

$^{12}\text{C}(^{20}\text{Ne},\text{p}\gamma), ^{16}\text{O}(^{16}\text{O},\text{p}\gamma)$ [2005Je07](#), [2008Pa27](#)

Type	Author	Citation	History Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen and Balraj Singh	NDS 184, 29 (2022)	24-Jun-2022

[2005Je07](#) (also [2006Je03](#), [2006Je06](#)): $E(^{20}\text{Ne})=32$ MeV beam was produced from the ATLAS accelerator at Argonne. Target was $90 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ ^{12}C . Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ (DCO) using Gammasphere array with 100 high-purity Compton-suppressed Ge detectors. Deduced levels, J , π , multipolarities.

[2008Pa27](#): $^{16}\text{O}(^{16}\text{O},\text{p}\gamma)$ $E=29$ MeV beam was produced from the ATLAS accelerator at Argonne. Nickel monoxide target on a backing of nickel foil. Measured $E\gamma$, lifetime of 4431 level by Doppler-shift attenuation method (DSAM) using Gammasphere array of 100 Compton-suppressed HPGe detectors. Deduced $T_{1/2}$ of 4431 level.

[2021To09](#): $E=33$ MeV ^{20}Ne beam was produced from the Piave-Alpi accelerator of the Laboratori Nazionali di Legnaro. Target was $0.75 \text{ mg}/\text{cm}^2$ ^{12}C on a $10 \text{ mg}/\text{cm}^2$ gold layer. γ rays were detected with the GASP array in configuration II and charged particles were detected with the EUCLIDES silicon ball. Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$, Doppler-shift attenuation. Deduced levels, $T_{1/2}$, mixing ratios, transition strengths. Comparisons with available data and theoretical calculations.

All data are from [2005Je07](#), unless otherwise indicated.

 ^{31}P Levels

$E(\text{level})^\dagger$	$J\pi^\ddagger$	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	$1/2^+$		J^π : from Adopted Levels.
1266.14 9	$3/2^+$	0.510 ps 24	$T_{1/2}$: from DSAM in 2021To09 .
2233.67 9	$5/2^+$		
3295.08 14	$5/2^+$		
3414.62 11	$7/2^+$		
4430.84 13	$7/2^-$	0.433 ps 31	$T_{1/2}$: weighted average of 0.413 ps 31 from 2021To09 , 0.50 ps 6 (gating on 2029γ 1266 γ below level and corrected for side feeding) and 0.46 ps 10 (gating on 2394γ above level) from 2008Pa27 .
4634.06 14	$7/2^+$		
5342.94 14	$9/2^+$		
6078.58 13	$9/2^+$		
6453.57 24	$11/2^+$		
6502.42 24	$9/2^-$		Additional information 1 .
6824.39 18	$11/2^-$		Additional information 2 .
7442.6 3	$11/2^+$		
8707.8 4	$13/2^-$		
9176.2 5	$13/2^+$		
9453.5 4	$13/2^-$		
10218.6 5	$13/2^-$		
10523.8 5	$15/2^{(-)}$		
11300.3 5	$17/2^-$		
12171.0 25	$(15/2^+)$		

[†] From a least-squares fit to γ -ray energies.

[‡] As proposed for excited states by [2005Je07](#) based on measured $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ (DCO) data.

 $\gamma(^{31}\text{P})$

DCO correspond to intensity of a γ ray at forward (32° and 37°) or backward (143° and 148°) angles relative to those at 90° ; under this geometry DCO=1.6 1 for $\Delta J=2$, stretched transition and DCO=0.90 5 for $\Delta J=1$, stretched dipole transition.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

 $^{12}\text{C}({}^{20}\text{Ne},\text{p}\gamma), {}^{16}\text{O}({}^{16}\text{O},\text{p}\gamma)$ **2005Je07,2008Pa27 (continued)**

 $\gamma(^{31}\text{P})$ (continued)

$E_\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}$	$I_\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [#]	Comments
745.8 2	3.1 6	9453.5	13/2 ⁻	8707.8	13/2 ⁻	D+Q	DCO=1.61 12 Mult.: $\Delta J=0$ transition.
776.7 3	3.0 5	11300.3	17/2 ⁻	10523.8	15/2 ⁽⁻⁾	D+Q	DCO=0.99 15
988.9 3	4.0 4	7442.6	11/2 ⁺	6453.57	11/2 ⁺	D+Q	DCO=1.68 16
1016.4 1	3.1 5	4430.84	7/2 ⁻	3414.62	7/2 ⁺	D	DCO=2.00 15 $B(E1)\downarrow=5\times10^{-7}$ 1 (2021To09)
1042.4 2	3.4 3	10218.6	13/2 ⁻	9176.2	13/2 ⁺	D	I _y : 2021To09 report a branching of 4.9 1. Mult.: $\Delta J=0$ transition.
1061.6 2	11.1 9	3295.08	5/2 ⁺	2233.67	5/2 ⁺	D+Q	DCO=1.41 4 Mult.: $\Delta J=0$ transition.
1070.7 4	2.4 2	10523.8	15/2 ⁽⁻⁾	9453.5	13/2 ⁻		
1080.9 6	2.2 3	11300.3	17/2 ⁻	10218.6	13/2 ⁻		
1110.1 3	5.5 5	6453.57	11/2 ⁺	5342.94	9/2 ⁺	D+Q	DCO=1.32 9
1135.6 2	23.4 15	4430.84	7/2 ⁻	3295.08	5/2 ⁺	D	DCO=0.89 1 $B(E1)\downarrow=2.7\times10^{-6}$ 2; $B(M2)\downarrow=0.22$ 11 (2021To09)
1180.9 2	2.6 4	3414.62	7/2 ⁺	2233.67	5/2 ⁺		I _y : 2021To09 report a branching of 37.0 1, but the uncertainty is unrealistically small.
1219.4 1	8.7 6	4634.06	7/2 ⁺	3414.62	7/2 ⁺	D+Q	2021To09 report $\delta(Q/D)=-0.03$ 7.
1266.1 1	162 2	1266.14	3/2 ⁺	0.0	1/2 ⁺	D+Q	DCO=1.18 4 Mult.: $\Delta J=0$ transition.
1339.6 3	8.8 7	4634.06	7/2 ⁺	3295.08	5/2 ⁺	D+Q	DCO=0.86 1
1445.0 3	2.9 3	6078.58	9/2 ⁺	4634.06	7/2 ⁺	D+Q	DCO=1.23 7
1480.8 2	25.7 8	6824.39	11/2 ⁻	5342.94	9/2 ⁺	D	DCO=0.85 1 E _y : poor fit, level-energy difference=1481.4.
1733.4 7	2.9 6	9176.2	13/2 ⁺	7442.6	11/2 ⁺	D+Q	DCO=1.04 16
1815.9 4	4.2 4	10523.8	15/2 ⁽⁻⁾	8707.8	13/2 ⁻	D+Q	DCO=0.99 9
1883.7 4	12.7 12	8707.8	13/2 ⁻	6824.39	11/2 ⁻	D+Q	DCO=1.15 9
1928.0 1	27.3 16	5342.94	9/2 ⁺	3414.62	7/2 ⁺	D+Q	DCO=0.82 1
^x 1970 [†]							
2028.8 2	38.8 21	3295.08	5/2 ⁺	1266.14	3/2 ⁺	D+Q	DCO=1.21 2
2071.5 2	9.5 8	6502.42	9/2 ⁻	4430.84	7/2 ⁻	D+Q	DCO=2.01 9
2148.4 1	100	3414.62	7/2 ⁺	1266.14	3/2 ⁺	Q	DCO=1.57 2
2197.0 2	36.8 12	4430.84	7/2 ⁻	2233.67	5/2 ⁺	D	DCO=0.96 8 $B(E1)\downarrow=5.8\times10^{-7}$ 4; $B(M2)\downarrow=0.013$ 24 (2021To09)
^x 3380 [†]							I _y : 2021To09 report a branching of 58.1 1, but the uncertainty is unrealistically small.
3392.3 7	6.5 5	10218.6	13/2 ⁻	6824.39	11/2 ⁻	D+Q	2021To09 report $\delta(Q/D)=-0.03$ 3.
^x 3703 [†]							
3844.6 1	6.9 6	6078.58	9/2 ⁺	2233.67	5/2 ⁺		
4027.8 3	11.0 9	7442.6	11/2 ⁺	3414.62	7/2 ⁺	Q	DCO=1.57 8

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

 $^{12}\text{C}(^{20}\text{Ne},\text{p}\gamma),^{16}\text{O}(^{16}\text{O},\text{p}\gamma)$ **2005Je07,2008Pa27 (continued)**

 $\gamma(^{31}\text{P})$ (continued)

[†] Shown in $\gamma\gamma$ spectrum double-gated by 1266γ and 2148γ in figure 2 of [2006Je03](#) but not seem to be placed in the level scheme. They are possibly connected with negative-parity structure according to transitions with similar energies in Adopted Gammas.

[‡] From $(^{20}\text{Ne},\text{p}\gamma)$ ([2005Je07](#)).

[#] Assigned by the evaluators based on $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ (DCO) data of [2005Je07](#). The quadrupole (Q) transitions are most likely to be E2 and D+Q to be M1+E2 transitions.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{12}\text{C}(^{20}\text{Ne},\text{p}\gamma), ^{16}\text{O}(^{16}\text{O},\text{p}\gamma)$ 2005Je07, 2008Pa27

Legend

Level Scheme
Intensities: Relative I_γ

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$

