d, $\alpha$ ). 1 <sup>+</sup> is less likely if 370 $\gamma$ to 4 <sup>(-)</sup> exists.
3086 15			<b>I</b>	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

**Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)** $^{34}\text{P}$  Levels (continued)

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π</sup> <sup>‡</sup>	T <sub>1/2</sub> <sup>#</sup>	XREF	Comments
3201 16			I	
3291 23			G I	
3352.5 5	5 <sup>(-)</sup>	0.36 ps +12-8	CD G L	XREF: G(?). J <sup>π</sup> : ΔJ=1, D(+Q) γ to 4 <sup>(-)</sup> , 2305; π=(-) more likely in $^{18}\text{O}(^{18}\text{O},\text{pn}\gamma)$ E=20-44 MeV based on theory.
3482 8			I	
3546 12			I	
3752.4 6	(3 <sup>-</sup> ,4 <sup>-</sup> )	0.26 ps 6	CD	J <sup>π</sup> : D(+Q) γ to 3 <sup>(-)</sup> , 2321 and D,E2 γ to 2 <sup>(-)</sup> , 2229; π=(-) in $^{18}\text{O}(^{18}\text{O},\text{pn}\gamma)$ E=20-44 MeV based on qualitative decay-strength and theory arguments.
3911.6 11	(3 <sup>-</sup> ,4 <sup>-</sup> )	0.14 ps 7	C	J <sup>π</sup> : D,E2 γ to 3 <sup>(-)</sup> , 2321; π=(-) in $^{18}\text{O}(^{18}\text{O},\text{pn}\gamma)$ E=20-44 MeV based on qualitative decay-strength and theory arguments.
3942.8? 11			D	
3951.3 5	(5 <sup>-</sup> )	0.111 ps 35	CD	J <sup>π</sup> : ΔJ=1, (M1(+E2)) γ to 4 <sup>(-)</sup> , 2305.
4305.9 11	(1 <sup>+</sup> ,2 <sup>-</sup> ,3 <sup>+</sup> )		IJ	J <sup>π</sup> : γ to 1 <sup>+</sup> ; unnatural parity state from (pol d,α).
4438 13			HIJ	
4446.6 21	(4 <sup>-</sup> )	<0.10 ps	C	J <sup>π</sup> : D,E2 γ to 3 <sup>(-)</sup> , 2321; (4 <sup>-</sup> ) in $^{18}\text{O}(^{18}\text{O},\text{pn}\gamma)$ E=20-44 MeV based on qualitative decay-strength and theory arguments.
4630.1 5	6 <sup>(-)</sup>	0.30 ps 5	CD	J <sup>π</sup> : ΔJ=1, (M1) γ to 5 <sup>(-)</sup> , 3951; ΔJ=2, (E2) γ to 4 <sup>(-)</sup> , 2305.
4723			L	
4744.4 10	(1 <sup>+</sup> ,2 <sup>-</sup> ,3 <sup>+</sup> ,4 <sup>-</sup> )		IJ	J <sup>π</sup> : γ to 2 <sup>+</sup> ; unnatural parity state from (pol d,α).
5012.7 21	(2 <sup>-</sup> )	<0.07 ps	C	J <sup>π</sup> : D,E2 γ to 3 <sup>(-)</sup> , 2321; (2 <sup>-</sup> ) in $^{18}\text{O}(^{18}\text{O},\text{pn}\gamma)$ E=20-44 MeV based on qualitative decay-strength and theory arguments.
5280.7 21	(3 <sup>-</sup> )	<0.07 ps	C	J <sup>π</sup> : D,E2 γ to 3 <sup>(-)</sup> , 2321; (3 <sup>-</sup> ) in $^{18}\text{O}(^{18}\text{O},\text{pn}\gamma)$ E=20-44 MeV based on qualitative decay-strength and theory arguments.
5394.2 6	(6 <sup>-</sup> )	0.11 ps +8-5	C	J <sup>π</sup> : ΔJ=1, D(+Q) γ to 5 <sup>(-)</sup> , 3352; π=(-) in $^{18}\text{O}(^{18}\text{O},\text{pn}\gamma)$ E=20-44 MeV based on qualitative decay-strength and theory arguments.
5726.4 13	(3 <sup>-</sup> ,4,5,6 <sup>-</sup> )		C	J <sup>π</sup> : γ to 5 <sup>(-)</sup> , 3352 and γ to 4 <sup>(-)</sup> , 2305.
6180.7 21	(6 <sup>-</sup> )	<0.07 ps	C	J <sup>π</sup> : D,E2 γ to 5 <sup>(-)</sup> , 3352; (6 <sup>-</sup> ) in $^{18}\text{O}(^{18}\text{O},\text{pn}\gamma)$ E=20-44 MeV based on qualitative decay-strength and theory arguments.
6193.8 10			C	J <sup>π</sup> : (7 <sup>+</sup> ) based on shell-model arguments is suggested but not adopted in $^{18}\text{O}(^{18}\text{O},\text{pn}\gamma)$ E=20-44 MeV (2012Be11); possible 2 particle-hole $\pi f_{7/2} \otimes \nu f_{7/2}$ intruder state.
6237.2 5	7 <sup>(+)</sup>	>6.9 ps	CD KL	J <sup>π</sup> : ΔJ=1, D(+Q) γ to 6 <sup>(-)</sup> , 4630; ΔJ=2, M2(+E3) γ to 5 <sup>(-)</sup> , 3352. π=(+) is assigned in both $^{18}\text{O}(^{18}\text{O},\text{pn}\gamma)$ datasets based on level scheme and shell-model arguments; possible 2 particle-hole $\pi f_{7/2} \otimes \nu f_{7/2}$ intruder state.
6357.2 8	(7 <sup>-</sup> )	<0.035 ps	C	J <sup>π</sup> : E2 γ to 5 <sup>(-)</sup> , 3951.
7426.2 21		<0.07 ps	C	
7920.1 10		>0.35 ps	C	

<sup>†</sup> From least-squares fit to E<sub>γ</sub> data.

<sup>‡</sup> From γ multiplicities and other arguments as listed.

<sup>#</sup> Except when noted otherwise, values are from DSAM measurements in  $^{18}\text{O}(^{18}\text{O},\text{pn}\gamma)$  (2012Be11).

<sup>@</sup> Member of  $\pi s_{1/2} \otimes \nu f_{7/2}$  configuration.

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)

E <sub>i</sub> (level)	J <sup>π</sup> <sub>i</sub>	E <sub>γ</sub> <sup>†</sup>	I <sub>γ</sub> <sup>†</sup>	E <sub>f</sub>	J <sup>π</sup> <sub>f</sub>	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	γ( <sup>34</sup> P)		Comments
							δ <sup>‡</sup>	δ <sup>‡</sup>	
429.09	2 <sup>+</sup>	429.08 11	100	0	1 <sup>+</sup>	M1(+E2)	+0.11	+13-12	B(M1)(W.u.)=0.21 +5-10; B(E2)(W.u.)=50 +130-50 Mult.: M1 from <sup>115</sup> In( <sup>34</sup> S,Xγ); D(+Q) from <sup>18</sup> O( <sup>18</sup> O,pnγ) E=20-44 MeV. δ: other values (both from <sup>36</sup> S(pol d,αγ)): -14 to +11 (possible but not allowed by RUL); -0.52 10 (gives B(E2)(W.u.)>910, which is unrealistic in view of RUL=100).
1607.77	1 <sup>+</sup>	1178.63 19	100 3	429.09	2 <sup>+</sup>	(M1+E2)	+1.0	6	B(M1)(W.u.)=0.008 +6-8; B(E2)(W.u.)=24 +16-24 Mult.: (M1+E2) in <sup>36</sup> S(pol d,αγ) and Δ(π)=no; also D+Q in <sup>18</sup> O( <sup>18</sup> O,pnγ) E=20-44 MeV. δ: +0.96 64 or +0.96 26 in <sup>36</sup> S(pol d,αγ); B(M1)(W.u.)=0.0036 +10-31; B(E2)(W.u.)=0.09 9 Mult.: (M1+E2) in <sup>36</sup> S(pol d,αγ) and Δ(π)=no. δ: from <sup>36</sup> S(pol d,αγ); -8 +3-6 also possible but less likely.
2228.6	2 <sup>(-)</sup>	620.6 4	68 14	1607.77	1 <sup>+</sup>	(E1(+M2))	+0.07	16	B(E1)(W.u.)<0.00041 Mult.: ΔJ=1, D(+Q) in <sup>18</sup> O( <sup>18</sup> O,pnγ) E=20-44 MeV; (E1(+M2)) from Δπ=(yes).
2305.1	4 <sup>(-)</sup>	1799.7 6	100 16	429.09	2 <sup>+</sup>	[E1]			B(E1)(W.u.)<2.4×10 <sup>-5</sup>
		2229 1	59 14	0	1 <sup>+</sup>	[E1]			B(E1)(W.u.)<7.6×10 <sup>-6</sup>
2305.1	4 <sup>(-)</sup>	1875.9 4	100	429.09	2 <sup>+</sup>	(M2(+E3))	0.00	12	B(M2)(W.u.)=0.064 4 Mult.,δ: ΔJ=2, Q γ in <sup>18</sup> O( <sup>18</sup> O,pnγ) E=20-44 MeV; (M2+E3) with δ=-0.65 28 from γγ(θ)(DCO) and γγ(lin pol) in <sup>18</sup> O( <sup>18</sup> O,pnγ) E=34 MeV.
2320.6	3 <sup>(-)</sup>	1891.4 5	100	429.09	2 <sup>+</sup>	(E1(+M2))	+0.07	14	B(E1)(W.u.)<1.4×10 <sup>-5</sup> ; B(M2)(W.u.)<0.42 Mult.: ΔJ=1, D γ from DCO ( <sup>115</sup> In( <sup>34</sup> S,Xγ)) and γ(θ) in ( <sup>18</sup> O( <sup>18</sup> O,pnγ) E=20-44 MeV; (E1) from γγ(lin pol) ( <sup>115</sup> In( <sup>34</sup> S,Xγ))).
2675.5	(1 <sup>+</sup> ,2 <sup>-</sup> ,3 <sup>+</sup> )	370@ 1068 2246	<18 46 18 100 26	2305.1 1607.77 429.09	4 <sup>(-)</sup> 1 <sup>+</sup> 2 <sup>+</sup>				
3352.5	5 <sup>(-)</sup>	2675@	36 20	0	1 <sup>+</sup>				
		1031.7 7	2 1	2320.6	3 <sup>(-)</sup>	[E2]			B(E2)(W.u.)=4.0 +22-25
3352.5	5 <sup>(-)</sup>	1047.5 4	100 1	2305.1	4 <sup>(-)</sup>	(M1(+E2))	+0.02	9	B(M1)(W.u.)=0.052 +12-18; B(E2)(W.u.)=0.1 +7-1 Mult.,δ: ΔJ=1, D(+Q) γ in <sup>18</sup> O( <sup>18</sup> O,pnγ) E=20-44 MeV; (M1(+E2)) more likely from Δπ=(no).
3752.4	(3 <sup>-</sup> ,4 <sup>-</sup> )	1431.8 6	100 2	2320.6	3 <sup>(-)</sup>	(M1(+E2))	+0.18	+15-14	B(M1)(W.u.)=0.026 7; B(E2)(W.u.)=1.6 +27-16 Mult.: D,E2 based on RUL; M1(+E2) more likely in <sup>18</sup> O( <sup>18</sup> O,pnγ) E=20-44 MeV from Δπ=(no).
		1446@		2305.1	4 <sup>(-)</sup>				

**Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)**

$\gamma(^{34}\text{P})$  (continued)

$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^\dagger$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	$\delta^\ddagger$	Comments
3752.4	(3 <sup>-</sup> ,4 <sup>-</sup> )	1523.9 9	7.5 22	2228.6	2 <sup>(-)</sup>	(E2,M1)		Mult.: D,E2 based on RUL; E2,M1 more likely in $^{18}\text{O}(^{18}\text{O},\text{pn}\gamma)$ E=20-44 MeV from $\Delta\pi=(\text{no})$ .
3911.6	(3 <sup>-</sup> ,4 <sup>-</sup> )	1591 1	100	2320.6	3 <sup>(-)</sup>	(M1,E2)		Mult.: D,E2 based on RUL; (M1,E2) from $\Delta\pi=(\text{no})$ . B(M1)(W.u.)=0.040 +26-16 if M1; B(E2)(W.u.)=61 +41-20 if E2.
3942.8?		1606 <sup>@</sup>		2305.1	4 <sup>(-)</sup>			
3951.3	(5 <sup>-</sup> )	1637.7 <sup>#</sup> 10		2305.1	4 <sup>(-)</sup>			
		1631.5 11	4.2 21	2320.6	3 <sup>(-)</sup>	[E2]		B(E2)(W.u.)=2.7 16
		1646.1 4	100 2	2305.1	4 <sup>(-)</sup>	(M1(+E2))	-0.03 18	B(M1)(W.u.)=0.043 14; B(E2)(W.u.)=0.06 +67-6
4305.9	(1 <sup>+</sup> ,2 <sup>-</sup> ,3 <sup>+</sup> )	1631 <sup>@</sup>		2675.5	(1 <sup>+</sup> ,2 <sup>-</sup> ,3 <sup>+</sup> )			Mult.: (M1) $\gamma$ from $\gamma\gamma(\theta)(\text{DCO})$ and $\gamma\gamma(\theta,\text{lin pol})$ in $^{18}\text{O}(^{18}\text{O},\text{pn}\gamma)$ E=34 MeV; $\Delta J=1$ , D $\gamma$ in $^{18}\text{O}(^{18}\text{O},\text{pn}\gamma)$ E=20-44 MeV.
		2698		1607.77	1 <sup>+</sup>			
4438		2135 <sup>@</sup>		2305.1	4 <sup>(-)</sup>			
4446.6	(4 <sup>-</sup> )	2126 2	100	2320.6	3 <sup>(-)</sup>	(M1,E2)		Mult.: D,E2 based on RUL; (M1,E2) from $\Delta\pi=(\text{no})$ .
4630.1	6 <sup>(-)</sup>	678.8 4	67 11	3951.3	5 <sup>(-)</sup>	(M1(+E2))	+0.12 11	B(M1)(W.u.)=0.093 23; B(E2)(W.u.)=11 +21-11
								Mult.: (M1) $\gamma$ from $\gamma\gamma(\theta)(\text{DCO})$ and $\gamma\gamma(\text{lin pol})$ in $^{18}\text{O}(^{18}\text{O},\text{pn}\gamma)$ E=34 MeV; $\Delta J=1$ , D+Q $\gamma$ in $^{18}\text{O}(^{18}\text{O},\text{pn}\gamma)$ E=20-44 MeV.
		2325.1 5	100 4	2305.1	4 <sup>(-)</sup>	(E2(+M3))	+0.02 +11-10	B(E2)(W.u.)=2.5 5
								Mult.: (E2) $\gamma$ from $\gamma\gamma(\theta)(\text{DCO})$ and $\gamma\gamma(\text{lin pol})$ in $^{18}\text{O}(^{18}\text{O},\text{pn}\gamma)$ E=34 MeV dataset; $\Delta J=2$ , Q in $^{18}\text{O}(^{18}\text{O},\text{pn}\gamma)$ E=20-44 MeV.
4723		2403 <sup>@</sup>		2320.6	3 <sup>(-)</sup>			
4744.4	(1 <sup>+</sup> ,2 <sup>-</sup> ,3 <sup>+</sup> ,4 <sup>-</sup> )	4315		429.09	2 <sup>+</sup>			
5012.7	(2 <sup>-</sup> )	2692 2	100	2320.6	3 <sup>(-)</sup>	(M1,E2)		Mult.: D,E2 $\gamma$ based on RUL; (M1,E2) from $\Delta\pi=(\text{no})$ .
5280.7	(3 <sup>-</sup> )	2960 2	100	2320.6	3 <sup>(-)</sup>	(M1,E2)		Mult.: D,E2 $\gamma$ based on RUL; (M1,E2) from $\Delta\pi=(\text{no})$ .
5394.2	(6 <sup>-</sup> )	762.9 8	15 6	4630.1	6 <sup>(-)</sup>	[M1(+E2)]		B(M1)(W.u.)=0.027 +20-12
		1442.6 7	100 11	3951.3	5 <sup>(-)</sup>	(M1(+E2))	+0.02 5	B(M1)(W.u.)=0.036 +17-27; B(E2)(W.u.)=0.03 +14-3
								Mult.: $\Delta J=1$ , D(+Q) in $^{18}\text{O}(^{18}\text{O},\text{pn}\gamma)$ E=20-44 MeV; (M1(+E2)) from $\Delta\pi=(\text{no})$ .
		2042 1	44 9	3352.5	5 <sup>(-)</sup>	(M1(+E2))	+0.05 14	B(M1)(W.u.)=0.006 +3-5; B(E2)(W.u.)=0.01 +8-1
								Mult.: $\Delta J=1$ , D(+Q) in $^{18}\text{O}(^{18}\text{O},\text{pn}\gamma)$ E=20-44 MeV; (M1(+E2)) from $\Delta\pi=(\text{no})$ .
5726.4	(3 <sup>-</sup> ,4,5,6 <sup>-</sup> )	3090 2	28 9	2305.1	4 <sup>(-)</sup>	[E2]		B(E2)(W.u.)=0.42 +24-34
		2373.9 15	100 8	3352.5	5 <sup>(-)</sup>			
		3421 2	28 8	2305.1	4 <sup>(-)</sup>			

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)

$\gamma(^{34}\text{P})$  (continued)

$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^\dagger$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult.‡	$\delta^\ddagger$	Comments
6180.7	(6 <sup>-</sup> )	2828 2	100	3352.5	5 <sup>(-)</sup>	(M1,E2)		Mult.: D,E2 $\gamma$ based on RUL; (M1,E2) more likely from $\Delta\pi=(\text{no})$ .
6193.8		1563.4 14	49 10	4630.1	6 <sup>(-)</sup>			
		2841 2	100 10	3352.5	5 <sup>(-)</sup>			
6237.2	7 <sup>(+)</sup>	842.5 6	48 10	5394.2	6 <sup>(-)</sup>	(E1(+M2))	+0.05 14	B(E1)(W.u.)<3.0×10 <sup>-5</sup> ; B(M2)(W.u.)<3.2 I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : from <sup>18</sup> O( <sup>18</sup> O,pn $\gamma$ ) E=20-44 MeV. Mult.: $\Delta J=1$ , D(+Q) $\gamma$ in <sup>18</sup> O( <sup>18</sup> O,pn $\gamma$ ) E=20-44 MeV; (E1(+M2)) more likely from $\Delta\pi=(\text{yes})$ .
		1607.5 4	100 13	4630.1	6 <sup>(-)</sup>	(E1(+M2))	+0.05 9	B(E1)(W.u.)<9.0×10 <sup>-6</sup> ; B(M2)(W.u.)<0.18 I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : from <sup>18</sup> O( <sup>18</sup> O,pn $\gamma$ ) E=20-44 MeV. Mult.: $\Delta J=1$ , D(+Q) $\gamma$ in <sup>18</sup> O( <sup>18</sup> O,pn $\gamma$ ) E=20-44 MeV; (E1(+M2)) more likely from $\Delta\pi=(\text{yes})$ .
		2884.3 6	90 13	3352.5	5 <sup>(-)</sup>	M2(+E3)	+0.11 18	B(M2)(W.u.)<0.79; B(E3)(W.u.)<28 I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : from <sup>18</sup> O( <sup>18</sup> O,pn $\gamma$ ) E=20-44 MeV. Mult.: $\Delta J=2$ , Q(+O) $\gamma$ in <sup>18</sup> O( <sup>18</sup> O,pn $\gamma$ ) E=20-44 MeV; (M2(+E3)) more likely from $\Delta\pi=(\text{yes})$ .
		3931.7 9	13 8	2305.1	4 <sup>(-)</sup>	[E3]		B(E3)(W.u.)<9.2 I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : from <sup>18</sup> O( <sup>18</sup> O,pn $\gamma$ ) E=20-44 MeV.
6357.2	(7 <sup>-</sup> )	2405.9 7	75 14	3951.3	5 <sup>(-)</sup>	E2		B(E2)(W.u.)>13 Mult.: $\Delta J=2$ , Q $\gamma$ in <sup>18</sup> O( <sup>18</sup> O,pn $\gamma$ ) E=20-44 MeV; D,E2 based on RUL.
		3004 2	100 14	3352.5	5 <sup>(-)</sup>	[E2]		B(E2)(W.u.)>5.8
7426.2		1189 2	100	6237.2	7 <sup>(+)</sup>	D,E2		Mult.: D,E2 $\gamma$ based on RUL.
7920.1		1683 1	100 6	6237.2	7 <sup>(+)</sup>	D,E2		Mult.: D,E2 $\gamma$ based on RUL.
		1726 1	49 6	6193.8		D,E2		Mult.: D,E2 $\gamma$ based on RUL.

† Weighted averages of all available data, unless otherwise stated. Energies given without uncertainties are from level-energy differences.

‡ Unless commented otherwise, from  $\gamma(\theta)$  in <sup>18</sup>O(<sup>18</sup>O,pn $\gamma$ ) E=20-44 MeV dataset (2012Be11).

# Weak  $\gamma$  ray, considered uncertain by evaluators.

@ Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.



**Adopted Levels, Gammas**

Legend

**Level Scheme (continued)**

Intensities: Relative photon branching from each level

-----▶  $\gamma$  Decay (Uncertain)