

$^{18}\text{O}(^{18}\text{O},3\text{n}\gamma)$ 2009Ch43

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen and Balraj Singh		NDS 199,1 (2025)	30-Sep-2024

2009Ch43: E=34 MeV ^{18}O beam was produced from the 14UD BARC-TIFR Pelletron facility. Target was 1.6 mg/cm² ^{18}O in the form of Ta₂O₅ prepared by heating a 50 mg/cm² Ta foil in an atmosphere of enriched oxygen. γ rays were detected with an array of seven Compton-suppressed Clover Ge detectors placed at 30°, 60°, 90°, 120° and 150° relative to the beam direction. Measured E_γ , I_γ , $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, $\gamma\gamma(\theta)(\text{DCO})$, $\gamma\gamma(\theta, \text{lin pol})$. Deduced levels, J, π , γ -ray multipolarities. Comparisons with truncated (1p-1h) shell-model calculations in the *sdpf* orbital space.

 ^{33}S Levels

E(level) [†]	J ^π [‡]	Comments
0.0	3/2 ⁺	
841.1 8	1/2 ⁺	
1967.6 7	5/2 ⁺	
2935.9 8	7/2 ⁻	
3538.4 13		
3781.0 13	(9/2 ⁺)	J ^π : (5/2 ⁺) in Adopted Levels.
4796.3 16	(11/2 ⁻)	J ^π : (7/2 ⁺) in Adopted Levels.
4867.1 13		T _{1/2} : expected to be much less than 1 ps region, as 1931 γ shows a full Doppler shift (2009Ch43).
5393.3 19		
5990.3 22		

[†] From a least-squares fit to γ -ray energies.

[‡] As proposed in 2009Ch43 based on their $\gamma\gamma(\theta)(\text{DCO})$ and $\gamma\gamma(\text{lin pol})$ data, unless otherwise noted.

 $\gamma(^{33}\text{S})$

DCO ratio corresponds to angles of 90° and 30° (or 150°). Expected ratios are ≈ 1 for $\Delta J=2$, quadrupole and ≈ 0.5 for $\Delta J=1$, dipole, when gated by $\Delta J=2$, quadrupole transition. Ratios are ≈ 2 for $\Delta J=2$, quadrupole and ≈ 1 for $\Delta J=1$, dipole, when gated on $\Delta J=1$, dipole transition (2009Ch43). Several DCO values are from e-mail reply received from the first author of 2009Ch43 on Sept 14, 2009.

For $\gamma\gamma(\text{lin pol})$ data under comments, a positive value indicates an electric nature and a negative value indicates a magnetic nature (2009Ch43).

E_γ [†]	I_γ [†]	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [‡]	Comments
597.0 @ 10		5393.3		4796.3	(11/2 ⁻)		
597.0 @ 10		5990.3		5393.3			
602.5 10	0.11 1	3538.4		2935.9	7/2 ⁻		
841.1 10	>0.63	841.1	1/2 ⁺	0.0	3/2 ⁺		Mult.: (M1+E2) in 2009Ch43 with no supporting data.
845.1 10	0.67 3	3781.0	(9/2 ⁺)	2935.9	7/2 ⁻	(E1) [#]	
968.4 10	48.5 17	2935.9	7/2 ⁻	1967.6	5/2 ⁺	E1+M2	DCO=0.84 14, POL=+0.032 11 gate on 1968 γ .
1015.3 10	0.37 2	4796.3	(11/2 ⁻)	3781.0	(9/2 ⁺)	(E1) [#]	
1126.5 10	0.63 2	1967.6	5/2 ⁺	841.1	1/2 ⁺		Mult.: (E2) in 2009Ch43 with no supporting data.
1931.2 10	0.62 3	4867.1		2935.9	7/2 ⁻		
1967.6 10	100 3	1967.6	5/2 ⁺	0.0	3/2 ⁺	M1+E2	DCO=1.51 25, POL=+0.005 9 gate on 968 γ .
2935.6 10	46.7 16	2935.9	7/2 ⁻	0.0	3/2 ⁺		Mult.: (M2+E3) in 2009Ch43, with no supporting data.

[†] From 2009Ch43.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{18}\text{O}(^{18}\text{O},3n\gamma)$ 2009Ch43 (continued) $\gamma(^{33}\text{S})$ (continued)

‡ As proposed by 2009Ch43 based on $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ (DCO) and $\gamma\gamma(\text{lin pol})$ data.

Multipolarity consistent with qualitative $\gamma\gamma(\text{lin pol})$ results (2009Ch43).

@ Multiply placed.

 $^{18}\text{O}(^{18}\text{O},3n\gamma)$ 2009Ch43Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative I_γ

Legend

- \longrightarrow $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
 \longrightarrow $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
 \longrightarrow $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$

