

$^9\text{Be}(^{34}\text{Si},x\gamma)$ 2022Ki08,2021EI06

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen	NDS 201,1 (2025)	31-Oct-2024

- 2022Ki08** (also **2021Ki09**): E=94.8 MeV/nucleon ^{34}Si secondary beam was produced via fragmentation of a 140 MeV/nucleon ^{48}Ca primary beam from the Coupled Cyclotron Facility at NSCL on a 846 mg/cm² ^9Be production target. Fragments were separated with the A1900 fragment separator. The secondary target was 375 mg/cm² ^9Be . Outgoing particles were momentum-analyzed with the S800 magnetic spectrograph and detected with the focal-plane detectors; γ rays were detected with the GRETINA array consisting of 7 modules, with each module housing 4 crystals and each crystal having 36 segments. Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, γ -ray yields, momentum distributions. Deduced levels, J, π , spectroscopic factors. Comparisons with shell-model calculations.
- 2021EI06**: E= 60 MeV/nucleon ^{34}Si secondary beam was produced by fragmentation of a 140 MeV/nucleon ^{48}Ca primary beam on a ^9Be target at NSCL. Fragments were separated with the A1900 fragment separator. The reaction target was 52.9 mg/cm² ^9Be . Reaction residues were analyzed with the S800 spectrograph. γ rays were detected with the GRETINA array of modules each consisting of four segmented HPGe detectors. Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, recoil- γ -coin, recoil distances with the TRIPLEX plunger device. Deduced levels, J, π , $T_{1/2}$, transition strengths. Comparisons with theoretical calculations.
- 2019EI09**: E=86 MeV/nucleon ^{34}Si secondary beam was produced using a 140 MeV/nucleon ^{48}Ca primary beam from the NSCL Coupled Cyclotron facility on a ^9Be production target. The secondary target was 0.57 g/cm² ^9Be , placed 72 cm upstream of the center of GRETINA. γ rays were detected with the Gamma-Ray Energy Tracking In-beam Nuclear Array (GRETINA) array consisting of 10 HPGe detector modules, with four at 58°, two at 90° and four at 122° relative to beam axis. Reaction products were identified by time-of-flight and energy-loss measurements with the S800 spectrograph. Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, particle- γ coin, $\sigma(E\gamma)$. Deduced levels, isomer $T_{1/2}$, transition strengths. Comparisons with neighboring even-even isotopes.
- 2003Ba52** (also **2004Bb03**): E=67.1 MeV/nucleon ^{34}Si beam was produced by fragmentation of 140 MeV ^{40}Ar primary beam on a ^9Be production target. Fragments were separated by the A1900 fragment separator. The reaction target was 375 mg/cm² ^9Be . Reaction residues were analyzed with the S800 spectrograph and γ rays were detected with the SeGA array of fourteen 32-fold segmented Ge detectors. Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, particle- γ -coin, $\sigma(E\gamma)$, parallel-momentum distributions. Deduced levels, J, π . Comparisons with theoretical calculations.

 ^{32}Mg Levels

σ_{exp} given under comments are experimental two-proton knockout cross sections from **2022Ki08**.

Inclusive cross section $\sigma_{\text{inc}}=0.96$ mb 8 at E=94.8 MeV/nucleon (**2022Ki08**), 0.76 mb 10 at E=67.1 MeV/nucleon (**2003Ba52**).

Unplaced $\sigma_{\text{exp}}=0.056$ mb 6 (**2022Ki08**).

E(level) [†]	J π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$ [#]	Comments
0	0 ⁺		$\sigma_{\text{exp}}=0.275$ mb 30.
885.0 10	2 ⁺	13.1 ps 10	$\sigma_{\text{exp}}=0.072$ mb 9.
1050 5	0 ⁺	17 ns 10	J π : from Adopted Levels. $T_{1/2}$: from 10 ns < τ < 38 ns given in 2019EI09 . The lower limit of τ is taken from 2010Wi11 , which is estimated from GEANT4 simulations. 2019EI09 also deduce a lower limit of 8 ns from distribution of decay positions and 1.5 ns from the correlation between partial cross-section and and lifetime for this 0 ⁺ state, which also gives the upper limit $\tau < 38$ ns, based on 0.03 mb < $\sigma(0^+) < 0.10$ mb with the upper limit of σ from 2003Ba52 . B(E2) $\uparrow(2^+$ to $0^+)=0.0048$ +74-20 (2019EI09).
2288.1 22	(0,2) ⁺		$\sigma_{\text{exp}}=0.023$ mb 2.
2322.1 22	4 ⁺	0.62 ps 15	$\sigma_{\text{exp}}=0.071$ mb 7.
2550.9 23	(1 ⁻ ,2 ⁺)		$\sigma_{\text{exp}}=0.020$ mb 3.
2846.1 32	(0,2) ⁺		$\sigma_{\text{exp}}=0.077$ mb 7.
2858.7 27	(2,3) ⁻		$\sigma_{\text{exp}}=0.005$ mb 3.
3037.1 32	(2) ⁻		$\sigma_{\text{exp}}=0.009$ mb 2.
3124.1 32	(3 ⁻ ,4 ⁺)		J π : proposed in 2021EI06 based on γ -decay pattern. $\sigma_{\text{exp}}=0.013$ mb 3.
3480 4	(2) ⁺		J π : (1 ⁻ ,2 ⁺) proposed in 2021EI06 .

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^9\text{Be}(^{34}\text{Si},x\gamma)$ **2022Ki08,2021EI06 (continued)** ^{32}Mg Levels (continued)

E(level) [†]	J ^π [‡]	Comments
3555.1 30	(3,4) ⁻	$\sigma_{\text{exp}}=0.044$ mb 4. $\sigma_{\text{exp}}=0.006$ mb 1.
3678 4	(2,4) ⁺	$\sigma_{\text{exp}}=0.054$ mb 5.
3946 4		$\sigma_{\text{exp}}=0.009$ mb 2.
4095 4	6 ⁺	$\sigma_{\text{exp}}=0.018$ mb 2.
4152.4 28	4 ⁺	$\sigma_{\text{exp}}=0.084$ mb 6.
4707 5	4 ⁺	$\sigma_{\text{exp}}=0.063$ mb 6.
4819 8	(2,3) ⁻	
4920 4	(2,4) ⁺	J ^π : (0,2,4) ⁺ proposed in 2022Ki08 ; 1796 γ to (3 ⁻ ,4 ⁺) disfavors 0 ⁺ . $\sigma_{\text{exp}}=0.023$ mb 2.
5233 5	4 ⁺	$\sigma_{\text{exp}}=0.091$ mb 8. J ^π : (2 ⁺ ,3 ⁻) proposed in 2021EI06 .

[†] From a least-squares fit to γ -ray energies.

[‡] Proposed in [2022Ki08](#) based on measured momentum distributions and shell-model predictions, unless otherwise noted. When considered in Adopted Levels, assignments will be placed inside parentheses if there are no strong supporting arguments from other studies.

From [2021EI06](#) using recoil distance Doppler-shift method (RDDS), unless otherwise noted.

 $\gamma(^{32}\text{Mg})$

E_γ [†]	I_γ [†]	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	Comments
165 5		1050	0 ⁺	885.0	2 ⁺	[E2]	E_γ : from 165 +4(stat)+2(syst) (2019EI09). A value of 170 2 is used by 2019EI09 for deducing B(E2) \uparrow and it is weighted average of their value and 172 2 from 2010Wi11 .
^x 524 1	0.9 1						
885	100 3	885.0	2 ⁺	0	0 ⁺		E_γ : rounded value from Adopted Gammas. Values from this study: 885 20 (2003Ba52), 885 (2019EI09). I_γ : other: 68 7 relative to observed fragments (2003Ba52).
1233 2	0.8 2	3555.1	(3,4) ⁻	2322.1	4 ⁺		
1293 [#] 3	1.1 2	4152.4	4 ⁺	2858.7	(2,3) ⁻		
1403 2	3.5 2	2288.1	(0,2) ⁺	885.0	2 ⁺		
1437 2	38.4 12	2322.1	4 ⁺	885.0	2 ⁺	[E2]	E_γ : other: 1430 20 (2003Ba52). I_γ : others: 31 5 (2021EI06); 32 10 relative to observed fragments (2003Ba52).
1602 [#] 4	0.8 2	4152.4	4 ⁺	2550.9	(1 ⁻ ,2 ⁺)		
1624 3	1.3 2	3946		2322.1	4 ⁺		
1666 3	1.9 2	2550.9	(1 ⁻ ,2 ⁺)	885.0	2 ⁺		
1773 3	2.7 2	4095	6 ⁺	2322.1	4 ⁺		
1796 3	3.4 2	4920	(2,4) ⁺	3124.1	(3 ⁻ ,4 ⁺)		
^x 1917 4	1.8 2						
^x 1958 [‡] 4	15 [‡] 3						
1961 3	11.5 6	2846.1	(0,2) ⁺	885.0	2 ⁺		
1973 3	1.8 4	2858.7	(2,3) ⁻	885.0	2 ⁺		
2152 3	1.4 2	3037.1	(2) ⁻	885.0	2 ⁺		
2239 3	5.3 3	3124.1	(3 ⁻ ,4 ⁺)	885.0	2 ⁺		E_γ : weighted average of 2238 3 (2022Ki08) and 2241 4 (2021EI06). I_γ : other: 8.3 18 (2021EI06).
^x 2296 6	1.5 2						
^x 2384 [‡] 4	10 [‡] 2						

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^9\text{Be}(^{34}\text{Si},x\gamma)$ 2022Ki08,2021El06 (continued) $\gamma(^{32}\text{Mg})$ (continued)

E_γ †	I_γ †	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Comments
2385 4	9.4 4	4707	4 ⁺	2322.1	4 ⁺	
2551 4	1.8 2	2550.9	(1 ⁻ ,2 ⁺)	0	0 ⁺	
2595 4	6.6 4	3480	(2) ⁺	885.0	2 ⁺	E_γ, I_γ : other: 2595 6 with $I_\gamma=10$ 3 (2021El06).
2793 4	8.1 4	3678	(2,4) ⁺	885.0	2 ⁺	
2911 4	13.7 5	5233	4 ⁺	2322.1	4 ⁺	E_γ : weighted average of 2908 4 (2022Ki08) and 2915 5 (2021El06). I_γ : other: 10 2 (2021El06).
^x 3261 ‡ 12	7 ‡ 2					
3268 5	10.8 4	4152.4	4 ⁺	885.0	2 ⁺	
^x 3415 7	0.7 2					
3934 8		4819	(2,3) ⁻	885.0	2 ⁺	
^x 3961 7	1.9 2					
^x 4304 20	0.5 2					
^x 4364 13	1.0 2					

† From 2022Ki08, unless otherwise noted. Intensities are relative to $I_\gamma(885\gamma)=100$. A 3% systematic uncertainty from efficiency calibration as stated in 2022Ki08 has been added in quadrature by the evaluator for intensities from 2022Ki08.

‡ From 2021El06. Unplaced transitions are in coincidence with the 885-keV transition. However, as they could populate a higher-lying state which decays to the first 2⁺ state, they are not assigned a position in the level scheme (2021El06).

Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

$^9\text{Be}(^{34}\text{Si},x\gamma)$ 2022Ki08,2021E106

Legend

Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative I_γ

- ▶ $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- ▶ $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- ▶ $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- - -▶ γ Decay (Uncertain)

