

**Adopted Levels, Gammas**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. Shamsuzzoha Basunia		NDS 113,909 (2012)	1-Jan-2012

Q( $\beta^-$ )=13283 14; S(n)=4403 13; S(p)=1.590×10<sup>4</sup> 10; Q( $\alpha$ )=-1.111×10<sup>4</sup> 8 2012Wa38  
 Note: Current evaluation has used the following Q record 13272 17 4413 16 1591e+110-11120 80 2011AuZZ,2003Au03.

2007No13: ~5  $\mu$ b and ~7  $\mu$ b production cross sections were measured for <sup>29</sup>Na in <sup>9</sup>Be(<sup>40</sup>Ar,X): E=90 MeV/nucleon, 94 MeV/nucleon and <sup>181</sup>Ta(<sup>40</sup>Ar,X): E=94 MeV/nucleon, reactions, respectively.

2006Kh08: <sup>29</sup>Na beam, 50.26 and 43.90 MeV/nucleon, bombarded a Si target, measured  $\sigma$ =2288 mb 24 and  $\sigma$ =2304 mb 17, respectively, for the Si(<sup>29</sup>Na,x) reaction and a squared reduced absorption radius  $r_0^2=1.197$  fm<sup>2</sup> 7 is deduced and used to study the isospin dependence.

2000PrZX: Reports on one neutron stripping in <sup>197</sup>Au(<sup>30</sup>Na,<sup>29</sup>Na),  $\sigma$ =39.3 mb 182, and an unplaced  $\gamma$ -ray of energy 700 keV 20. Secondary beam <sup>30</sup>Na was obtained from <sup>48</sup>Ca fragmentation, 80 MeV/nucleon, on a <sup>9</sup>Be target at NSCL, Michigan State University.

<sup>29</sup>Na Levels

Cross Reference (XREF) Flags

- A <sup>29</sup>Ne  $\beta^-$  decay
- B Coulomb excitation

E(level)	J <sup><math>\pi</math></sup>	T <sub>1/2</sub>	XREF	Comments
0	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	44.1 ms 9	A	$\% \beta^- = 100$ ; $\% \beta^- n = 21.5$ 30 $\mu = +2.449$ 8; Q=+0.086 3 J <sup><math>\pi</math></sup> : spin from LASER measurement (1978Hu12). Parity from shell model. T <sub>1/2</sub> : Weighted average of 44.9 ms 12 (1984Gu19) and 42.9 ms 15 (1974Ro31). Matter radius $\langle r^2 \rangle^{1/2} = 3.03$ fm 4 and 3.05 fm 6 (1998Su07 and 1997Su04). $\mu$ : From 1978Hu12. Also in 2005St24, 2011StZZ. Q: From 2000Ke09. Other: +0.025 54 (1982To05) is recalculated to 0.038 47 in 2005Ke09, using new reference values for <sup>23</sup> Na. Also in 2005St24, 2011StZZ. $\% \beta^- n$ : From 1984Gu19. Other: 15.1% 18 (1974Ro31) normalized with P <sub>n</sub> ( <sup>9</sup> Li)=35.0%. P <sub>n</sub> ( <sup>9</sup> Li)=51% 1 (1981La11) gives 22.0% 26. J <sup><math>\pi</math></sup> : From <sup>29</sup> Ne $\beta^-$ decay, feeding from (3/2 <sup>+</sup> ), and shell model prediction (2005Tr05).
72	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )		AB	
1249			A	
1588			A	
3059			A	
3723			A	
4166			A	

$\gamma$ (<sup>29</sup>Na)

In 2006FuZX, E $\gamma$ =1732.8 keV 41 is listed for <sup>29</sup>Na without placement in the level scheme.

E <sub>i</sub> (level)	J <sub>i</sub> <sup><math>\pi</math></sup>	E $\gamma$ <sup>†</sup>	I $\gamma$ <sup>†</sup>	E <sub>f</sub>	J <sub>f</sub> <sup><math>\pi</math></sup>
72	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	72	100	0	3/2 <sup>+</sup>
1249		1176.5 10	42 8	72	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

**Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)** $\gamma(^{29}\text{Na})$  (continued)

<u><math>E_i(\text{level})</math></u>	<u><math>J_i^\pi</math></u>	<u><math>E_\gamma^\dagger</math></u>	<u><math>I_\gamma^\dagger</math></u>	<u><math>E_f</math></u>	<u><math>J_f^\pi</math></u>	<u><math>E_i(\text{level})</math></u>	<u><math>J_i^\pi</math></u>	<u><math>E_\gamma^\dagger</math></u>	<u><math>I_\gamma^\dagger</math></u>	<u><math>E_f</math></u>	<u><math>J_f^\pi</math></u>
1249		1249	100 8	0	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	3723		3723	100	0	3/2 <sup>+</sup>
1588		339 <sup>‡</sup>		1249		4166		2578	100 20	1588	
		1516	100 12	72	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )			2918.2 15	70 10	1249	
		1588	69 12	0	3/2 <sup>+</sup>			4094	24 8	72 (5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	
3059		3059	100	0	3/2 <sup>+</sup>			4166	58 12	0	3/2 <sup>+</sup>

<sup>†</sup> From  $^{29}\text{Ne}$   $\beta^-$  decay.

<sup>‡</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

Adopted Levels, Gammas

Legend

Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative photon branching from each level

-----►  $\gamma$  Decay (Uncertain)