

$^{30}\text{Al}$   $\beta^-$  decay    1974Al09, 1974Ki07

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. S. Basunia, A. Chakraborty		NDS 197,1 (2024)	31-May-2024

Parent:  $^{30}\text{Al}$ : E=0;  $J^\pi=3^+$ ;  $T_{1/2}=3.62$  s 6;  $Q(\beta^-)=8568.8$  19; % $\beta^-$  decay=100

$^{30}\text{Al}$ -J $^\pi$ , T $_{1/2}$ : from  $^{30}\text{Al}$  Adopted Levels.

$^{30}\text{Al}$ -Q( $\beta^-$ ): from 2021Wa16.

1974Al09:  $^{30}\text{Al}$  was produced in the  $^{18}\text{O}(^{18}\text{O},\alpha p n)$ , E=42 MeV, reaction at one of the Brookhaven MP tandem Van de Graaff accelerators. Ge(Li) detector; measured E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ .

1974Ki07:  $^{30}\text{Al}$  was produced in the  $^{30}\text{Si}(n,p)$ , E=14.5 MeV, reaction; Ge(Li) detector; Measured E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ .

1961Ro12:  $^{30}\text{Al}$  was produced in the  $^{30}\text{Si}(n,p)$ , E~24 MeV neutron from  $^7\text{Li}(d,n)$  reaction with 9.7-MeV external deuteron beam from the Purdue University 37-in. cyclotron. Detectors: NaI(Tl) and plastic phosphor coupled with photomultiplier tube. Measured E $\gamma$ , E $_{\beta,\text{end}}=5.05$  MeV 25, deduced  $\beta$  feeding to the 1st and 2nd excited states.

 $^{30}\text{Si}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J $^\pi$ #	T $_{1/2}$
0	0 $^+$	stable
2235.326 19	2 $^+$	236 fs 12
3498.49 3	2 $^+$	61 fs 6
3769.49 4	1 $^+$	42 fs 9
4810.22 12	2 $^+$	131 fs 14
4830.85 5	3 $^+$	87 fs 14
5231.1 3	3 $^+$	
5278.73 10	4 $^+$	96 fs 10
5614.03 <sup>‡</sup> 14	2 $^+$	<14 fs
5950.6 <sup>‡</sup> 5	4 $^+$	16 fs 8

<sup>†</sup> From a least squares fit to the  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assuming  $\Delta E=0.5$  keV for missing uncertainty.

<sup>‡</sup> Level considered for reported beta feeding in 1974Ki07.

# From the Adopted Levels.

 $\beta^-$  radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	I $\beta^-$ <sup>†#</sup>	Log ft	Comments
(2618.2 22)	5950.6	0.16 <sup>‡</sup> 5	5.92 14	av E $\beta=1120.3$ 67 I $\beta^-$ : from 1974Ki07.
(2954.8 22)	5614.03	0.30 <sup>‡</sup> 6	5.87 9	av E $\beta=1282.7$ 68 I $\beta^-$ : from 1974Ki07.
(3337.7 22)	5231.1	2.58 21	5.17 4	av E $\beta=1466.5$ 68 I $\beta^-$ : weighted average of 3.0 3 (1974Ki07) and 2.47 15 (1974Al09). $\gamma$ -transition intensity balance yields I $\beta=2.27$ 13, statistically in agreement with the adopted I $\beta=2.58$ 21.
(3738.0 22)	4830.85	6.65 16	4.985 17	av E $\beta=1660.6$ 68 I $\beta^-$ : weighted average of 6.3 6 (1974Ki07) and 6.67 16 (1974Al09). $\gamma$ -transition intensity balance yields I $\beta=6.6$ 3, statistically in agreement with the adopted I $\beta=6.65$ 16.
(3758.6 22)	4810.22	5.77 22	5.060 19	av E $\beta=1670.9$ 68 I $\beta^-$ : weighted average of 5.5 6 (1974Ki07) and 5.81 23 (1974Al09). $\gamma$ -transition intensity balance yields I $\beta=5.1$ 5, statistically in agreement with the adopted I $\beta=5.77$ 22.
(5070.3 22)	3498.49	67.9 11	4.578 12	av E $\beta=2311.1$ 69 I $\beta^-$ : weighted average of 68.2 15 (1974Ki07) and 67.5 16 (1974Al09). From the measured $\beta$ spectra and available data, 1961Ro12 estimated a $\beta$ feeding branch to

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

---

**$^{30}\text{Al}$   $\beta^-$  decay    1974Al09,1974Ki07 (continued)**

---

$\beta^-$  radiations (continued)

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^-$ <sup>†#</sup>	Log $ft$	Comments
(6333.5 22)	2235.326	17.2 9	5.619 25	<p>this level to be 83%. <math>\gamma</math>-transition intensity balance yields <math>I_\beta=70</math> 2, statistically in agreement with the adopted <math>I_\beta=67.9</math> 11. av <math>E\beta=2931.8</math> 69</p> <p><math>I\beta^-</math>: weighted average of 16.6 14 (1974Ki07) and 17.6 12 (1974Al09). From the measured <math>\beta</math> spectra and available data, 1961Ro12 estimated a <math>\beta</math> feeding branch to this level to be 16%. <math>\gamma</math>-transition intensity balance yields <math>I_\beta=38.9</math>, indicates missing <math>\gamma</math> feeding to this level from higher lying levels.</p>

<sup>†</sup> Based on the measured  $\beta$  spectra and deduced values from  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$  in 1961Ro12, 1974Ki07, and 1974Al09. Intensity balance of  $\gamma$  transition at the 1st excited level indicates that feeding from higher lying levels is missing.

<sup>‡</sup> From 1974Ki07. For missing and weaker  $\gamma$  transitions, authors estimated  $\beta$  feeding considering  $E\gamma$  and  $I\gamma$  from the literature.

# Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

γ(<sup>30</sup>Si)

I<sub>γ</sub> normalization: from Σ I<sub>γ</sub>(1+α) to g.s.=100, assuming no beta feeding to the g.s.

E <sub>γ</sub> <sup>†</sup>	I <sub>γ</sub> <sup>#d</sup>	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	J <sub>i</sub> <sup>π</sup>	E <sub>f</sub>	J <sub>f</sub> <sup>π</sup>	Mult. <sup>b</sup>	δ <sup>b</sup>	α <sup>c</sup>	Comments
400.2 4	0.11 1	5231.1	3 <sup>+</sup>	4830.85	3 <sup>+</sup>	[M1]		1.43×10 <sup>-4</sup> 2	%I <sub>γ</sub> =0.07 1 α(K)=0.0001331 19; α(L)=9.53×10 <sup>-6</sup> 14; α(M)=6.27×10 <sup>-7</sup> 9 I <sub>γ</sub> : deduced by evaluators with respect to I <sub>γ</sub> (1732.3)=2.87 18 and the branching ratio in the adopted dataset.
421.0 5	0.15 5	5231.1	3 <sup>+</sup>	4810.22	2 <sup>+</sup>	[M1]		1.28×10 <sup>-4</sup> 2	%I <sub>γ</sub> =0.10 3 α(K)=0.0001192 17; α(L)=8.54×10 <sup>-6</sup> 12; α(M)=5.62×10 <sup>-7</sup> 8 E <sub>γ</sub> : γ not listed in 1974Al09. I <sub>γ</sub> : deduced by evaluators with respect to I <sub>γ</sub> (1732.3)=2.87 18 and the branching ratio in the adopted dataset.
671 <sup>&amp;</sup> 719 <sup>&amp;</sup> 783 <sup>&amp;</sup> 804 <sup>&amp;</sup> 1039 <sup>@</sup>	0.0011 <sup>&amp;</sup> 6 0.0007 <sup>&amp;</sup> 3 0.015 <sup>&amp;</sup> 6 0.005 <sup>&amp;</sup> 3 0.3 1	5950.6 5950.6 5614.03 5614.03 4810.22	4 <sup>+</sup> 4 <sup>+</sup> 2 <sup>+</sup> 2 <sup>+</sup> 2 <sup>+</sup>	5278.73 5231.1 4830.85 4810.22 3769.49	4 <sup>+</sup> 3 <sup>+</sup> 3 <sup>+</sup> 2 <sup>+</sup> 1 <sup>+</sup>				%I <sub>γ</sub> =0.0007 4 %I <sub>γ</sub> =0.0005 2 %I <sub>γ</sub> =0.010 4 %I <sub>γ</sub> =0.003 2 %I <sub>γ</sub> =0.2 1 I <sub>γ</sub> : deduced by evaluators with respect to I <sub>γ</sub> (4810)=3.27 26 and the branching ratio in the adopted dataset.
1120 <sup>&amp;</sup> 1263.13 3	0.0038 <sup>&amp;</sup> 15 62.4 19	5950.6 3498.49	4 <sup>+</sup> 2 <sup>+</sup>	4830.85 2235.326	3 <sup>+</sup> 2 <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2	+0.20 11 +0.18 6	2.90×10 <sup>-5</sup> 5	%I <sub>γ</sub> =0.0025 10 %I <sub>γ</sub> =40.6 14 α(K)=1.359×10 <sup>-5</sup> 21; α(L)=9.70×10 <sup>-7</sup> 15; α(M)=6.39×10 <sup>-8</sup> 10 α(IPF)=1.438×10 <sup>-5</sup> 26 E <sub>γ</sub> : from 1974Al09. I <sub>γ</sub> : weighted average of 53 2 (1974Kl07) and 62.4 19 (1974Al09).
1311.80 14	2.9 4	4810.22	2 <sup>+</sup>	3498.49	2 <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2	-0.17 6	3.58×10 <sup>-5</sup> 6	%I <sub>γ</sub> =1.9 3 α(K)=1.268×10 <sup>-5</sup> 19; α(L)=9.06×10 <sup>-7</sup> 14; α(M)=5.97×10 <sup>-8</sup> 9 α(IPF)=2.22×10 <sup>-5</sup> 4 E <sub>γ</sub> : other: 1311.5 6 (1974Al09). I <sub>γ</sub> : deduced by evaluators with respect to I <sub>γ</sub> (4810)=3.27 26 and the branching ratio in the adopted dataset. Other: 3.94 19 – deduced value in 1974Al09 (see Tables III and I) based on adopted data in 1973EnVA.
1332.48 16	1.43 14	4830.85	3 <sup>+</sup>	3498.49	2 <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2	+0.7 +6-4	4.4×10 <sup>-5</sup> 5	%I <sub>γ</sub> =0.93 9 α(K)=1.33×10 <sup>-5</sup> 10; α(L)=9.5×10 <sup>-7</sup> 7; α(M)=6.3×10 <sup>-8</sup> 5 α(IPF)=2.93×10 <sup>-5</sup> 35 E <sub>γ</sub> : other: 1331.9 10 (1974Al09).

$^{30}\text{Al}$   $\beta^-$  decay    1974Al09,1974Kl07 (continued)

$\gamma(^{30}\text{Si})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^{\#d}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult.	$\delta^b$	$\alpha^c$	Comments
1534.12 4	<0.25	3769.49	$1^+$	2235.326	$2^+$	M1+E2	-0.09 4	$8.40 \times 10^{-5}$ 12	% $I_\gamma < 0.16$ $\alpha(K) = 9.60 \times 10^{-6}$ 14; $\alpha(L) = 6.85 \times 10^{-7}$ 10; $\alpha(M) = 4.52 \times 10^{-8}$ 6 $\alpha(\text{IPF}) = 7.37 \times 10^{-5}$ 11
1732.3 8	2.87 18	5231.1	$3^+$	3498.49	$2^+$	M1+E2	+0.12 6	$1.49 \times 10^{-4}$ 2	% $I_\gamma = 1.87$ 12 $\alpha(\text{IPF}) = 0.0001410$ 22 $\alpha(K) = 7.82 \times 10^{-6}$ 11; $\alpha(L) = 5.58 \times 10^{-7}$ 8; $\alpha(M) = 3.68 \times 10^{-8}$ 5 E $_\gamma$ : other: 1733.0 5 (1974Al09). I $_\gamma$ : In Table III – %branching $\geq 23$ (1974Al09).
1844.40 & 16	0.24 & 6	5614.03	$2^+$	3769.49	$1^+$	M1+E2	+0.11 5	$1.91 \times 10^{-4}$ 3	% $I_\gamma = 0.16$ 4 $\alpha(K) = 7.04 \times 10^{-6}$ 10; $\alpha(L) = 5.03 \times 10^{-7}$ 7; $\alpha(M) = 3.31 \times 10^{-8}$ 5 $\alpha(\text{IPF}) = 0.0001831$ 27
2235.23 2	100	2235.326	$2^+$	0	$0^+$	E2		$4.36 \times 10^{-4}$ 6	% $I_\gamma = 65$ 1 $\alpha(K) = 5.65 \times 10^{-6}$ 8; $\alpha(L) = 4.03 \times 10^{-7}$ 6; $\alpha(M) = 2.66 \times 10^{-8}$ 4 $\alpha(\text{IPF}) = 0.000429$ 6 E $_\gamma$ : others: 2235.25 30 (1974Al09), (2.26 3) $\times 10^3$ (1961Ro12).
2452.6 & 13	0.011 & 5	5950.6	$4^+$	3498.49	$2^+$	(E2)		$5.40 \times 10^{-4}$ 8	% $I_\gamma = 0.007$ 3 $\alpha(K) = 4.82 \times 10^{-6}$ 7; $\alpha(L) = 3.44 \times 10^{-7}$ 5; $\alpha(M) = 2.268 \times 10^{-8}$ 32 $\alpha(\text{IPF}) = 0.000535$ 8
2574.8 5	1.48 11	4810.22	$2^+$	2235.326	$2^+$	M1+E2	-0.52 11	$5.13 \times 10^{-4}$ 10	% $I_\gamma = 0.96$ 7 $\alpha(K) = 4.21 \times 10^{-6}$ 6; $\alpha(L) = 3.00 \times 10^{-7}$ 4; $\alpha(M) = 1.979 \times 10^{-8}$ 30 $\alpha(\text{IPF}) = 0.000509$ 10
2595.39 4	8.91 21	4830.85	$3^+$	2235.326	$2^+$	M1+E2	+0.72 +11-9	$5.36 \times 10^{-4}$ 10	E $_\gamma$ : other: 2574.0 9 (1974Al09). % $I_\gamma = 5.79$ 15 $\alpha(K) = 4.19 \times 10^{-6}$ 6; $\alpha(L) = 2.99 \times 10^{-7}$ 4; $\alpha(M) = 1.973 \times 10^{-8}$ 29 $\alpha(\text{IPF}) = 0.000531$ 10
2995.0 8	0.36 4	5231.1	$3^+$	2235.326	$2^+$				E $_\gamma$ : other: 2595.1 5 (1974Al09). % $I_\gamma = 0.23$ 3 I $_\gamma$ : deduced by evaluators with respect to $I_\gamma(1732.3) = 2.87$ 18 and the branching ratio in the adopted dataset. In Table III (1974Kl07) – %branching $\leq 73$ and $I_\gamma < 0.9$ with respect to $I_\gamma(2235) = 100$ .
3043.2 1	<0.24	5278.73	$4^+$	2235.326	$2^+$	(E2)		$8.07 \times 10^{-4}$ 11	% $I_\gamma < 0.16$

<u><math>\gamma(^{30}\text{Si})</math> (continued)</u>									
$E_\gamma^{\dagger}$	$I_\gamma^{\#d}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>b</sup>	$\delta^b$	$a^c$	Comments
3378.68 <sup>&amp;</sup> 25	0.20 <sup>&amp;</sup> 6	5614.03	2 <sup>+</sup>	2235.326	2 <sup>+</sup>	(M1+E2)	-0.29 4	$8.19 \times 10^{-4}$ 12	% $I\gamma=0.12$ 4 $\alpha(K)=2.78 \times 10^{-6}$ 4; $\alpha(L)=1.983 \times 10^{-7}$ 28; $\alpha(M)=1.307 \times 10^{-8}$ 18 $\alpha(IPF)=0.000816$ 12
3498.33 5	50.4 15	3498.49	2 <sup>+</sup>	0	0 <sup>+</sup>	E2		$9.94 \times 10^{-4}$ 14	% $I\gamma=33$ 1 $\alpha(K)=2.75 \times 10^{-6}$ 4; $\alpha(L)=1.960 \times 10^{-7}$ 27; $\alpha(M)=1.292 \times 10^{-8}$ 18 $\alpha(IPF)=0.000991$ 14 $E_\gamma$ : other: $(3.52 \pm 3) \times 10^3$ (1961Ro12). $I_\gamma$ : weighted average of 47 2 (1974KI07) and 50.4 15 (1974AI09). Other: 64 6 (1961Ro12).
3716 <sup>@</sup>	0.23 <sup>a</sup> 7	5950.6	4 <sup>+</sup>	2235.326	2 <sup>+</sup>	(E2)		$1.07 \times 10^{-3}$ 2	% $I\gamma=0.14$ 5 $\alpha(K)=2.512 \times 10^{-6}$ 35; $\alpha(L)=1.792 \times 10^{-7}$ 25; $\alpha(M)=1.181 \times 10^{-8}$ 17 $\alpha(IPF)=0.001071$ 15
3769.22 <sup>‡</sup> 5	<0.21	3769.49	1 <sup>+</sup>	0	0 <sup>+</sup>	M1		$9.49 \times 10^{-4}$ 13	% $I\gamma<0.14$ $\alpha(K)=2.371 \times 10^{-6}$ 33; $\alpha(L)=1.691 \times 10^{-7}$ 24; $\alpha(M)=1.115 \times 10^{-8}$ 16 $\alpha(IPF)=0.000947$ 13 $I_\gamma$ : deduced by the evaluators with respect to $I\gamma(1534.12)=0.25$ and the branching ratio in the adopted dataset.
4810.0 3	3.27 26	4810.22	2 <sup>+</sup>	0	0 <sup>+</sup>	E2		$1.43 \times 10^{-3}$ 2	% $I\gamma=2.13$ 17 $\alpha(K)=1.741 \times 10^{-6}$ 24; $\alpha(L)=1.242 \times 10^{-7}$ 17; $\alpha(M)=8.18 \times 10^{-9}$ 11 $\alpha(IPF)=0.001432$ 20

<sup>†</sup> From the Adopted Gammas, except where otherwise noted.

<sup>‡</sup> Not reported in 1974AI09, 1972KI07 list from literature. Placement based on the adopted dataset by the evaluators.

<sup>#</sup> From 1974AI09, except where otherwise noted.

<sup>@</sup> From 1974KI07.

<sup>&</sup> Not reported in 1974KI07, only beta feeding to the level is reported.  $\gamma$  from the adopted dataset and the  $I\gamma$  scaled to the adopted  $\gamma$  branching and adjusted for the beta feeding.

<sup>a</sup> Not reported in 1974KI07,  $I\gamma$  scaled to the adopted  $\gamma$  branching and adjusted for the beta feeding to the level.

<sup>b</sup> From Adopted Gammas.

<sup>c</sup> Additional information 1.

<sup>d</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.65  $I$ .

$^{30}\text{Al } \beta^- \text{ decay }$  1974Al09,1974Kl07

## Decay Scheme

Intensities:  $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$  per 100 parent decays

Legend

