

^{33}Na β^- decay (8.0 ms) 2001Nu02,1984Gu19

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen and Balraj Singh	NDS 112, 1393 (2011)		31-Mar-2011

Parent: ^{33}Na : $E=0.0$; $J^\pi=(3/2^+)$; $T_{1/2}=8.0$ ms 4; $Q(\beta^-)=19.94\times 10^3$ 88; $\% \beta^-$ decay=100.0

^{33}Na - $Q(\beta^-)$: from 2009AuZZ. Other: 20.00×10^3 88 (2003Au03).

^{33}Na - $T_{1/2}$: from timing of β , delayed neutrons and γ rays (2001Nu02). Other: 8.4 ms 4 (1984Gu19).

^{33}Na - J^π : From systematics of odd-Na nuclides (2002Ra16), $3/2^+$ is favored. Shell-model calculations by 2001Nu02 predict $3/2^+$ and $5/2^+$ within 60 keV; and those of 2011GaZZ $3/2^+$.

^{33}Na - $\% \beta^-$ decay: $\% \beta^- n=47$ 6, $\% \beta^- 2n=13$ 3 (2001Nu02).

2001Nu02, 2002Ra16 (also 2002Nu02,2004Co29): Measured E_γ , I_γ , half-life, $\gamma\gamma$, $\beta\gamma$ coin, $\beta\gamma\gamma$ coin, $\beta n\gamma$ coin, $n\gamma$ coin, delayed neutrons, ISOLDE facility. Shell-model calculations.

1984Gu19: Four unplaced γ rays reported.

Other:

2006AnZW: ^{33}Mg produced in fragmentation of ^{36}S beam at GANIL facility, measured γ and delayed neutrons from its decay.

^{33}Na also decays to ^{31}Mg by $\beta^- 2n$ (13% 3) and to ^{32}Mg by $\beta^- n$ (47% 6); total.

All data are from 2001Nu02, unless otherwise stated.

The data on neutron energies are not available in detail to deduce all the level energies in ^{33}Mg populated by ^{33}Na β decay.

Several γ rays are unplaced and there still could be unobserved transitions in the large energy gap allowed by the $Q(\beta^-)$ value.

 ^{33}Mg Levels

E(level)	J^π [†]	Comments
0	$3/2^-$	
158.8?		E(level): 2001Nu02 propose a level at 158 keV with $J^\pi=(7/2^-)$ from tentative placement of 546 γ from 705 level to a 158 level, but no γ decay from this level was reported. The 546.2 γ is now placed from a level of this energy, thus the existence of 158 level is suspect and it has been omitted in Adopted Levels.
484.1 1	$(3/2^-)$	
546.2 1		
705.0 1	$(1/2^+, 3/2^+, 5/2^+)$	J^π : 2001Nu02 propose $(5/2^+)$.
1242.4 1	$(1/2^+, 3/2, 5/2)$	J^π : 2001Nu02 propose $(1/2^+)$.
3780 [‡]		E(level): from neutron group at 800 60 keV.
4000 [‡]		E(level): from neutron group at 1020 80 keV.

[†] From Adopted Levels.

[‡] Decays to ^{32}Mg by neutron emission.

 β^- radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^-$ ^{‡‡}	$\log ft$ [†]	Comments
$(1.87\times 10^4$ 9)	1242.4	2.9 12	6.0	av $E\beta=9.04\times 10^3$ 44
$(1.92\times 10^4$ 9)	705.0	7 3	5.6	av $E\beta=9.30\times 10^3$ 44
$(1.94\times 10^4$ 9)	546.2	9 4	5.5	
$(1.95\times 10^4$ # 9)	484.1	<1.2	>6.4	av $E\beta=9.41\times 10^3$ 44
$(1.99\times 10^4$ 9)	0	20 10	5.2	av $E\beta=9.65\times 10^3$ 44

[†] The evaluators consider the level scheme as incomplete and tentative, thus all β branches are considered as upper limits and associated $\log ft$ values as lower limits.

[‡] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

Existence of this branch is questionable.

$^{33}\text{Na } \beta^- \text{ decay (8.0 ms) } \quad 2001\text{Nu02}, 1984\text{Gu19 (continued)}$ $\gamma(^{33}\text{Mg})$

I γ normalization: from absolute γ -intensity measurements (2001Nu02) using the activity of well-known ^{26}Na as standard, simultaneous detection of β and γ rays, and efficiencies of β - and γ -detectors.

E_γ	$I_\gamma^{\dagger\#}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Comments
221.0 1	8.7 10	705.0	(1/2 ⁺ , 3/2 ⁺ , 5/2 ⁺)	484.1	(3/2 ⁻)	E_γ, I_γ : doublet. The second component is assigned to a transition in ^{31}Mg populated by $^{33}\text{Na } \beta^- 2n$ decay.
^x 297.9 [‡] 1	4.0 4					
484.1 1	18.7 19	484.1	(3/2 ⁻)	0	3/2 ⁻	$E_\gamma=484.9$ 10, $I_\gamma=14$ 11 (1984Gu19).
546.2 1	40 4	546.2		0	3/2 ⁻	2001Nu02 considered different scenarios for the placement of 546 γ and proposed placement from 705 level to a 159 level as the probable one. However, this γ could also define a level of this energy, a possibility which 2001Nu02 considered less likely based on rather weak arguments. 2006El03 observed a 561 17 γ in $^1\text{H}(^{34}\text{Mg}, ^{33}\text{Mg}\gamma)$, which they suggested was most likely the same as 546.2 γ in decay work, but no 704.9 γ was seen in this study, which may imply that 546.2 γ and 704.9 γ de-excite different levels. In Adopted Levels, Gammas, the evaluators have defined a level at 546.2 keV.
546.2 [@]		705.0	(1/2 ⁺ , 3/2 ⁺ , 5/2 ⁺)	158.8?		$E_\gamma=546.5$ 10, $I_\gamma=40$ 13 (1984Gu19). E_γ : tentative placement (2001Nu02), but the evaluators suggest that the main placement is from 546 level based on the observation of a 546 γ in $^1\text{H}(^{34}\text{Mg}, ^{33}\text{Mg}\gamma)$ (2006El03) but not the 704.9 γ .
704.9 1	23.2 21	705.0	(1/2 ⁺ , 3/2 ⁺ , 5/2 ⁺)	0	3/2 ⁻	$E_\gamma=704.3$ 10, $I_\gamma=23$ 11 (1984Gu19).
758.2 1	6.1 7	1242.4	(1/2 ⁺ , 3/2, 5/2)	484.1	(3/2 ⁻)	
^x 845.7 [‡] 2	2.5 4					
^x 1011.3 [‡] 2	1.6 4					
1242.8 2	7.1 19	1242.4	(1/2 ⁺ , 3/2, 5/2)	0	3/2 ⁻	$E_\gamma=1242.6$ 18, $I_\gamma=26$ 10 (1984Gu19).
^x 1857.7 [‡] 4	4.1 6					
^x 1976.9 5	6.7 19					
^x 2236.9 5	7.0 9					

[†] Relative to 100 for 885.3 γ in ^{32}Mg populated in β^-n decay of ^{33}Na .

[‡] The evaluators note that 1857.7-1011.3=846.4, which matches the gamma energy of 845.7 2 keV within the uncertainty range and may suggest a level at 1011.3+845.7=1856 and another level either at 1011 or 846. The evaluators tentatively define a level at 1856.7 keV. Also 845.7-297.9=547.8 which is close in energy to 546.2 γ .

[#] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.22 8.

[@] Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

^{33}Na β^- decay (8.0 ms) 2001Nu02,1984Gu19

Decay Scheme

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- - - - -→ γ Decay (Uncertain)
- Coincidence

