

$^1\text{H}(^{30}\text{Mg},\text{P})\text{:IAR}$  2014Im02

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen and Balraj Singh		NDS 184, 29 (2022)	24-Jun-2022

Dataset adapted from compiled dataset from 2014Im02 in the XUNDL database by M.S. Basunia (LBNL), Oct 20, 2014. Includes  $^{12}\text{C}(^{30}\text{Mg},\text{p})$  due to the presence of carbon in the target, but contribution from this reaction is found to be negligible (2014Im02).

2014Im02:  $E=2.92$  MeV/nucleon  $^{30}\text{Mg}$  beam was produced by bombarding 1.4-GeV protons on Uranium Carbide (UC) target and separated by the ISOLDE facility at CERN. Target was  $5.6$  mg/cm<sup>2</sup> thick polyethylene. Outgoing protons were detected by two layers of Si detectors (FWHM=80 keV). Measured  $\sigma(E_p)$ . Deduced resonance energy  $E_R$ , L-transfer,  $\Gamma_p$ , and spectroscopic factor from R-matrix analysis. Studied  $^{31}\text{Mg}$  g.s. and first two excited states through their isobaric analog resonances (IAR) in  $^{31}\text{Al}$ . Comparisons of spectroscopic factors with shell-model calculations.

Determination of structural differences between  $^{30}\text{Mg}$  and  $^{31}\text{Mg}$ , nuclei at the boundary of the ‘island of inversion’ through the study of IAR (in  $^{31}\text{Al}$ ) of low-lying states in  $^{31}\text{Mg}$  (2014Im02).

					<u><math>^{31}\text{Al}</math> Levels</u>	
<u>E(level)<sup>†</sup></u>	<u><math>J^\pi</math><sup>@</sup></u>	<u><math>\Gamma</math><sup>@</sup></u>	<u>L</u>	<u>S<sup>#</sup></u>	<u>Comments</u>	
15804 <sup>‡</sup> 5	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	15 keV 8	0 <sup>‡</sup>	0.07 8	$\Gamma_p=13$ keV 5 (2014Im02) E(level): IAR of $^{31}\text{Mg}$ ground state, $J^\pi=1/2^+$ . Quenched spectroscopic factor suggests drastic change in shell structures of $^{31}\text{Mg}$ and $^{30}\text{Mg}$ . Measured $E_R=2446$ keV 4 (stat) (2014Im02). S: from 0.07 3(stat)7(syst) (2014Im02).	
15867 <sup>‡</sup> 3	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	1.3 keV 13	2 <sup>‡</sup>	0.10 11	$\Gamma_p=1.3$ keV 5 (2014Im02) E(level): IAR of first excited state in $^{31}\text{Mg}$ at 50 keV, $J^\pi=3/2^+$ . $E_{\text{ex}}$ (in $^{31}\text{Mg}$ )=63 keV 4 (2014Im02) from energy difference of 2509- and 2446-keV proton resonances with only the statistical uncertainties, which is not in good agreement with the adopted E(level)=50 keV. Note that the 2446- and 2509-keV resonances are unresolved. Consideration of systematic uncertainties in resonance energies may resolve the discrepancy. Quenched spectroscopic factor suggests drastic change in shell structures of $^{31}\text{Mg}$ and $^{30}\text{Mg}$ . Measured $E_R=2509$ keV 4 (stat) (2014Im02). S: from 0.10 4(stat)10(syst) (2014Im02).	
16026 4	(3/2) <sup>-</sup>	109 keV 2	1	0.68 20	$\Gamma_p=79$ keV 4 (2014Im02) E(level): IAR of second excited state in $^{31}\text{Mg}$ at 221 keV, $J^\pi=(3/2)^-$ . $E_{\text{ex}}$ (in $^{31}\text{Mg}$ )=222 keV 5 (2014Im02) from energy difference of 2668- and 2446-keV proton resonances with only the statistical uncertainties. Measured $E_R=2668$ keV 3 (stat) (2014Im02). Large spectroscopic factor indicates similar shell structure of $^{31}\text{Mg}$ and $^{30}\text{Mg}$ . S: from 0.68 4(stat)20(syst) (2014Im02).	

<sup>†</sup> Deduced by evaluators from  $E_R+S(p)(^{31}\text{Al})$ , with  $S(p)=13358.3$  26 (2021Wa16). Resonance energies were obtained from R-matrix analysis of excitation functions of the proton elastic scattering. The three resonances (IARs) observed here correspond to parent states at 0, 50 and 221 keV in  $^{31}\text{Mg}$ .

<sup>‡</sup> 2446- and 2509-keV proton resonances are unresolved as shown in figure 2 of 2014Im02. Choice of values given here is based on R-matrix analysis and minimum  $\chi^2$  using MINUIT computer code. Final values are listed in column 9 of table I in 2014Im02.

<sup>#</sup> From R-matrix analysis of the excitation functions of the proton elastic scattering.

<sup>@</sup> From R-Matrix analysis in 2014Im02. Identification as IAR of states in  $^{31}\text{Mg}$  is also used for  $J^\pi$  assignments. For first excited state, consideration of a  $7/2^-$  gave a somewhat higher  $\chi^2$  than  $3/2^+$ .