## ${}^{1}$ H( ${}^{32}$ Mg, ${}^{32}$ Mg' $\gamma$ ) 2009Ta08

		History	
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen	NDS 201,1 (2025)	31-Oct-2024

2009Ta08: E=46.5 MeV/nucleon <sup>32</sup>Mg beam was produced by fragmentation of a 94 MeV/nucleon <sup>40</sup>Ar primary beam provided by the K=540 ring cyclotron on a 370 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> <sup>9</sup>Be production target at RIKEN. Fragments were analyzed using the RIPS fragment separator and identified using time-of-flight and energy loss measurements taken by two plastic scintillators and a silicon detector. Scattered particles from the reaction were analyzed with the spectrometer TOMBEE and identified using a plastic scintillator, a silicon detector, and a NaI(T1) scintillator array.  $\gamma$  rays were detected using the DALI2 array consisting of 160 NaI(T1) crystals. Measured E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin,  $\sigma(\theta)$ . Deduced levels, J,  $\pi$ , L-transfers, deformation parameters. Comparisons with coupled-channel calculations. See also 2006Ta31 with E=57 MeV/nucleon.

### Others:

2014Mi09: E=58.9 MeV/nucleon <sup>32</sup>Mg beam was produced by fragmentation of a 63 MeV/nucleon <sup>48</sup>Ca primary beam with <sup>181</sup>Ta and enriched <sup>64</sup>Ni target foils of 150  $\mu$ m and 200  $\mu$ m thicknesses, respectively at RFQ-RILAC-CSM-RRC accelerator at RIKEN facility. The fragments were separated and identified by RIPS fragment separator and identified using measurements of magnetic rigidity (B $\rho$ ), time-of-flight (tof), and energy loss ( $\Delta$ E-E). The secondary target was liquid hydrogen (CRYPTA). Scattered particles were analyzed by the TOMBEE spectrometer.  $\gamma$  rays were detected with the DALI2 array of 160 NaI(Tl) crystals. Measured  $E\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, cross section. Deduced deformation parameter from the analysis of measured angle-integrated cross section by coupled-channel calculations. Comparison with shell-model (SDPF-M) calculations, and with previous experimental results.

2012Li45: E≈215 MeV/nucleon (≈190 MeV/nucleon at the center of the target)  ${}^{32}$ Mg beam with an intensity of about 10<sup>3</sup> particle/s was produced by bombarding a primary beam of  ${}^{48}$ Ca at E=345 MeV with an intensity of 2 pnA on a 15-mm-thick Be target at the BigRIPS facility at RIKEN. The fragments were analyzed and separated with the  $\Delta$ E-B $\rho$ -tof method. The secondary target is a 2.13 g/cm<sup>2</sup> polyethylene foil. The scattering angles were measured by two parallel-plate avalanche counters (PPAC); scattered particles were analyzed by the ZeroDegree Spectrometer consisting of an ionization chamber and two plastic scintillators;  $\gamma$  rays were detected by the DALI2 array of 177 NaI(TI) scintillators. Measured E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ ,  $\sigma(\theta)$ . Deduced deformation length and  $\beta_2$  deformation parameter of the first 2<sup>+</sup> excited state in  ${}^{32}$ Mg from a comparison of measured differential cross-section with the coupled-channel calculations. Comparison with available data.

2002Mo35: E=49.5 MeV/nucleon <sup>32</sup>Mg beam at RIKEN.  $\gamma$  rays were detected with the DALI array of 66 NaI(Tl) crystals. Measured E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ . Only the first excited state reported.

### <sup>32</sup>Mg Levels

Deformation parameter  $\beta_{\rm L}$  and  $\sigma$  given under comments are from 2009Ta08, unless otherwise noted.

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$\mathbf{J}^{\pi}$	L <sup>‡</sup>	Comments
0	$0^{+}$		
887 7	2+	2	$\sigma$ =48 mb 5 (2009Ta08), 40 mb +9-8 (2014Mi09). Total excitation $\sigma$ =56 mb +9-8 (2014Mi09) including feeding from higher states.
			Deformation parameter $\beta_2 = 0.43$ 3 and 0.48 3 for two optical model potentials (2009Ta08), 0.51 +6-5(stat) 2(syst) (2014Mi09).
			Deformation length $\delta_2$ =1.85 fm 20(stat) 8(syst) (2014Mi09), 1.5 fm <i>l</i> (2012Li45).
2320 12	4+	4	L: 2009Ta08 examined fits to the angular distribution data for $J^{\pi}=0^+,1^-,2^+,3^-$ and $4^+$ . Minimum $\chi^2$ is obtained for $4^+$ by combining the two-step $0^+ -> 2^+ -> 4^+$ with one-step $0^+ -> 4^+$ excitations. The $\beta_2$ was set to 0.43 3. The deformation parameters for the fit are: $\beta_2=0.43$ 3 and $\beta_2=0.418$ J/2 wing CU20 patential and $\beta_2=0.47$ 2 and $\beta_2=0.42$ J/2 wing CU20 patential
			$\beta_4$ =0.118 15 using KD02 potential and $\beta_2$ =0.47 5 and $\beta_4$ =0.126 15 using CH89 potential.
2551 1 10	(1- 2+)	#	
2551.1 10	$(1,2^{+})$		$\sigma$ =0.6 mb 3.
2860 7	(1,3)	(1,3)	$\beta_1 = 0.061, \beta_3 = 0.076.$
3117 <i>16</i>	(3,4)	(3,4)	$\beta_3 = 0.102, \beta_4 = 0.109.$ B(E3)=0.6 from $\beta_3.$ $\sigma = 1.7$ mb 3.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

#### $^{1}$ **H**( $^{32}$ **Mg**, $^{32}$ **Mg**' $\gamma$ ) 2009Ta08 (continued)

## <sup>32</sup>Mg Levels (continued)

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$\mathbf{J}^{\pi}$	L‡	Comments
3490 18	(1,2)	(1,2)	$\beta_1 = 0.087, \beta_2 = 0.097.$ $\sigma = 2.3 \text{ mb } 5.$
3552 16	$(3^{-}, 4^{-})$	#	$\sigma$ =0.3 mb 1.
4215 17	(3,4)	(3,4)	$\beta_3 = 0.076, \beta_4 = 0.089.$ $\sigma = 1.1 \text{ mb } 3.$
5169 24	(2,3)	(2,3)	$\beta_2 = 0.110, \beta_3 = 0.124.$ B(E3)=0.9 from $\beta_3.$ $\sigma = 2.9$ mb 4.
5203 20	(2,3)	(2,3)	$\beta_2 = 0.109, \beta_3 = 0.122.$ B(E3)=0.9 from $\beta_3.$ $\sigma = 2.7$ mb 4.

<sup>†</sup> From  $E\gamma$  data.

<sup>1</sup> From Comparison of angular distribution data for <sup>32</sup>Mg particles with coupled-channel calculations (2009Ta08). <sup>#</sup> Determination of L-value from  $\sigma(\theta)$  is ambiguous due to lack of statistics (2009Ta08).

$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$E_i$ (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_f$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Comments
887 7	100	887	2+	0	$0^{+}$	$E_{v}$ : other: 895 (2002Mo35), 887 (2014Mi09).
1232 11	0.4 2	3552	$(3^{-}, 4^{-})$	2320	4+	
1433 9	12.3 18	2320	4+	887	$2^{+}$	
1895 <i>13</i>	1.7 4	4215	(3,4)	2320	4+	
1973 <sup>‡</sup>	1.4 4	2860	(1,3)	887	$2^{+}$	
2230 14	2.7 5	3117	(3,4)	887	$2^{+}$	
2551 <sup>‡</sup>	1.0 5	2551.1	$(1^{-},2^{+})$	0	$0^{+}$	
2603 16	3.7 8	3490	(1,2)	887	$2^{+}$	
2883 16	4.3 6	5203	(2,3)	2320	$4^{+}$	
<sup>x</sup> 3256 43						
4282 23	4.6 7	5169	(2,3)	887	$2^{+}$	

 $\gamma(^{32}Mg)$ 

<sup>†</sup> From 2009Ta08. <sup>‡</sup> 2009Ta08 do not quote any uncertainty, at least 15 keV as estimated from other  $\gamma$ -ray uncertainties.

 $x \gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

# $^{1}$ H( $^{32}$ Mg, $^{32}$ Mg' $\gamma$ ) 2009Ta08



 $^{32}_{12}Mg_{20}$