

$^{31}\text{P}(\text{d}, ^3\text{He})$ 1968Wi19,1962Cu07,1974Ma34

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. S. Basunia, A. Chakraborty		NDS 197,1 (2024)	31-May-2024

$J^\pi(^{31}\text{P})=1/2^+$.

Other: 1988Bh09.

1968Wi19: $E_d=33.8$ MeV; measured pulse height spectrum of ^3He , angular distribution, DWBA calculations; deduced levels, L, spin-parity, spectroscopic factor (relative).

1962Cu07: 4.5 mg/cm² thick ^{31}P target, $E_d=15$ MeV; magnetic spectrometer, CsI crystal; deduced spectroscopic factors.

1974Ma34: $^{31}\text{P}(\text{d}, ^3\text{He})$, $E(^3\text{He})=52$ MeV; four ΔE -E telescopes consisting of cooled surface barrier counter; measured $\sigma(E(^3\text{He}), \theta)$; deduced L, S. FWHM=120 keV.

 ^{30}Si Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [#]	L ^{&}	C ² S [@]	Comments
0	0 ⁺	0	1.0	$\sigma_{\text{exp}}(25^\circ)=2.64$ mb/sr; $\approx S_{\text{exp}}=1.42$ (1962Cu07); C ² S=0.62 (1974Ma34); C ² S=0.77 12 (1988Bh09 – uncertainty from footnote).
2240 5	2 ⁺	2	1.6	$\sigma_{\text{exp}}(20^\circ)=0.55$ mb/sr; $\approx S_{\text{exp}}=0.35$ for $1d_{3/2}$ and 1.23 for $1d_{5/2}$ (1962Cu07); C ² S=0.34+0.57 for $d_{5/2}+d_{3/2}$ (1974Ma34); C ² S=1.78 36 (1988Bh09 – from 1.40 for $d_{5/2}$ and 0.38 for $d_{3/2}$).
3492 5	2 ⁺	2	0.67	C ² S: other: 0.27+0.04 for $d_{5/2}+d_{3/2}$ (1974Ma34); C ² S=1.03 20 (1988Bh09 – from 0.97 for $d_{5/2}$ and 0.05 for $d_{3/2}$).
3772 10	1 ⁽⁺⁾ , 0 ⁺	0	0.04	E(level): doublet. C ² S: other: 0.03 (1974Ma34).
4823 10	2 ⁺	2, (0)	0.29	C ² S: (<0.05) for (0); 0.13+0.01 for $d_{5/2}+d_{3/2}$ for doublet (1974Ma34).
5225 10	(3) ⁺	2	2.2	J^π : Proposed in 1968Wi19, based on 1 ⁺ , 2 ⁺ , 3 ⁺ from L=2 and 3 ⁺ from shell model predictions. 3 ⁺ in the Adopted Levels. C ² S: other: 0.98 (1974Ma34).
5370 10	0 ⁺	0	0.18	C ² S: other: 0.14 (1974Ma34).
5605 10	2 ⁺	2	0.57	
5620 [‡] 25	2 ⁺	2 [‡]		C ² S: other: 0.23 (1974Ma34).
6550 [‡] 25	2 ⁺	2 [‡]		C ² S: other: 0.25 (1974Ma34).
6870 [‡] 25	(3 ⁺)	2 [‡]		J^π : from large spectroscopic factor and the shape of the angular distribution, 1974Ma34 suggest 3 ⁺ . C ² S: other: 0.59 (1974Ma34).
7080 [‡] 25	(1,3) ⁺	2 [‡]		J^π : 2 ⁻ was excluded from (1 ⁺ , 2 ⁻ , 3 ⁺) in 1974Ma34, based on measured L=2. C ² S: other: 0.22 (1974Ma34).
7260 [‡] 25	2 ⁺	2 [‡]		C ² S: other: 0.12 (1974Ma34).
7440 [‡] 25	0 ⁺	2 [‡]		J^π : L=2 is not consistent with $J^\pi=0^+$ in the Adopted Levels. C ² S: other: <0.06 (1974Ma34).
7660 [‡] 25		2 [‡]		C ² S: other: 0.37 (1974Ma34).
8140 [‡] 25		2 [‡]		C ² S: other: 0.27 (1974Ma34).
8780 [‡] 25		2 [‡]		C ² S: other: 0.14 (1974Ma34).
8920 [‡] 25		2 [‡]		C ² S: other: 0.15 (1974Ma34).
9240 [‡] 25		2 [‡]		C ² S: other: 0.18 (1974Ma34).

[†] From 1968Wi19, except otherwise noted.

[‡] From 1974Ma34. Authors suggest the uncertainty of the excitation energy from 5-25 keV. Evaluators list 25 keV for all.

[#] As listed in 1968Wi19 (Table 1) from the literature for levels up to 5605, for higher levels from 1974Ma34. Proposed assignments and arguments from the work of 1968Wi19 and 1974Ma34 are noted in the comments.

[@] Relative value from 1968Wi19. In 1962Cu07, $\sigma_{\text{exp}}/(C^2\sigma_{\text{calc}})$, as $\approx S_{\text{exp}}$, values are reported for the g.s., first excited state –

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{31}\text{P}(\text{d}, ^3\text{He})$ [1968Wi19](#), [1962Cu07](#), [1974Ma34](#) (continued)

^{30}Si Levels (continued)

listed in the comments, also those C²S from [1974Ma34](#) in the comments (appears to be absolute value).
& From [1968Wi19](#), except where otherwise noted.