

**Adopted Levels, Gammas**

Type	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Ninel Nica, Balraj Singh	NDS 113,1563 (2012)	28-May-2012

$Q(\beta^-)=1.139 \times 10^4$  8;  $S(n)=4.71 \times 10^3$  3;  $S(p)=2.29 \times 10^4$  syst;  $Q(\alpha)=-1.71 \times 10^4$  3    [2012Wa38](#)

Note: Current evaluation has used the following Q record 11.61E3 11 4458 93 22.70E360-16.90E3 29    [2011AuZZ](#).

$Q(\beta^-n)=8926$  113,  $S(2n)=6671$  92,  $S(2p)=43016$  511 ([2011AuZZ](#)).  $S(2p)$  is from systematics.

Values in [2003Au03](#):  $Q(\beta^-)=11740$  260,  $S(n)=4160$  230,  $S(p)=23370$  910,  $Q(\alpha)=-16720$  620,  $Q(\beta^-n)=9270$  240,  $S(2n)=6380$  230;  $S(2p)=43050$  830 (syst).

$Q(\beta^-)$ : 11630 110 from mass excess=8590 80 ([2007Ju03](#)) for  $^{34}\text{Mg}$  and mass excess=-3040 70 ([2007Ju03](#)) for  $^{34}\text{Al}$ . The values are averages adopted by [2007Ju03](#) from their measurements of 8560 90 for  $^{34}\text{Mg}$  and -3100 80 for  $^{34}\text{Al}$  and respective values of 8810 230 and -2930 110 from [2003Au03](#).

[1979We10](#): identification and production of  $^{34}\text{Mg}$  in  $^9\text{Be}(^{48}\text{Ca},X)$  reaction at 212 MeV/nucleon.

Later papers for decay studies of  $^{34}\text{Mg}$  nuclide: [1984La13](#), [1984Gu19](#).

Mass measurements: [2007Ju03](#) (Penning-trap method), [2001Sa72](#) and [2001Sa21](#), [1991Or01](#) and [1991Zh24](#).

[2007No13](#):  $^9\text{Be}(^{40}\text{Ar},X)$   $E=100$  MeV/nucleon, RIKEN, measured production  $\sigma$  and momentum distribution.

Cross section and strong absorption radius measurement in Si( $^{34}\text{Mg},X$ ) reaction at 43.43 MeV/nucleon: [2006Kh08](#).

[2011Ka01](#):  $E=900$  MeV/nucleon secondary  $^{34}\text{Mg}$  beam from  $^9\text{Be}(^{48}\text{Ca},X)$  primary reaction. Target= $\text{CH}_2$ . Fragment separator at GSI facility. Measured interaction cross sections by detecting unreacted Mg particles by  $B\rho$ - $\Delta E$ -tof method. Deduced matter radius by Glauber model analysis. Comparison with HF and RMF predictions.

Structure calculations: [2012Li11](#), [2011Hi18](#), [2009No01](#), [2008Yo08](#), [2006Zh05](#), [2005Ro10](#), [2004Ot02](#), [2001Ca49](#).

[Additional information 1](#).

 **$^{34}\text{Mg}$  Levels****Cross Reference (XREF) Flags**

<b>A</b>	$^{34}\text{Na}$ $\beta^-$ decay (5.5 ms)	<b>D</b>	$^{34}\text{Mg}(p,p'\gamma)$
<b>B</b>	$^{35}\text{Na}$ $\beta^-n$ decay (1.5 ms)	<b>E</b>	Coulomb excitation
<b>C</b>	$^9\text{Be}(^{36}\text{Si},X\gamma)$		

E(level)	J $^\pi$	T $_{1/2}$	XREF	Comments
0	0 $^+$	20 ms 10	<a href="#">CDE</a>	% $\beta^-$ =100; % $\beta^-n$ =? Strong absorption $r_0^2=1.255$ fm $^2$ 30 ( <a href="#">2006Kh08</a> ). Interaction $\sigma=1372$ mb 46 for Carbon and 568 mb 90 for Hydrogen ( <a href="#">2011Ka01</a> ). The rms matter radius=3.23 fm 13 ( <a href="#">2011Ka01</a> ). $T_{1/2}$ : from <a href="#">1984La03</a> . Calculated half-life=74 ms ( <a href="#">1997Mo25</a> ). Calculated % $\beta^-n$ =27.2, % $\beta^-2n$ =0.40 ( <a href="#">1997Mo25</a> ). B(E2) $\uparrow$ =0.057 10
660 7	2 $^+$	40 ps 8	<a href="#">CDE</a>	B(E2): weighted average of 0.054 10 ( <a href="#">2005Ch66</a> ) and B(E2)=0.063 13 ( <a href="#">2001Iw07</a> ). Other: $\leq 0.067$ ( <a href="#">1999Pr09</a> ). J $^\pi$ : the only level populated in Coulomb excitation and (p,p' $\gamma$ ). $T_{1/2}$ : 40 ps 8 if B(E2)=0.057 10, <52 ps if B(E2)>0.044 ( <a href="#">2005Ch66</a> , when feeding from 4 $^+$ is considered).
2120? 22	(4 $^+$ )		<a href="#">C</a>	J $^\pi$ : systematics of even-even nuclei, shell-model predictions.

**Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)** $\gamma(^{34}\text{Mg})$ 

E <sub>i</sub> (level)	J <sub>i</sub> <sup>π</sup>	E <sub>γ</sub>	E <sub>f</sub>	J <sub>f</sub> <sup>π</sup>	Comments
660	2 <sup>+</sup>	660 7	0	0 <sup>+</sup>	B(E2)(W.u.)=17 3 E <sub>γ</sub> : weighted average of 685 16 (2006El03), 659 14 (2005Ch66), 660 10 (2001Yo03), 656 7 (2001Iw07).
2120?	(4 <sup>+</sup> )	1460 <sup>†</sup> 20	660	2 <sup>+</sup>	E <sub>γ</sub> : from 2001Yo03 (also 2002Sa11, 2002Mo35, 2002Yo04).

<sup>†</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

Legend

**Adopted Levels, Gammas****Level Scheme**- - - - - ►  $\gamma$  Decay (Uncertain)