

**$^{32}\text{Ar}$   $\varepsilon+\beta^+$  decay (98 ms) 2021BI02,2008Bh08,1985Bj01**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen	NDS 201,1 (2025)	31-Oct-2024

Parent:  $^{32}\text{Ar}$ :  $E=0.0$ ;  $J^\pi=0^+$ ;  $T_{1/2}=98$  ms 2;  $Q(\varepsilon)=11134.4$  19;  $\% \varepsilon+\% \beta^+$  decay=100

$^{32}\text{Ar}$ - $T_{1/2}$ : From timing of delayed protons distinguishing  $\beta^+$  and  $\gamma$ -rays through pulse-shape discrimination (1985Bj01); value is adopted in Adopted Levels. Others: 2008Bh08 quote 100.5 ms 3 from unpublished ISOLDE data (reference 10 in 2008Bh08; this value was also communicated to one of the evaluators by A. Garcia in an e-mail reply of November 21, 2006);  $\approx 75$  ms (1977Ha29).

$^{32}\text{Ar}$ - $Q(\varepsilon+\beta^+)$ : From 2021Wa16.  $Q(\varepsilon p)(^{32}\text{Ar})=9553.2$  18,  $S(p)(^{32}\text{Cl})=1581.1$  5 and  $S(2p)=7711.8$  6 (2021Wa16).

$^{32}\text{Ar}$ - $\% \varepsilon+\% \beta^+$  decay:  $\% \varepsilon p=35.58$  22 (2008Bh08). Other: 43 3 estimated by (1985Bj01) assuming the superallowed transition was followed uniquely by proton decay. Absolute intensities of proton groups are from the determination of total number of implanted  $^{32}\text{Ar}$  ions and protons, and detector efficiencies (2008Bh08).

2021BI02:  $^{32}\text{Ar}$  ions were produced in projectile fragmentation of  $^{36}\text{Ar}$  beam at 95 MeV/nucleon from the CSS cyclotrons of GANIL on a graphite target, and separated using the NANOGAN-III ECR ion source and isotopic identification system of SPIRAL1. Charged particles were detected with a cube of six double-sided silicon strip detectors (DSSSDs; FWHM=order of 50 keV) backed by large-area silicon detectors (LASDs) and  $\gamma$  rays were detected with three EXOGAM clover detectors. Measured  $\beta^+$ -delayed proton spectra,  $E(p)$ ,  $I(p)$ ,  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $p\gamma$ -coin. Deduced absolute intensities of  $\beta^+$ -delayed proton branches,  $\gamma$ -ray branching ratios,  $\beta^+ + \varepsilon$  feedings to levels in  $^{32}\text{Cl}$  and  $\log ft$  values. Comparisons with available data and shell-model calculations.

2008Bh08:  $^{32}\text{Ar}$  ions were produced in the reaction  $^9\text{Be}(^{36}\text{Ar}, X)$  with a 100 MeV/nucleon beam on a  $^9\text{Be}$  target at NSCL and separated by the A1200 fragment separator and the Reaction Product Mass Separator (RPMS) Wien filter. Charged particles were detected with a detector array consisting of a PIN silicon detector and a stack of three fully-depleted silicon surface barrier detectors;  $\gamma$  rays were detected with five large-volume HPGe detectors. Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $E(p)$ ,  $I(p)$ ,  $p\gamma$ -coin. Deduced levels, absolute intensities of  $\beta^+$ -delayed proton branches,  $\log ft$  of  $T=2$ , superallowed transition from  $0^+$  parent state of  $^{32}\text{Ar}$  to  $0^+$  excited state at 5246 keV in  $^{32}\text{Cl}$ . Comparisons with available data and theoretical calculations. 2008Bh08 deduced isospin symmetry breaking correction in  $^{32}\text{Ar}$  decay  $\delta_C^{\text{exp}}=2.1\%$  8.

#### Additional information 1.

1985Bj01:  $^{32}\text{Ar}$  ions were produced in spallation reactions with 600 MeV protons from the CERN Synchro-cyclotron on a CaO target, and separated by the ISOLDE on-line isotope separator. Charged particles were detected with a CsI crystal, silicon detectors (FWHM=28 keV for proton at  $E=5.6$  MeV) and a  $4\pi$   $\beta$ -detector of plastic scintillator;  $\gamma$  rays were detected with a Ge(Li) detector. Measured decay curves of  $\beta$  and proton,  $E(p)$ ,  $I(p)$ ,  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\beta\gamma$ -coin,  $p\gamma$ -coin. Deduced levels, parent  $T_{1/2}$ , absolute intensities of  $\beta^+$ -delayed proton branches,  $\beta$ -decay branching ratios,  $\log ft$ ,  $\gamma$ -ray branching ratios, Gamow-Teller strength functions. Comparisons with shell-model calculations.

1993Sc16:  $^{32}\text{Ar}$  ions were produced in spallation reactions with 600 MeV protons on a CaO target and separated with the ISOLDE-II separator at CERN. Charged particles were detected with a silicon surface barrier detector (FWHM $\approx 8$  keV for proton at  $\approx 3$  MeV). Measured  $E(p)$ ,  $I(p)$ . Deduced levels, absolute intensities of  $\beta^+$ -delayed proton branches, level widths from analysis of proton peak shape. Comparisons with theoretical calculations.

Others:

2007DoZX: Measured proton energies and intensities of 19 groups at GANIL facility,  $p\gamma$  coin. The protons range from 594.1 to 6056 keV. Most of these groups are in agreement with those from 2008Bh08 and earlier studies. However, one severe discrepancy is noted that 1203.7-keV proton group is shown to be in coin with a 2230-keV  $\gamma$  ray (presumably corresponding to known 2236 $\gamma$  in  $^{31}\text{S}$ ), but in 2008Bh08, this proton group was observed strongly in coin with 1248 $\gamma$  from the first excited state of  $^{31}\text{S}$ .

Moreover the deduced level excitation energy quoted by 2007DoZX as 6295 for the 1203.7 proton group seems to be in error if this group is in coin with 2236 $\gamma$ . In view of the lack of sufficient details in 2007DoZX and noted inconsistencies, the evaluators feel that it is premature to consider data from 2007DoZX for current evaluation.

2019ArZX: measured  $\beta$ -neutrino correlation.

1999Ad10, 2000Ga61: measured proton spectra,  $p\beta$  coin. Same group as 2008Bh08.

1977Ha29:  $^{32}\text{Ar}$  formed in 600-MeV proton bombardment of vanadium target at CERN-ISOLDE facility. Measured delayed protons, half-life.

The total energy deposit calculated using RADLIST code is 9390 150, and is about 1750 keV less than  $Q=11134.4$  19 (2021Wa16), which might be attributed to the unobserved or unidentified weak proton-decay branches. 2021BI02 report a correction factor of 1.033 14 as a ratio of all emitted protons to the sum of all observed proton branches.

**$^{32}\text{Ar}$   $\varepsilon+\beta^+$  decay (98 ms) 2021BI02,2008Bh08,1985Bj01 (continued)** $^{32}\text{Cl}$  Levels

4167 and 4439 levels proposed in 1985Bj01 are discarded because the proton branches ( $E(p)=2512$  and  $2775$  here) attributed to  $^{31}\text{S}$  ground state by 1985Bj01 are clearly identified in 2021BI02 as decay to the first excited states in  $^{31}\text{S}$ , corresponding to 5425 and 5700 levels, respectively. The 5794 level only in 2008Bh08 from a broad 2870-keV proton peak is also discarded since the proton peak is not seen 2021BI02 and 1985Bj01.

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π</sup> <sup>‡</sup>	T <sub>1/2</sub> <sup>#</sup>	Comments
0	1 <sup>+</sup>	298 ms 1	$\varepsilon$ feeding to g.s. is assumed to be negligible (2021BI02). 1985Bj01 report that g.s. feeding is limited to <2% by intensity balance to levels in $^{32}\text{S}$ from the decay of g.s. of $^{32}\text{Cl}$ ; 2021BI02 give a range of 0.007% to 5.6% based on theoretical predictions.
89.90 10	(2) <sup>+</sup>		No evidence of $\beta$ feeding of this level.
461.12 9	(0) <sup>+</sup>		No evidence of $\beta$ feeding of this level.
1168.48 14	1 <sup>+</sup>		
2209.9 11	(1 <sup>+</sup> )		E(level): 2208 6 from E(p). E(p0)=606.9 60.
3771 4	1 <sup>+</sup>	3 keV 2	E(p0)=2121.3 40, E(p1)=912 5.
4082 4	1 <sup>+</sup>	17 keV 2	E(p0)=2422.9 40, E(p1)=1210.8 42.
4561 13	(1)		E(p1)=1677 12.
4800 5	(1)		E(p0)=3117.4 47.
5046.24 33	0 <sup>+</sup>	20 eV 5	T=2 $\Gamma_{\gamma}=1.7$ eV 4 (2008Bh08) E(level): 5044 3 from E(p). E(p0)=3352.7 30, E(p1)=2145.5 50. $\Gamma$ from ISOLDE data (quoted by 2008Bh08 as paper in preparation).
5303 4	1 <sup>+</sup>		E(level): IAR of $^{32}\text{Ar}$ g.s. E(p0)=3605 8, E(p1)=2395.1 41.
5425 4	1 <sup>+</sup>	35 keV 20	E(p0)=3725.9 48, E(p1)=2511.9 40.
5531 9	1 <sup>+</sup>		E(p1)=2616 9.
5700 5	1 <sup>+</sup>	25 keV 15	E(p0)=3991 5, E(p1)=2775.4 65.
6065 6	1 <sup>+</sup>		E(p0)=4344.9 41, E(p1)=3117 11.
6254 5	1 <sup>+</sup>		E(p0)=4526 5.
6529 5	1 <sup>+</sup>		E(p1)=3583 5.
6597 5	1 <sup>+</sup>		E(p1)=3649 5.
6732 6	1 <sup>+</sup>		E(p0)=4977 9, E(p1)=3782 5. E(level): 6686 13 (1993Sc16), 6711 30 (1985Bj01).
7320 4	1 <sup>+</sup>	20 keV 10	E(p0)=5558 4, E(p1)=4348 10.
7450 10	1 <sup>+</sup>	<15 keV	E(p0)=5684 10.
7600 6	1 <sup>+</sup>		E(p0)=5822 6, E(p1)=4625 5.
7852 5	1 <sup>+</sup>		E(p0)=6068 7, E(p1)=4867 5.
8146 9	1 <sup>+</sup>		E(p0)=6358 9.

<sup>†</sup> From E<sub>γ</sub> data for excited levels connected with  $\gamma$  transitions and from measured proton energies E(p)(lab) of  $\beta$ -delayed proton branches for other levels, with E(level)=E(p)(lab)\*[1+m(p)/m( $^{31}\text{S}$ )]+S(p)+E(level)( $^{31}\text{S}$ ). E(p)(lab) under comments are average (weighted or unweighted) of values from 2021BI02, 2008Bh08, 1985Bj01 and 1993Sc16 if available, where p0 for proton decay to g.s. in  $^{31}\text{S}$  and p1 for proton to the first excited state at 1248.6 in  $^{31}\text{S}$ . See all E(p) data in the dataset of  $^{32}\text{Ar}$   $\varepsilon p$  decay for  $^{31}\text{S}$  in the ENSDF database (2022 update).

<sup>‡</sup> From Adopted Levels.

<sup>#</sup> Half-life from Adopted Levels and  $\Gamma$  from 1993Sc16 deduced from measured peak shape and calculated recoil broadening.

$^{32}\text{Ar}$   $\varepsilon+\beta^+$  decay (98 ms) **2021BI02,2008Bh08,1985Bj01** (continued)

$\varepsilon, \beta^+$ radiations						
E(decay)	E(level)	$I_{\beta^+}^{\ddagger}$	$I_{\varepsilon}^{\ddagger}$	Log $ft$	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)^{\ddagger\ddagger}$	Comments
(2988 9)	8146	0.0115 17	$1.3 \times 10^{-4}$ 2	4.6 1	0.0116 17	av $E_{\beta} = 854.2$ 43; $\varepsilon K = 0.01019$ 14; $\varepsilon L = 9.73 \times 10^{-4}$ 14; $\varepsilon M + = 1.254 \times 10^{-4}$ 18 E(p0)=6358 9, %I(p0)=0.0116 17.
(3282 6)	7852	0.074 7	$5.6 \times 10^{-4}$ 5	4.08 4	0.075 7	av $E_{\beta} = 991.4$ 25; $\varepsilon K = 6.704 \times 10^{-3}$ 48; $\varepsilon L = 6.404 \times 10^{-4}$ 46; $\varepsilon M + = 8.248 \times 10^{-5}$ 59 E(p0)=6068 7, %I(p0)=0.0222 23. E(p1)=4867 5, %I(p1)=0.053 6.
(3534 7)	7600	0.121 11	$6.6 \times 10^{-4}$ 6	4.07 4	0.122 11	av $E_{\beta} = 1110.1$ 30; $\varepsilon K = 4.884 \times 10^{-3}$ 37; $\varepsilon L = 4.665 \times 10^{-4}$ 35; $\varepsilon M + = 6.008 \times 10^{-5}$ 45 E(p0)=5822 6, %I(p0)=0.087 7. E(p1)=4625 5, %I(p1)=0.035 8.
(3684 10)	7450	0.0043 16	$2.0 \times 10^{-5}$ 7	5.6 +1-2	0.0043 16	av $E_{\beta} = 1181.2$ 48; $\varepsilon K = 4.106 \times 10^{-3}$ 47; $\varepsilon L = 3.922 \times 10^{-4}$ 45; $\varepsilon M + = 5.051 \times 10^{-5}$ 58 E(p0)=5684 10, %I(p0)=0.0043 16.
(3814 5)	7320	0.139 10	$5.5 \times 10^{-4}$ 4	4.22 3	0.139 10	av $E_{\beta} = 1242.9$ 21; $\varepsilon K = 3.561 \times 10^{-3}$ 17; $\varepsilon L = 3.401 \times 10^{-4}$ 16; $\varepsilon M + = 4.380 \times 10^{-5}$ 21 E(p0)=5558 4, %I(p0)=0.112 5. E(p1)=4348 10, %I(p1)=0.027 8.
(4402 7)	6732	0.088 31	$2.0 \times 10^{-4}$ 7	4.8 +1-2	0.088 31	av $E_{\beta} = 1524.3$ 30; $\varepsilon K = 2.014 \times 10^{-3}$ 11; $\varepsilon L = 1.923 \times 10^{-4}$ 11; $\varepsilon M + = 2.477 \times 10^{-5}$ 14 E(p0)=4977 9, %I(p0)=0.0129 35. E(p1)=3782 5, %I(p1)=0.075 31.
(4537 6)	6597	0.066 6	$1.3 \times 10^{-4}$ 1	4.99 4	0.066 6	av $E_{\beta} = 1589.3$ 26; $\varepsilon K = 1.7928 \times 10^{-3}$ 81; $\varepsilon L = 1.7118 \times 10^{-4}$ 78; $\varepsilon M + = 2.205 \times 10^{-5}$ 10 E(p1)=3649 5, %I(p1)=0.066 6.
(4605 6)	6529	0.051 8	$1.0 \times 10^{-4}$ 2	5.1 1	0.051 8	av $E_{\beta} = 1622.1$ 26; $\varepsilon K = 1.6935 \times 10^{-3}$ 75; $\varepsilon L = 1.6169 \times 10^{-4}$ 72; $\varepsilon M + = 2.0824 \times 10^{-5}$ 93 E(p1)=3583 5, %I(p1)=0.051 8.
(4880 6)	6254	0.093 4	$1.40 \times 10^{-4}$ 6	5.02 2	0.093 4	av $E_{\beta} = 1755.0$ 26; $\varepsilon K = 1.3593 \times 10^{-3}$ 56; $\varepsilon L = 1.2978 \times 10^{-4}$ 54; $\varepsilon M + = 1.6714 \times 10^{-5}$ 69 E(p0)=4526 5, %I(p0)=0.093 4.
(5069 7)	6065	0.117 9	$1.5 \times 10^{-4}$ 1	5.02 4	0.117 9	av $E_{\beta} = 1846.5$ 31; $\varepsilon K = 1.1793 \times 10^{-3}$ 55; $\varepsilon L = 1.1258 \times 10^{-4}$ 52; $\varepsilon M + = 1.4500 \times 10^{-5}$ 67 E(p0)=4344.9 41, %I(p0)=0.101 4. E(p1)=3117 11, %I(p1)=0.016 8.
(5434 6)	5700	0.300 22	$3.0 \times 10^{-4}$ 2	4.78 3	0.300 22	av $E_{\beta} = 2023.9$ 26; $\varepsilon K = 9.126 \times 10^{-4}$ 33; $\varepsilon L = 8.712 \times 10^{-5}$ 31; $\varepsilon M + = 1.1220 \times 10^{-5}$ 40 E(p0)=3991 5, %I(p0)=0.212 15. E(p1)=2775.4 65, %I(p1)=0.088 16.
(5603 9)	5531	0.051 12		5.6 1	0.051 12	av $E_{\beta} = 2106.2$ 45; $\varepsilon K = 8.163 \times 10^{-4}$ 49; $\varepsilon L = 7.792 \times 10^{-5}$ 47; $\varepsilon M + = 1.0036 \times 10^{-5}$ 60 E(p1)=2616 9, %I(p1)=0.051 12.
(5709 5)	5425	0.79 4	$6.7 \times 10^{-4}$ 4	4.48 2	0.79 4	av $E_{\beta} = 2157.9$ 22; $\varepsilon K = 7.628 \times 10^{-4}$ 21; $\varepsilon L = 7.281 \times 10^{-5}$ 21; $\varepsilon M + = 9.377 \times 10^{-6}$ 26 E(p0)=3725.9 48, %I(p0)=0.082 4. E(p1)=2511.9 40, %I(p1)=0.71 4. <b>1985Bj01</b> and <b>1993Sc16</b> attribute this proton branch to $^{31}\text{S}$ g.s., which however is clearly identified in <b>2021BI02</b> as decay to the first excited states in $^{31}\text{S}$ .
(5831 5)	5303	0.191 24	$1.50 \times 10^{-4}$ 19	5.15 6	0.191 24	av $E_{\beta} = 2217.4$ 22; $\varepsilon K = 7.068 \times 10^{-4}$ 19; $\varepsilon L = 6.747 \times 10^{-5}$ 19; $\varepsilon M + = 8.689 \times 10^{-6}$ 24 E(p0)=3605 8, %I(p0)=0.073 8.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{32}\text{Ar}$   $\varepsilon+\beta^+$  decay (98 ms) [2021BI02](#),[2008Bh08](#),[1985Bj01](#) (continued)

						$\varepsilon, \beta^+$ radiations (continued)
E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+$ ‡	$I\varepsilon$ ‡	Log <i>ft</i>	$I(\varepsilon+\beta^+)$ †‡	Comments
(6088.2 22)	5046.24	22.29 43	0.01496 33	3.19 1	22.30 43	E(p1)=2395.1 41, %I(p1)=0.118 23. av E $\beta$ =2342.93 94; $\varepsilon$ K=6.0578 $\times 10^{-4}$ 68; $\varepsilon$ L=5.7822 $\times 10^{-5}$ 65; $\varepsilon$ M+=7.4468 $\times 10^{-6}$ 84 E(p0)=3352.7 30, %I(p0)=20.39 32. E(p1)=2145.5 50, %I(p1)=0.263 13. I( $\varepsilon+\beta^+$ ): from I(p)+I $\gamma$ intensity balance at this level. Other: 17 +16-7 ( <a href="#">1977Ha29</a> ).
(6334 6)	4800	0.0269 27		6.20 5	0.0269 27	av E $\beta$ =2463.5 26; $\varepsilon$ K=5.263 $\times 10^{-4}$ 16; $\varepsilon$ L=5.023 $\times 10^{-5}$ 15; $\varepsilon$ M+=6.469 $\times 10^{-6}$ 19 E(p0)=3117.4 47, %I(p0)=0.0269 27.
(6573 13)	4561	0.029 14		6.3 +2-3	0.029 14	av E $\beta$ =2580.6 65; $\varepsilon$ K=4.619 $\times 10^{-4}$ 33; $\varepsilon$ L=4.409 $\times 10^{-5}$ 31; $\varepsilon$ M+=5.678 $\times 10^{-6}$ 40 E(p1)=1677 12, %I(p1)=0.029 14.
(7052 5)	4082	7.60 15	3.04 $\times 10^{-3}$ 7	4.01 1	7.60 15	av E $\beta$ =2815.9 22; $\varepsilon$ K=3.6142 $\times 10^{-4}$ 79; $\varepsilon$ L=3.4493 $\times 10^{-5}$ 75; $\varepsilon$ M+=4.4423 $\times 10^{-6}$ 97 E(p0)=2422.9 40, %I(p0)=7.28 15. E(p1)=1210.8 42, %I(p1)=0.320 21.
(7363 5)	3771	3.61 8	1.25 $\times 10^{-3}$ 3	4.43 1	3.61 8	av E $\beta$ =2968.9 22; $\varepsilon$ K=3.1137 $\times 10^{-4}$ 65; $\varepsilon$ L=2.9716 $\times 10^{-5}$ 62; $\varepsilon$ M+=3.8271 $\times 10^{-6}$ 79 E(p0)=2121.3 40, %I(p0)=3.60 8. E(p1)=912 5, %I(p1)=0.014 8.
(8924.5 24)	2209.9	0.143 32		6.3 1	0.143 32	av E $\beta$ =3740.9 11; $\varepsilon$ K=1.6222 $\times 10^{-4}$ 13; $\varepsilon$ L=1.5480 $\times 10^{-5}$ 13; $\varepsilon$ M+=1.9936 $\times 10^{-6}$ 17 I( $\varepsilon+\beta^+$ ): from I(p)+I $\gamma$ intensity balance at this level.
(9965.9 22)	1168.48	63.1 14	0.0079 2	3.89 1	63.1 14	E(p0)=606.9 60, %I(p0)=0.383 10. av E $\beta$ =4256.61 95; $\varepsilon$ K=1.12365 $\times 10^{-4}$ 71; $\varepsilon$ L=1.07218 $\times 10^{-5}$ 68; $\varepsilon$ M+=1.38080 $\times 10^{-6}$ 87 I( $\varepsilon+\beta^+$ ): from $\gamma$ intensity balance at this level. I( $\varepsilon+\beta^+$ ): uncertainty 0.29 in 62.57 29 from <a href="#">2021BI02</a> is probably a typo, since I $\gamma$ (707.3 $\gamma$ )=37.2 18 in <a href="#">2021BI02</a> alone has an uncertainty of 1.8 much greater than 0.29.

† From absolute intensities of  $\beta$ -delayed proton branches levels above S(p)=1581.1 6 and observed  $\gamma$  transitions. Absolute intensities %I(p) of  $\beta$ -delayed proton branches are obtained by normalizing  $\Sigma$ I(p)(rel) to the total proton emission probability of 35.58% 22 measured by [2008Bh08](#) with a correction factor 1.033 14 deduced by [2021BI02](#) as a ratio of all emitted protons to the sum of all observed proton branches. Absolute proton intensities %I(p) under comments are deduced from average (weighted or unweighted) of relative I(p)(rel) values from [2021BI02](#), [2008Bh08](#), [1985Bj01](#) and [1993Sc16](#) if available, relative to I(p)(rel)=100 of the proton branch from 5046 level to  $^{31}\text{S}$  ground state. p0 is for proton decay to g.s. in  $^{31}\text{S}$  and p1 for proton to the first excited state at 1248.6 in  $^{31}\text{S}$ . See I(p) data in the dataset of  $^{32}\text{Ar}$   $\varepsilon$ p decay for  $^{31}\text{S}$  in the ENSDF database (2022 update).

‡ Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

 $\gamma(^{32}\text{Cl})$ 

I $\gamma$  normalization: Absolute %I $\gamma$  for transitions from levels below 5046 level are obtained by normalizing  $\Sigma$ [I(rel)( $\gamma$  to g.s.)]=100-%I(p)(total)-%I $\gamma$ (5048 $\gamma$ ), where %I(p)(total)=35.58 22 ([2008Bh08](#)) and %I $\gamma$ (5048 $\gamma$ )=0.10 2 (average of values in [2021BI02](#) and [2008Bh08](#)), which gives a normalization factor of 0.372 12 for relative I $\gamma$  values as given under comments. No  $\varepsilon$  feeding to g.s. is assumed.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{32}\text{Ar}$   $\varepsilon+\beta^+$  decay (98 ms) [2021BI02,2008Bh08,1985Bj01](#) (continued) $\gamma(^{32}\text{Cl})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger\#}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult.	$\alpha^\dagger$	Comments
89.9 1	13.8 7	89.90	(2) <sup>+</sup>	0	1 <sup>+</sup>	[M1]	0.00979 14	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00899$ 13; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000732$ 10; $\alpha(\text{M})=6.67\times 10^{-5}$ 10 $E_\gamma$ : from <a href="#">1985Bj01</a> . $I_\gamma$ : from $I_\gamma(\text{rel})=37.0$ 18, weighted average of 38.3 32 ( <a href="#">2021Bh02</a> ) and 37.0 16 ( <a href="#">1985Bj01</a> ). Mult.: M1 assumed by the evaluators based on possible shell-model description of the state. The transition cannot be pure E2 ( $\alpha=0.288$ ) since it will imply $\beta$ feeding of 3.5% and allowed transition, in contradiction with $J^\pi=(2)^+$ for 89.9 level.
461.1 1	37.2 10	461.12	(0) <sup>+</sup>	0	1 <sup>+</sup>			$E_\gamma$ : from <a href="#">1985Bj01</a> . Other: 460.7 4 ( <a href="#">2021BI02</a> ). $I_\gamma$ : from $I_\gamma(\text{rel})=100$ 5, average of 100 7 ( <a href="#">2021BI02</a> ) and 100 5 ( <a href="#">1985Bj01</a> ).
707.3 2	37.2 10	1168.48	1 <sup>+</sup>	461.12	(0) <sup>+</sup>			$E_\gamma$ : weighted average of 707.1 4 ( <a href="#">2021BI02</a> ) and 707.4 2 ( <a href="#">1985Bj01</a> ). $I_\gamma$ : from $I_\gamma(\text{rel})=100$ 5, equal to $I_\gamma(461.1\gamma)$ ( <a href="#">2021BI02,1985Bj01</a> ).
1078.6 3	13.8 7	1168.48	1 <sup>+</sup>	89.90	(2) <sup>+</sup>			$E_\gamma$ : weighted average of 1078.1 4 ( <a href="#">2021BI02</a> ) and 1078.7 2 ( <a href="#">1985Bj01</a> ). $I_\gamma$ : from $I_\gamma(\text{rel})=37.0$ 18, equal to $I_\gamma(89.9\gamma)$ ( <a href="#">2021BI02,1985Bj01</a> ).
1168.5 2	13.4 7	1168.48	1 <sup>+</sup>	0	1 <sup>+</sup>			$I_\gamma$ : from $I_\gamma(\text{rel})=36.0$ 20, weighted average of 31.8 38 ( <a href="#">2021Bh02</a> ) and 36.9 18 ( <a href="#">1985Bj01</a> ).
2836.2 10	0.24 3	5046.24	0 <sup>+</sup>	2209.9	(1) <sup>+</sup>			$E_\gamma$ : weighted average of 2838.7 34 ( <a href="#">2021BI02</a> ) and 2836 1 ( <a href="#">2008Bh08</a> ). $I_\gamma$ : weighted average of 0.50 37 ( <a href="#">2021BI02</a> ) and 0.24 3 ( <a href="#">2008Bh08</a> ).
3877.5 3	1.31 28	5046.24	0 <sup>+</sup>	1168.48	1 <sup>+</sup>			$E_\gamma$ : weighted average of 3877.7 42 ( <a href="#">2021BI02</a> ) and 3877.5 3 ( <a href="#">2008Bh08</a> ). $I_\gamma$ : unweighted average of 1.03 22 ( <a href="#">2021BI02</a> ) and 1.58 8 ( <a href="#">2008Bh08</a> ).
5048 5	0.10 2	5046.24	0 <sup>+</sup>	0	1 <sup>+</sup>			$E_\gamma$ : from <a href="#">2021BI02</a> . $I_\gamma$ : weighted average of 0.22 14 ( <a href="#">2021BI02</a> ) and 0.10 2 ( <a href="#">2008Bh08</a> ).

<sup>†</sup> Additional information 2.

<sup>‡</sup> Absolute % $I_\gamma$  for transitions from levels below 5046 level are obtained by normalizing  $\Sigma I(\text{relative } \gamma \text{ to g.s.})=100-\%I(\text{p})(\text{total})-\%I_\gamma(5048\gamma)$ , where  $\%I(\text{p})(\text{total})=35.58$  22 ([2008Bh08](#)) and  $\%I_\gamma(5048\gamma)=0.10$  2 (average of values in [2021BI02](#) and [2008Bh08](#)), which gives a normalization factor of 0.372 12 for relative  $I_\gamma$  values as given under comments.

<sup>#</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

$^{32}\text{Ar}$   $\varepsilon+\beta^+$  decay (98 ms) 2021BI02,2008Bh08,1985Bj01

Decay Scheme

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$

Intensities:  $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$  per 100 parent decays

