

¹²C(²²Ne,2p γ) **2023Wi06**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen	NDS 201,1 (2025)	31-Oct-2024

Adapted from the XUNDL dataset for **2023Wi06**, compiled by G. Gürdal (NNDC,BNL) on December 4, 2023.

2023Wi06: E=56.3 MeV ²²Ne beam was produced from the ISAC-II facility of TRIUMF. Target was a 500 $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ self-supporting foil of natural carbon and another target with a 394 $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ layer of ^{nat}C was used for lifetime measurements. γ -rays were detected using the TIGRESS array consisting of 14 segmented HPGe clovers and charged particles were detected using a 128-channel spherical CsI(Tl) array. Measured E_γ , $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ (DCO), $\gamma(\text{lin pol})$, Doppler-shift attenuation. Deduced levels, J, π , $T_{1/2}$, γ -ray multipolarities, transition strengths. Comparison with theoretical calculations.

³²Si Levels

E(level) ^{†‡}	J π [#]	T _{1/2} [@]	Comments
0	0 ⁺ &		
1942.19 9	2 ⁺ &	541 fs 83	
5221.4 10	(1 ⁺)&		
5287.4 10	3 ⁻ &	180 fs 62	
5505.25 17	5 ⁻	32.5 ns 4	J π : 3562.8 γ E3, $\Delta J=3$ to 2 ⁺ . T _{1/2} : from 3562.84 γ (t) of TIGRESS-CsI timing distribution (2023Wi06). Other: 30.5 ns 28 from $\gamma\gamma$ (t) using 842.1 γ and 3562.84 γ . The authors of 2023Wi06 stated that the large uncertainty in $\gamma\gamma$ (t) is due to the lower statistics.
5772.45 42	(3 ⁻) ^a	28 fs 21	
5881.4 13	4 ⁺	12.5 fs 56	J π : 3938.9 γ E2, $\Delta J=2$ to 2 ⁺ .
6347.36 33	(4 ⁻) ^a	0.68 ps 10	

[†] Additional information 1.

[‡] From a least-squares fit to γ -ray energies, assuming $\Delta E_\gamma=1$ keV where not given.

[#] As proposed in **2023Wi06** based on measured $\gamma\gamma$ (DCO), $\gamma(\text{lin pol})$, and known assignments of low-lying states, unless otherwise stated.

[@] From DSAM with GEANT4 simulations in **2023Wi06**, unless otherwise noted.

& From Adopted Levels.

^a From shell-model predictions (**2023We06**).

γ (³²Si)

B(E2) and B(E3) values under comments are from **2023Wi06**.

E γ [†]	E _i (level)	J π _i	E _f	J π _f	Mult. [‡]	Comments
(217)	5505.25	5 ⁻	5287.4	3 ⁻	[E2]	E γ : not observed; from level-energy difference. B(E2)(W.u.)<0.053, from intensity limit of unobserved transition (2023Wi06).
574.9 3	6347.36	(4 ⁻)	5772.45	(3 ⁻)		
842.1 3	6347.36	(4 ⁻)	5505.25	5 ⁻		
1942.13 9	1942.19	2 ⁺	0	0 ⁺	[E2]	B(E2)(W.u.)=6.3 +11-8.
3279	5221.4	(1 ⁺)	1942.19	2 ⁺		
3345	5287.4	3 ⁻	1942.19	2 ⁺		
3562.84 14	5505.25	5 ⁻	1942.19	2 ⁺	E3	R _{DCO} =1.06 4, $\Delta_{\text{asym}}=+0.032$ 13. B(E3)(W.u.)=0.0841 10.
3830	5772.45	(3 ⁻)	1942.19	2 ⁺		
3938.9 13	5881.4	4 ⁺	1942.19	2 ⁺	E2	R _{DCO} =1.00 9, $\Delta_{\text{asym}}=+0.07$ 3. B(E2)(W.u.)=8 +7-3.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{12}\text{C}(^{22}\text{Ne},2\text{p}\gamma)$ **2023Wi06 (continued)** $\gamma(^{32}\text{Si})$ (continued)

† From **2023Wi06**.

‡ From the R_{DCO} , Δ_{asym} and the decay pattern in **2023Wi06**. Expected R_{DCO} values are ≈ 0.5 for stretched dipole transitions and ≈ 1.0 for stretched quadrupole or octupole transitions when gating on a coincident stretched quadrupole transition. Positive polarization asymmetry Δ_{asym} indicates electric nature and negative value for magnetic nature of a transition.

 $^{12}\text{C}(^{22}\text{Ne},2\text{p}\gamma)$ **2023Wi06**

Legend

Level Scheme-----► γ Decay (Uncertain)