

Adopted Levels, Gammas

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen	NDS 201,1 (2025)	31-Oct-2024

Q(β^-)=227.2 3; S(n)=9200.0 3; S(p)=16416.0 23; Q(α)=-11482.7 4 [2021Wa16](#)

S(2n)=15787.36 30, S(2p)=29774.3 13 ([2021Wa16](#)).

Mass measurements: [2009Kw02](#), [2003BI17](#), [2001Pa52](#), [1997Ro26](#).

Mass deduced from IMME analysis: [2021Ka45](#), [2010Ka30](#), [2006Tr03](#).

Strong absorption radius measurement: [1999Ai02](#).

³²Si activity standardization measurements: [2023Ko25](#), [2023Ne09](#).

Structure calculations:

[2022Da11](#),[2022Lu03](#),[2020Fo04](#),[2017Ro08](#),[2017Ts01](#),[2015Pu01](#),[2009Bo16](#): calculated levels, J, π , B(E2).

[2021In02](#),[2021Ku13](#): calculated deformation parameter.

[Additional information 1](#).

³²Si Levels

Cross Reference (XREF) Flags

A	³² Al β^- decay (32.3 ms)	E	³⁰ Si(t,py)
B	³³ Al β^- n decay (41.5 ms)	F	³¹ Si(n, γ) E=th
C	¹² C(²² Ne,2p γ)	G	²⁰⁸ Pb(³⁷ Cl,X γ)
D	³⁰ Si(t,p)	H	Coulomb excitation

E(level) ^{†‡}	J π	T _{1/2} [#]	XREF	Comments
0.0	0 ⁺	157 y 7	ABCDEFGH	<p>$\% \beta^- = 100$</p> <p>$r_0^2 = 1.15 \text{ fm}^2$ 7 (1999Ai02 in Si(³²Si,X) at 44.78 MeV/nucleon). Also cross section measured in this work.</p> <p>$\delta < r^2 >^{32,28} = 0.195 \text{ fm}^2$ 76 (2024Ko07).</p> <p>R_{ch}=3.153 fm 12 (2024Ko07).</p> <p>T_{1/2}: weighted average (NRM) of 159.4 y 56 (2015HeZY); 178 y 10 (1998Ni19); 132 y 13 (1993Ch10, average of 128 y 20 and 134 y 16); 162 y 12 (1991Th06); 133 y 9 (1990Ho27, average of 135 y 10, 132 y 9 and 136 13, uncertainty increased to 9.9 y in NRM); 172 y 4 (1986Al10, uncertainty increased to 7.5 y in NRM); 108 y 18 (1980EI01, uncertainty increased to 20 y in NRM); and 101 y 18 (1980Ku11, uncertainty increased to 22 y in NRM). Normalized $\chi^2 = 4.4$, as compared to critical $\chi^2 = 2.0$. Unweighted average is 143 y 10, while regular weighted average is 161 y 7, with normalized $\chi^2 = 6.4$. The T_{1/2} values from indirect methods, described below, were not used in the averaging procedure because the accumulation rates of ³²Si in ice cores and sediments are not known well, and the cross sections in reactions are poorly known for determining yields that were used to determine the half-life in the pre-1970 measurements.</p> <p>Direct, specific activity methods for half-life measurement: 1993Ch10: source from implantation of separated projectile (⁴⁰Ar beam) fragments into an inert collector, decay equilibrium technique, two independent samples. 1991Th06: source produced in ¹⁸O(¹⁶O,2p) reaction. ³²Si/³¹Si abundance ratio using AMS (accelerator mass spectrometry), and β scintillation spectrometry. 1990Ho27: source produced in ³⁷Cl(p,X) and ³¹P(n,p) reactions. ³²Si/Si abundance ratio by AMS, and β spectrometry; three independent samples. 1980Ku11: source from ³⁰Si(t,p), AMS technique and β-scintillation spectrometry. 1980EI01: source from Cl(p,X), AMS technique and β-scintillation spectrometry.</p> <p>Direct decay rate methods for half-life measurement: 2015HeZY: used the same detector system and source as in 1986Al10. Counting for 6000 hours between June 2013 and June 2015. 1986Al10: source from ³⁰Si(t,p), β decay rate measured over four years.</p>

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued) ^{32}Si Levels (continued)

<u>E(level)^{†‡}</u>	<u>J^π</u>	<u>T_{1/2}[#]</u>	<u>XREF</u>	<u>Comments</u>
				Indirect methods (accumulation rates of the naturally occurring ^{32}Si in different environments) for T _{1/2} : 178 y 10 (1998Ni19, measurement of the decrease of activity with depth in an accurately dated varved sediment core from the Kassjon lake, North Sweden, note that this value is close to the values from direct measurements, thus included in averaging); 276 y 32 (1980De46, natural source from varved core of Gulf of California, later corrected to 217 y 29 by J.B. Cumming, Radiochem. Radioanal. Lett. 58, 297 (1983)); 330 y 40 (1973Cl15, natural source from Greenland ice cores, later corrected to 250 y in 1980De46).
				Indirect methods (reaction yields, mainly in successive neutron captures in ^{30}Si) for T _{1/2} : ≈280 y (Jantsch, Kernenergie 10, 89 (1967)); ≈500 y (1964Ho31); ≈650 y (1962Ge16); ≈42 y (1957Ro59, 600 y/barn for $^{31}\text{Si}(n,\gamma)$ reaction, and σ=0.07 for E=thermal); ≈60 y (1954Tu02); ≈710 y (1953Li21). See 1991Ku26 for a review of ^{32}Si half-life measurements, 2009Se07 for discussion of possible oscillations in exponential decay of ^{32}Si in the measurement by 1986Al10; and 2010Ja03 and 2010St07 for power-spectrum analyses and discussion of variation of decay constant from solar influence. Using the BNL counting system and the ^{32}Si and ^{36}Cl sources (as used by 1986Al10), 2018Fi04 investigated correlation between the two decays in a 5-hour time interval immediately following the GW170817 binary neutron star inspiral on August 17, 2017; claiming observation of a correlation of the two decay rates on August 17, 2017, with an upward fluctuation peaking at 93 min following the arrival of the gravity wave detected by the LIGO apparatus (2017Ab06). See also 2021Ve11 for separation and purification of non-carrier-added source of ^{32}S in preparation for a precise re-determination of ^{32}Si half-life.
1941.7 3	2 ⁺	0.57 ps 9	ABCDE GH	Q=0.11 10 (2024He01) B(E2)↑=0.0135 20 XREF: H(1930) J ^π : 1941.4γ E2 to 0 ⁺ ; L(t,p)=2. T _{1/2} : unweighted average of 0.33 ps 5 (1974Gu11), 0.64 ps 22 (1972Pr18) from DSAM in (t,pγ), 0.54 ps 8 from ($^{22}\text{Ne},2p\gamma$), and 0.76 ps +13-10 from adopted B(E2)↑=0.0135 20 from Coulomb excitation. B(E2)↑: weighted average of 0.0113 33 (1998Ib01) and 0.0143 20 (2024He01) in Coulomb excitation.
4231.0 4	2 ⁺	0.26 ps 9	A DE	Q: from Coulomb excitation (2024He01).
4984.2 11	0 ⁺	≤0.30 ps	A DE	J ^π : spin=2 from pγ(θ) in (t,pγ); L(t,p)=2 from 0 ⁺ . XREF: D(4996)
5219.9 11	(1 ⁺)	<80 fs	CDE	J ^π : L(t,p)=0 from 0 ⁺ . XREF: D(5229)
5289.0 5	3 ⁻	137 fs 28	CDE	J ^π : proposed by 1982Fo02 in (t,p) based on shell-model prediction and possible unnatural-parity state.
5412.5 4	1 ⁽⁻⁾	<49 fs	E	J ^π : spin=3 from pγ(θ) in (t,pγ); L(t,p)=3 from 0 ⁺ . T _{1/2} : weighted average of 180 fs 62 from ($^{22}\text{Ne},2p\gamma$) and 128 fs 28 from (t,pγ), both by DSAM.
5427 14	2 ⁺		D	E(level): see comment for 5427 level. J ^π : spin 1 from pγ(θ) and natural parity suggested from relative excitation in (t,pγ).
5504.81 34	5 ⁻	32.5 ns 4	CDE G	E(level): this level from (t,p) is considered different from the 5412 level in (t,pγ), as the spin assignments in (t,p) and (t,pγ) are different. J ^π : L(t,p)=2 from 0 ⁺ .
5583.8? 11	(5 ⁻)	27 ns 2	G	J ^π : 3563.8γ E3, ΔJ=3 to 2 ⁺ . Additional information 2. T _{1/2} : from 3563γ(t) in ($^{22}\text{Ne},2p\gamma$) (2023Wi06). Other: 33.4 ns 5 (2002AsZY). E(level): level proposed by 1997Fo01 (also 1998Fo07) in $^{208}\text{Pb}(^{37}\text{Cl},X)$. But

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued) ^{32}Si Levels (continued)

E(level) ^{†‡}	J ^π	T _{1/2} [#]	XREF	Comments
				2002AsZY using $^{198}\text{Pt}(^{37}\text{Cl},\text{X})$ at 9 MeV/nucleon did not confirm this level since they did not observe a 79-keV γ ray. It is not confirmed in ($^{22}\text{Ne},2\text{p}\gamma$) by 2023Wi06 .
				J ^π : assigned in 1997Fo01 , from systematics of (5 ⁻) to (4 ⁺) transitions in N=18 isotones, e.g., ^{34}S and ^{36}Ar .
5772.2 5	(3 ⁻)	28 fs 21	C E	T _{1/2} : from $\gamma(\text{t})$ in $^{208}\text{Pb}(^{37}\text{Cl},\text{X})$ (1997Fo01). J ^π : 3831 γ D+Q to 2 ⁺ ; (3 ⁻) from shell-model predictions in ($^{22}\text{Ne},2\text{p}\gamma$).
5786.0 15	(0,1,2) ⁺	≥0.83 ps	A DE	T _{1/2} : from DSAM in ($^{22}\text{Ne},2\text{p}\gamma$). Other: <139 fs from DSAM in (t,p γ). J ^π : allowed β feeding (log ft=4.8) from 1 ⁺ parent. One component of a doublet at 5786 6 with L(t,p)=(0) could correspond this level and the other component could correspond to 5773 level.
5880.9 14	4 ⁺	12.5 fs 56	C	J ^π : 3938.9 γ E2, $\Delta J=2$ to 2 ⁺ .
5893 8	(3 ⁺)		D	T _{1/2} : from DSAM in ($^{22}\text{Ne},2\text{p}\gamma$) (2003Wi06). E(level): possible doublet in (p,t) (1982Fo02). J ^π : tentatively proposed by 1980Fo02 in (t,p) based on theoretical predictions.
5953.8 7	2 ⁺	≤55 fs	E	J ^π : spin=2 from p $\gamma(\theta)$ in (t,p γ); 5953 γ E2 to 0 ⁺ .
5967 4	3 ⁻		D	E(level): this level in (p,t) is considered different from the 5954 in (t,p γ), as the spins from the two studies are different.
6171.0 11	(2 ⁺)	≤55 fs	dE	J ^π : L(t,p)=3 from 0 ⁺ . XREF: d(6208)
6195.8 7	1 ⁻	≤38 fs	dE	E(level),J ^π : 6208 9 with L=1+2 in (t,p) is a doublet. See comment for 6196 level. XREF: d(6208)
6243.1 11	0 ⁺	≤55 fs	DE	E(level),J ^π : 6208 9 with L=1+2 in (t,p) is a doublet, with L=1 component corresponding to the 6196, J=1 level in (t,p γ) and L=2 component possibly corresponding to the 6170 level in (t,p γ). Spin=1 from p $\gamma(\theta)$ in (t,p γ). XREF: D(6256)
6347.0 5	(4 ⁻)	0.68 ps 10	C	J ^π : L(t,p)=0 from 0 ⁺ . J ^π : from shell-model predictions (2023We06).
6392.1 8	2 ⁺	<42 fs	DE	XREF: D(6394)
6477 6	3 ⁻		D	J ^π : L(t,p)=2 from 0 ⁺ .
6705.3 6	1 ⁻		DE	J ^π : L(t,p)=3 from 0 ⁺ . XREF: D(6734)
6860 5	3 ⁻		D	J ^π : spin=1 from p $\gamma(\theta)$ in (t,p γ); L(t,p)=1 from 0 ⁺ .
7083 5	2 ⁺		D	J ^π : L(t,p)=3 from 0 ⁺ .
7482 9			D	J ^π : L(t,p)=2 from 0 ⁺ .
7743 6			D	
7793 9	3 ⁻ ,4 ⁺		D	J ^π : L(t,p)=3,4 from 0 ⁺ .
7887 18			D	
7978 14	3 ⁻		D	J ^π : L(t,p)=3 from 0 ⁺ .
8066 9	2 ⁺		D	J ^π : L(t,p)=2 from 0 ⁺ .
8321 8	5 ⁻		D	J ^π : L(t,p)=5 from 0 ⁺ .
8361 10	2 ⁺		D	J ^π : L(t,p)=2 from 0 ⁺ .
8422 10			D	
8567 8	3 ⁻		D	J ^π : L(t,p)=3 from 0 ⁺ .
8650 15	2 ⁺		D	J ^π : L(t,p)=2 from 0 ⁺ .
8758 9	3 ⁻ ,4 ⁺		D	J ^π : L(t,p)=3,4 from 0 ⁺ .
8842 13			D	
8877 8			D	
8971 9			D	
9003 7			D	
9192 12			D	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued) ^{32}Si Levels (continued)

E(level) ^{†‡}	J ^π	XREF	Comments
(9203.218 5)	1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺	F	E(level): this value is in disagreement with S(n)=9200.0 3 in 2021Wa16 . J ^π : s-wave capture in 3/2 ⁺ g.s. of ^{31}S .
9543 6		D	
9701 6		D	
9782 12		D	
9934 29		D	
9975 25		D	
10052 5		D	
10237 5		D	
10279 6		D	
10317 5		D	
10461 9		D	
10603 15		D	
10664 14		D	
10725 9		D	
10778 13		D	
10846 13		D	
10888 12		D	
10971 9		D	
11398 7		D	
11454 8		D	

[†] Additional information 3.

[‡] From E γ data for levels connected with γ transitions and from (t,p) for others, unless otherwise noted. Where there are no $\Delta E\gamma$, level energies are taken from (t,p γ) where quoted values of E(level) are deduced based on measured γ -ray energies which however are not explicitly listed in the references of (t,p γ).

[#] From DSAM in (t,p γ) for excited levels, unless otherwise stated.

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)

$\gamma(^{32}\text{Si})$

Additional information 4.

$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_γ^\dagger	I_γ^\ddagger	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. #	$\delta^\#$	$\alpha^@$	Comments
1941.7	2 ⁺	1941.8 4	100	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		0.000293 4	B(E2)(W.u.)=6.0 +11-8 $\alpha(\text{K})=7.28\times 10^{-6}$ 10; $\alpha(\text{L})=5.19\times 10^{-7}$ 7; $\alpha(\text{M})=3.42\times 10^{-8}$ 5 $\alpha(\text{IPF})=0.000286$ 4 E _γ : unweighted average of 1941.4 3 from ³² Al β ⁻ decay and 1942.12 9 from (²² Ne,2pγ). Other: 1930 31 from Coulomb excitation.
4231.0	2 ⁺	2289.4 8	63 7	1941.7	2 ⁺	M1+E2	-0.84 44	0.000408 26	B(M1)(W.u.)=0.0016 +12-7; B(E2)(W.u.)=0.9 +7-6 $\alpha(\text{K})=5.16\times 10^{-6}$ 14; $\alpha(\text{L})=3.68\times 10^{-7}$ 10; $\alpha(\text{M})=2.43\times 10^{-8}$ 7 $\alpha(\text{IPF})=0.000403$ 26 I _γ : weighted average of 79 22 from ³² Al β ⁻ decay and 61 7 from (t,pγ).
		4230.0 15	100 7	0.0	0 ⁺	(E2)		1.25×10 ⁻³ 2	B(E2)(W.u.)=0.16 +9-4 $\alpha(\text{K})=2.083\times 10^{-6}$ 29; $\alpha(\text{L})=1.486\times 10^{-7}$ 21; $\alpha(\text{M})=9.79\times 10^{-9}$ 14 $\alpha(\text{IPF})=0.001250$ 18 I _γ : from (t,pγ). Other: 100 22 from ³² Al β ⁻ decay.
4984.2	0 ⁺	3042.3 10	100	1941.7	2 ⁺	[E2]		0.000807 11	B(E2)(W.u.)≥1.2 $\alpha(\text{K})=3.40\times 10^{-6}$ 5; $\alpha(\text{L})=2.427\times 10^{-7}$ 34; $\alpha(\text{M})=1.600\times 10^{-8}$ 22 $\alpha(\text{IPF})=0.000803$ 11
5219.9	(1 ⁺)	3278	100	1941.7	2 ⁺	[M1,E2]		0.00084 7	$\alpha(\text{K})=2.96\times 10^{-6}$ 8; $\alpha(\text{L})=2.11\times 10^{-7}$ 6; $\alpha(\text{M})=1.39\times 10^{-8}$ 4 $\alpha(\text{IPF})=0.00083$ 7
5289.0	3 ⁻	1057.9	12 5	4231.0	2 ⁺	(E1(+M2))	0.0 2		B(M1)(W.u.)>0.0078 if M1, B(E2)(W.u.)>3.1 if E2. B(E1)(W.u.)=0.00044 19 Mult.: D(+Q) from pγ(θ) in (t,pγ); Δπ=yes from level scheme.
		3347.1	100 5	1941.7	2 ⁺	(E1+M2)	-0.06 4	1.41×10 ⁻³ 2	B(E1)(W.u.)=1.16×10 ⁻⁴ +30-21; B(M2)(W.u.)=0.17 +32-15 $\alpha(\text{K})=2.110\times 10^{-6}$ 32; $\alpha(\text{L})=1.505\times 10^{-7}$ 23; $\alpha(\text{M})=9.91\times 10^{-9}$ 15 $\alpha(\text{IPF})=0.001404$ 20 Mult.: D+Q from pγ(θ) in (t,pγ); Δπ=yes from level scheme.
5412.5	1 ⁽⁻⁾	1181.5	11 4	4231.0	2 ⁺	[E1]		5.70×10 ⁻⁵ 8	$\alpha(\text{K})=9.69\times 10^{-6}$ 14; $\alpha(\text{L})=6.92\times 10^{-7}$ 10; $\alpha(\text{M})=4.56\times 10^{-8}$ 6 $\alpha(\text{IPF})=4.65\times 10^{-5}$ 7 B(E1)(W.u.)>4.6×10 ⁻⁴
		3470.6	100 4	1941.7	2 ⁺	(E1(+M2))	+0.13 33	0.00145 14	$\alpha(\text{K})=2.04\times 10^{-6}$ 26; $\alpha(\text{L})=1.45\times 10^{-7}$ 19; $\alpha(\text{M})=9.6\times 10^{-9}$ 12 $\alpha(\text{IPF})=0.00145$ 14

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)

$\gamma(^{32}\text{Si})$ (continued)

<u>$E_i(\text{level})$</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_γ^\dagger</u>	<u>I_γ^\ddagger</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.#</u>	<u>$\delta^\#$</u>	<u>$\alpha^@$</u>	<u>Comments</u>
5412.5	1 ⁽⁻⁾	5411.9	12.4 25	0.0	0 ⁺	(E1)		2.15×10 ⁻³ 3	B(E1)(W.u.)>2.1×10 ⁻⁴ Mult.: D(+Q) from $p\gamma(\theta)$ in (t,p γ); $\Delta\pi$ =(yes) from level scheme. $\alpha(\text{K})=1.188\times 10^{-6}$ 17; $\alpha(\text{L})=8.47\times 10^{-8}$ 12; $\alpha(\text{M})=5.58\times 10^{-9}$ 8 $\alpha(\text{IPF})=0.002144$ 30 B(E1)(W.u.)>6.6×10 ⁻⁶ Mult.: D from $p\gamma(\theta)$ in (t,p γ); $\Delta\pi$ =(yes) from level scheme.
5504.81	5 ⁻	3562.84 14	100	1941.7	2 ⁺	E3		0.000747 10	B(E3)(W.u.)=0.0843 11 $\alpha(\text{K})=3.60\times 10^{-6}$ 5; $\alpha(\text{L})=2.57\times 10^{-7}$ 4; $\alpha(\text{M})=1.691\times 10^{-8}$ 24 $\alpha(\text{IPF})=0.000743$ 10 E_γ ,Mult.: from (² Ne,2p γ), with Mult based on $\gamma\gamma(\text{DCO})$ and $\gamma(\text{lin pol})$. Additional information 5.
5583.8?	(5 ⁻)	79& 1	100	5504.81	5 ⁻				E_γ : from 1997Fo01 in ²⁰⁸ Pb(³⁷ Cl,X), not confirmed by 2002AsZY and 2023Wi06.
5772.2	(3 ⁻)	3831	100	1941.7	2 ⁺	(E1+M2)			Mult.: D+Q from $\gamma(\theta)$ in (t,p γ); $\Delta\pi$ =(yes) from level scheme. δ : <0.06 from RUL=3 for B(M2)(W.u.). B(E1)(W.u.)=4×10 ⁻⁴ +5-2 if E1.
5786.0	(0,1,2) ⁺	3844.0 15	100	1941.7	2 ⁺				B(E2)(W.u.)=8 +6-3
5880.9	4 ⁺	3938.9 13		1941.7	2 ⁺	E2		1.15×10 ⁻³ 2	$\alpha(\text{K})=2.307\times 10^{-6}$ 32; $\alpha(\text{L})=1.646\times 10^{-7}$ 23; $\alpha(\text{M})=1.085\times 10^{-8}$ 15 $\alpha(\text{IPF})=0.001148$ 16 E_γ ,Mult.: from (²² Ne,2p γ), with Mult based on $\gamma\gamma(\text{DCO})$ and $\gamma(\text{lin pol})$.
5953.8	2 ⁺	4012	100 4	1941.7	2 ⁺	(M1(+E2))	-0.01 6	1.03×10 ⁻³ 1	B(M1)(W.u.)≥0.0044 $\alpha(\text{K})=2.173\times 10^{-6}$ 30; $\alpha(\text{L})=1.550\times 10^{-7}$ 22; $\alpha(\text{M})=1.022\times 10^{-8}$ 14 $\alpha(\text{IPF})=0.001030$ 14 B(E2)(W.u.)<123 upper limit exceeds RUL=100. Mult.: D(+Q) from $p\gamma(\theta)$ in (t,p γ); $\Delta\pi$ =no from level scheme.
		5953	35 4	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		1.74×10 ⁻³ 2	B(E2)(W.u.)≥0.052 $\alpha(\text{K})=1.308\times 10^{-6}$ 18; $\alpha(\text{L})=9.33\times 10^{-8}$ 13; $\alpha(\text{M})=6.15\times 10^{-9}$ 9 $\alpha(\text{IPF})=0.001735$ 24

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)

$\gamma(^{32}\text{Si})$ (continued)

$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_γ^\dagger	I_γ^\ddagger	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. #	$\delta^\#$	$\alpha^\@$	Comments
6171.0	(2 ⁺)	4229		1941.7	2 ⁺				
6195.8	1 ⁻	4254	100 9	1941.7	2 ⁺	[E1]		1.78×10 ⁻³ 3	$\alpha(\text{K})=1.572\times 10^{-6}$ 22; $\alpha(\text{L})=1.121\times 10^{-7}$ 16; $\alpha(\text{M})=7.39\times 10^{-9}$ 10 $\alpha(\text{IPF})=0.001776$ 25 B(E1)(W.u.) $\geq 1.3\times 10^{-4}$ B(E1)(W.u.) $\geq 2.2\times 10^{-5}$ Mult.: D from $p\gamma(\theta)$ in (t,p γ); $\Delta\pi$ =yes from level scheme.
		6195	56 9	0.0	0 ⁺	(E1)			
6243.1	0 ⁺	4301	100	1941.7	2 ⁺	[E2]		1.28×10 ⁻³ 2	B(E2)(W.u.) ≥ 1.2 $\alpha(\text{K})=2.034\times 10^{-6}$ 28; $\alpha(\text{L})=1.451\times 10^{-7}$ 20; $\alpha(\text{M})=9.57\times 10^{-9}$ 13 $\alpha(\text{IPF})=0.001276$ 18 E_γ : from (²² Ne,2p γ). E_γ : from (²² Ne,2p γ).
6347.0	(4 ⁻)	574.9 3 842.1 3		5772.2 (3 ⁻) 5504.81 5 ⁻					
6392.1	2 ⁺	2161	6.4 11	4231.0	2 ⁺	[M1,E2]		0.00036 4	$\alpha(\text{K})=5.72\times 10^{-6}$ 29; $\alpha(\text{L})=4.08\times 10^{-7}$ 21; $\alpha(\text{M})=2.69\times 10^{-8}$ 14 $\alpha(\text{IPF})=0.00035$ 4 B(M1)(W.u.) >0.0026 if M1, B(E2)(W.u.) >2.4 if E2. B(M1)(W.u.) >0.0055 $\alpha(\text{K})=1.885\times 10^{-6}$ 26; $\alpha(\text{L})=1.344\times 10^{-7}$ 19; $\alpha(\text{M})=8.86\times 10^{-9}$ 12 $\alpha(\text{IPF})=0.001173$ 16 B(E2)(W.u.) <155 upper limit exceeds RUL=100. Mult.: D(+Q) from $p\gamma(\theta)$ in (t,p γ); $\Delta\pi$ =no from level scheme.
		4450	100.0 11	1941.7	2 ⁺	(M1(+E2))	+0.04 4	1.18×10 ⁻³ 2	
6705.3	1 ⁻	2474 4763 6705	22 5 9 7 100 7	4231.0 2 ⁺ 1941.7 2 ⁺ 0.0 0 ⁺					
(9203.218)	1 ^{+,2+}	9201.798 5		0.0 0 ⁺		D			E_γ : from (n, γ).

[†] Values with uncertainties are from ³²Al β^- decay and others from level-energy differences in (t,p γ), unless otherwise noted.

[‡] From (t,p γ), unless otherwise stated.

[#] From $p\gamma(\theta)$ in (t,p γ) with magnetic/electric nature determined based on RUL when level half-lives are known, unless otherwise noted.

[@] [Additional information 6](#).

[&] Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

